

Digital Geologic-GIS Map of Big Cypress National Preserve and Vicinity, Florida (NPS, GRD, GRI, BICY, BICY digital map) adapted from a Florida Geological Survey Open-File Report map by Scott (2001) and FGS Bulletin map by Arthur et. al. (2005), U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Reports by Causaras, Reese and Cunningham (1985, 1986 and 2000), and Earthfx Incorporated/BEM Systems Inc. unpublished digital data by Wexler (2004)

Metadata also available as

Frequently-anticipated questions:

- [What does this data set describe?](#)
 1. [How should this data set be cited?](#)
 2. [What geographic area does the data set cover?](#)
 3. [What does it look like?](#)
 4. [Does the data set describe conditions during a particular time period?](#)
 5. [What is the general form of this data set?](#)
 6. [How does the data set represent geographic features?](#)
 7. [How does the data set describe geographic features?](#)
 - [Who produced the data set?](#)
 1. [Who are the originators of the data set?](#)
 2. [Who also contributed to the data set?](#)
 3. [To whom should users address questions about the data?](#)
 - [Why was the data set created?](#)
 - [How was the data set created?](#)
 1. [From what previous works were the data drawn?](#)
 2. [How were the data generated, processed, and modified?](#)
 3. [What similar or related data should the user be aware of?](#)
 - [How reliable are the data; what problems remain in the data set?](#)
 1. [How well have the observations been checked?](#)
 2. [How accurate are the geographic locations?](#)
 3. [How accurate are the heights or depths?](#)
 4. [Where are the gaps in the data? What is missing?](#)
 5. [How consistent are the relationships among the data, including topology?](#)
 - [How can someone get a copy of the data set?](#)
 1. [Are there legal restrictions on access or use of the data?](#)
 2. [Who distributes the data?](#)
 3. [What's the catalog number I need to order this data set?](#)
 4. [What legal disclaimers am I supposed to read?](#)
 5. [How can I download or order the data?](#)
 - [Who wrote the metadata?](#)
-

What does this data set describe?

Title:

Digital Geologic-GIS Map of Big Cypress National Preserve and Vicinity, Florida (NPS, GRD, GRI, BICY, BICY digital map) adapted from a Florida Geological Survey Open-File Report map by Scott (2001) and FGS Bulletin map by Arthur et. al. (2005), U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Reports by Causaras, Reese and Cunningham (1985, 1986 and 2000), and Earthfx Incorporated/BEM Systems Inc. unpublished digital data by Wexler (2004)

Abstract:

The Digital Geologic-GIS Map of Big Cypress National Preserve and Vicinity, Florida is composed of GIS data layers and GIS tables, and is available in the following GRI-supported GIS data formats: 1.) a 10.1 file geodatabase (bicy_geology.gdb), a 2.) Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) geopackage, and 3.) 2.2 KMZ/KML file for use in Google Earth, however, this format version of the map is limited in data layers presented and in access to GRI ancillary table information. The file geodatabase format is supported with a 1.) ArcGIS Pro map file (.mapx) file (bicy_geology.mapx) and individual Pro layer (.lyrx) files (for each GIS data layer), as well as with a 2.) 10.1 ArcMap (.mxd) map document (bicy_geology.mxd) and individual 10.1 layer (.lyr) files (for each GIS data layer). The OGC geopackage is supported with a QGIS project (.qgz) file. Upon request, the GIS data is also available in ESRI 10.1 shapefile format. Contact Stephanie O'Meara (see contact information below) to acquire the GIS data in these GIS data formats. In addition to the GIS data and supporting GIS files, three additional files comprise a GRI digital geologic-GIS dataset or map: 1.) A GIS readme file (bicy_geology_gis_readme.pdf), 2.) the GRI ancillary map information document (.pdf) file (bicy_geology.pdf) which contains geologic unit descriptions, as well as other ancillary map information and graphics from the source map(s) used by the GRI in the production of the GRI digital geologic-GIS data for the park, and 3.) a user-friendly FAQ PDF version of the metadata (bicy_geology_metadata_faq.pdf). Please read the bicy_geology_gis_readme.pdf for information pertaining to the proper extraction of the GIS data and other map files. Google Earth software is available for free at: <https://www.google.com/earth/versions/>. QGIS software is available for free at: <https://www.qgis.org/en/site/>. Users are encouraged to only use the Google Earth data for basic visualization, and to use the GIS data for any type of data analysis or investigation. The data were completed as a component of the Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) program, a National Park Service (NPS) Inventory and Monitoring (I&M) Division funded program that is administered by the NPS Geologic Resources Division (GRD). For a complete listing of GRI products visit the GRI publications webpage: For a complete listing of GRI products visit the GRI publications webpage: <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/geology/geologic-resources-inventory-products.htm>. For more information about the Geologic Resources Inventory Program visit the GRI webpage: <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/geology/gri.htm>. At the bottom of that webpage is a "Contact Us" link if you need additional information. You may also directly contact the program coordinator, Jason Kenworthy (jason_kenworthy@nps.gov). Source geologic maps and data used to complete this GRI digital dataset were provided by the following: Florida Geological Survey, U.S. Geological Survey and Earthfx

Incorporated/BEM Systems Inc.. Detailed information concerning the sources used and their contribution the GRI product are listed in the Source Citation section(s) of this metadata record (bicy_geology_metadata.txt or bicy_geology_metadata_faq.pdf). Users of this data are cautioned about the locational accuracy of features within this dataset. Based on the source map scale of 1:675,000 and United States National Map Accuracy Standards features are within (horizontally) 342.9 meters or 1125 feet of their actual location as presented by this dataset. Users of this data should thus not assume the location of features is exactly where they are portrayed in Google Earth, ArcGIS, QGIS or other software used to display this dataset. All GIS and ancillary tables were produced as per the NPS GRI Geology-GIS Geodatabase Data Model v. 2.3. (available at: <https://www.nps.gov/articles/gri-geodatabase-model.htm>).

Supplemental Information:

The data layers (feature classes) that comprise the Digital Geologic-GIS Map of Big Cypress National Preserve and Vicinity, Florida include: Geologic Units (BICYGLG), Geologic Contacts (BICYGLGA), Hazard Area Features (BICYHZA), Hazard Area Feature Boundaries (BICYHZAA), Geologic Cross Section Lines (BICYSEC), Structure Contour Lines, top of the Bonita Springs Marl (Aquitard 1) (BICYCN1), Structure Contour Lines, top of the Ochopee Limestone (Aquitard 2) (BICYCN2), Structure Contour Lines, top of the Peace River Formation (Aquitard 2) (BICYCN3), Structure Contour Lines, top of the Peace River Sandstone Aquifer (Aquitard 3) (BICYCN4), Structure Contour Lines, top of the basal Peace River Clay (Aquitard 3) (BICYCN5) and Structure Contour Lines, top of the Arcadia Formation (BICYCN6). In addition to the data layers, there are three GIS tables, the Geologic Unit Information Table (bicyunit) Table, the short-version of the Geologic Unit Information Table (bicyunit_short) Table, and the Source Map Information Table (bicymap) Table. Refer to the NPS GRI Geology-GIS Geodatabase Data Model v. 2.3 (available at: <https://www.nps.gov/articles/gri-geodatabase-model.htm>) for detailed data layer (feature class) and table specifications including attribute field parameters, definitions and domains, and implemented topology rules and relationship classes. For the KML/KMZ format all or only some of the data layers are available. The KMZ file also possesses on-line links to the GRI program and its products, and to this readme document, the GRI ancillary map information document and the FAQ metadata pertaining to this dataset/map. The GIS data projection is NAD83, UTM Zone 17N, however, for the KML/KMZ format the data is projected upon export to WGS84 Geographic, the native coordinate system used by Google Earth.

1. How should this data set be cited?

National Park Service (NPS) Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) program, 20210322, Digital Geologic-GIS Map of Big Cypress National Preserve and Vicinity, Florida (NPS, GRD, GRI, BICY, BICY digital map) adapted from a Florida Geological Survey Open-File Report map by Scott (2001) and FGS Bulletin map by Arthur et. al. (2005), U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Reports by Causaras, Reese and Cunningham (1985, 1986 and 2000), and Earthfx Incorporated/BEM Systems Inc. unpublished digital data by Wexler (2004).

2. **What geographic area does the data set cover?**

West_Bounding_Coordinate: -82.0000000001
East_Bounding_Coordinate: -80.1256589956
North_Bounding_Coordinate: 28.2990955395
South_Bounding_Coordinate: 24.8189438871

3. **What does it look like?**

Not applicable
No browse graphic provided

4. **Does the data set describe conditions during a particular time period?**

Calendar_Date: 22-Mar-2021
Currentness_Reference: ground condition

5. **What is the general form of this data set?**

Geospatial_Data_Presentation_Form: map

6. **How does the data set represent geographic features?**

- a. **How are geographic features stored in the data set?**
- b. **What coordinate system is used to represent geographic features?**

Grid_Coordinate_System_Name: Universal Transverse Mercator
Universal_Transverse_Mercator:
UTM_Zone_Number: 17
Transverse_Mercator:
Scale_Factor_at_Central_Meridian: 0.999600
Longitude_of_Central_Meridian: -81.0
Latitude_of_Projection_Origin: 0.000000
False_Easting: 500000.000000
False_Northing: 0.000000

Planar coordinates are encoded using coordinate pair
Abcissae (x-coordinates) are specified to the nearest 0.000007
Ordinates (y-coordinates) are specified to the nearest 0.000007
Planar coordinates are specified in meters

The horizontal datum used is North American Datum of 1983.
The ellipsoid used is Geodetic Reference System 80.
The semi-major axis of the ellipsoid used is 6378137.000000.
The flattening of the ellipsoid used is 1/298.257222.

7. **How does the data set describe geographic features?**

Entity_and_Attribute_Overview:

Refer to the NPS GRI Geology-GIS Geodatabase Data Model v. 2.3 (available at: <https://www.nps.gov/articles/gri-geodatabase-model.htm>) for detailed feature class and table attribute field parameters, definitions and domains, and implemented relationship classes, as well as for implemented feature class topology rules.

Entity_and_Attribute_Detail_Citation:

NPS GRI Geology-GIS Geodatabase Data Model v. 2.3. (available at: <https://www.nps.gov/articles/gri-geodatabase-model.htm>)

Who produced the data set?

1. **Who are the originators of the data set?** (may include formal authors, digital compilers, and editors)
 - o National Park Service (NPS) Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) program
2. **Who also contributed to the data set?**

Anne Poole and Stephanie O'Meara (NPS Geologic Resources Division and Colorado State University)

3. **To whom should users address questions about the data?**

Stephanie O'Meara
Colorado State University
Research Associate, Geologist/GIS Specialist/Data Manager
1201 Oak Ridge Drive, Suite 200
Fort Collins, Colorado 80525
USA

(970) 491-6655 (voice)
stephanie_o'meara@partner.nps.gov

Hours_of_Service: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (MST), Monday - Friday

Why was the data set created?

The data are intended to assist NPS personnel in the protection and management of Big Cypress National Preserve. The data is also relevant for portions of Everglades National Park.

How was the data set created?

1. **From what previous works were the data drawn?**

FGS Open-File Report 80 (source 1 of 6)

Scott, Thomas M., 2001, Geologic Formations of Florida (digital): Open-File Report 80, Florida Geological Survey (FGS), Tallahassee, Florida.

Type_of_Source_Media: digital data

Source_Scale_Denominator: 126720

Source_Contribution:

Features and attribution for geologic units and contacts was derived from source digital data. Ancillary map text, including unit descriptions, and graphics, if present on the source map, were captured, formatted and added to the ancillary map information document. See the Process Step section for additional information.

FGS FGS Bulletin Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (source 2 of 6)

Arthur, J.D., Baker, A.E., Cichon, J.R., Wood, 2005, Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (FAVA): Contamination potential of Florida's principal aquifer systems: FGS Bulletin Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment, Florida Geological Survey (FGS), Tallahassee, Florida.

Type_of_Source_Media: digital data

Source_Scale_Denominator: 24000

Source_Contribution:

Features and attribution for sink holes was derived from source digital data. Ancillary map text, including unit descriptions, and graphics, if present on the source map, were captured, formatted and added to the ancillary map information document. See the Process Step section for additional information.

USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 86 (source 3 of 6)

Causaras, C.R., 1986, Geology of the Surficial Aquifer System, Dade County, Florida: Water-Resources Investigations Report 86, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Reston, Virginia.

Type_of_Source_Media: paper

Source_Scale_Denominator: 136000

Source_Contribution:

Geologic features (geologic cross section lines) present on the source map were digitized using a .TIF image of the paper/mylar map that was scanned at 300dpi and georeferenced in NAD83 UTM. The source map scan was also used to attribute features, as well as to check (QC) line quality, both positionally and spatially, and feature attribution. Ancillary source map text, including unit descriptions, and graphics, if present, were captured, formatted and added to the ancillary map information document. See the Process Step section for additional information.

USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 84 (source 4 of 6)

Causaras, C.R., 1985, Geology of the Surficial Aquifer System, Broward County, Florida: Water-Resources Investigations Report 84, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Reston, Virginia.

Type_of_Source_Media: paper

Source_Scale_Denominator: 134000

Source_Contribution:

Geologic features (geologic cross section lines) present on the source map were digitized using a .TIF image of the paper/mylar map that was scanned at 300dpi and georeferenced in NAD83 UTM. The source map scan was also used to attribute features, as well as to check (QC) line quality, both positionally and spatially, and feature attribution. Ancillary source map text, including unit descriptions, and graphics, if present, were captured, formatted and added to the ancillary map information document. See the Process Step section for additional information.

USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 99 (source 5 of 6)

Reese, R.S., and Cunningham, K.J., 2000, Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer in Southern Florida: Water-Resources Investigations Report 99, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Reston, Virginia.

Type_of_Source_Media: paper

Source_Scale_Denominator: 675000

Source_Contribution:

Geologic features (geologic cross section lines) present on the source map were digitized using a .TIF image of the paper/mylar map that was scanned at 300dpi and georeferenced in NAD83 UTM. The source map scan was also used to attribute features, as well as to check (QC) line quality, both positionally and spatially, and feature attribution. Ancillary source map text, including unit descriptions, and graphics, if present, were captured, formatted and added to the ancillary map information document. See the Process Step section for additional information.

Earthfx Incorporated/BEM Systems Inc. unpublished digital data (Hydrostratigraphy) (source 6 of 6)

Wexler, E.J., 2004, Hydrostratigraphy Review Report for South West Florida Feasibility Study: Consultant's Report to South Florida Water Management District: unpublished digital data (Hydrostratigraphy), Earthfx Incorporated/BEM Systems Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Type_of_Source_Media: digital data

Source_Scale_Denominator: 100000

Source_Contribution:

Features and attribution for structure contour lines was derived from source digital data. Ancillary map text, including unit descriptions, and graphics, if present on the source map, were captured, formatted and added to the ancillary map information document. See the Process Step section for additional information.

2. How were the data generated, processed, and modified?

Date: 22-Mar-2021 (process 1 of 1)

1.) GIS features were produced from source digital data or digitized from a source paper map. See the Source Information Contribution section(s) for specific source map details. GIS features converted from source digital data were imported into a GRI data model compliant geodatabase. For details on the GRI data model see the NPS GRI Geology-GIS Geodatabase Data Model v. 2.3 (available at: <https://www.nps.gov/articles/gri-geodatabase-model.htm>). GIS features captured from a source paper map were digitized from a TIF image of the map scanned at 300dpi and georeferenced in NAD83 UTM. 2.)

Line quality of digitized features was checked against the source scan to ensure that GIS features were represented accurately, both positionally and spatially. Line quality of digital data was checked and if warranted edited to ensure good quality linework. 3.) Feature attribution was derived for all features using the source data attribution and the source printed/plotted map if available. 4.) Data Model topology rules were validated on all features and any topology errors corrected. 5.) Quality control (QC) consisted of checking features and their attribution against the source digital data, as well as against the source printed/plotted map if available. A GRI developed ArcObjects tool was run to check for GRI data model validation and feature-related consistency. 6.) The UNIT and MAP tables were populated and checked against the source(s). Relationship classes were also added and used to ensure attribution consistency between feature class and table attribution. 7.) Feature symbology was produced for all feature classes. An attempt was made to best match symbology to its source map, however, in some cases features symbology maybe slightly modified, primarily based on the limitations of the ArcGIS geology styles. In some cases, however, symbology may have been modified to reconcile differences from multiple sources. 8.) An ArcMap Document was produced, in part by a GRI finalize mxd tool, and layer (.lyr) files saved for all data layers. 9.) The ancillary map information PDF document, see the Supplemental Information section for additional information, was produced from textual information and figures present on the source map(s) and/or in digital data files. If applicable, source map images were produced at 150dpi or greater resolution and optical character recognition (OCR) software was used to produce text from source map text. The text, source map images and other ancillary source map information were added to a Help & Manual (.hmxz) template file. The .hmxz file was then compiled to produce the ancillary map information document. Any compilation errors were then checked and corrected and the document was reviewed for content, usability and grammatical errors. 10.) A Google Earth .kmz/.kml file, as well as a OGC GeoPackage and QGIS project file was produced from the finalized file geodatabase and ArcMap document.

Person who carried out this activity:

Stephanie O'Meara
Colorado State University
Research Associate, Geologist/GIS Specialist/Data Manager
1201 Oak Ridge Drive, Suite 200
Fort Collins, Colorado 80525-5589
USA

(970) 491-6655 (voice)
stephanie.omeara@colostate.edu

Hours_of_Service: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (MST), Monday - Friday

Data sources used in this process:

- FGS Open-File Report 80, FGS Bulletin Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment, USGS Water-Resources Investigations Reports 84, 86 and 99,

Earthfx Incorporated/BEM Systems Inc. unpublished digital data
(Hydrostratigraphy)

3. **What similar or related data should the user be aware of?**

National Park Service Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) program,
20210322, Metadata for the Digital Geologic-GIS Map of Big Cypress
National Preserve and Vicinity, Florida (NPS, GRD, GRI, BICY, BICY
digital map).

How reliable are the data; what problems remain in the data set?

1. **How well have the observations been checked?**

Feature and table attribution was derived and checked with the source map(s). Attribution was checked (QCd) for errors. Users of this data are advised to FULLY and CAREFULLY READ the "DISTRIBUTION LIABILITY" section of this metadata before using the data.

2. **How accurate are the geographic locations?**

Data was produced from digital source data and digitized from a georeferenced source map .TIF image(s) (300dpi). Users of this data are cautioned about the locational accuracy of features within this dataset. Based on the source map scale and United States National Map Accuracy Standards geologic units and contacts are within (horizontally) 64.4 meters or 211.2 feet of their actual location as presented by this dataset. Users of this data should thus not assume the location of features (especially sink holes) is exactly where they portrayed are in ArcGIS or other software used to display this dataset. The PRECISION of any Shapefile (.shp) files is DOUBLE. Coordinate tics on the georeferenced (registered and rectified) source map image(s) were checked against control points that had the exact specified coordinates of the tic. The direct distance between the image tic and its control point were measured. All measured distances were less than 50% of the required distance to meet National Map Accuracy Standards (1/50th of an inch for maps at 1:20,000 scale and smaller). Features were checked (QCd) after digitizing for positional accuracy errors using the georeferenced source map image.

3. **How accurate are the heights or depths?**

No vertical coordinates are present in this GRI digital dataset.

4. **Where are the gaps in the data? What is missing?**

All data is considered complete to the extent of the source map(s).

5. **How consistent are the relationships among the observations, including topology?**

GIS data in 10.1 file geodatabase, OGC geopackage and 2.2 KML/KMZ file formats.

How can someone get a copy of the data set?

Are there legal restrictions on access or use of the data?

Access_Constraints: None

Use_Constraints:

Not for use at scales greater than 1:126,720 (geologic units and contacts) or 1:100,000 (structure contour lines). Users of this data are cautioned about the locational accuracy of features (especially sink holes) within this dataset. Based on the source map scale and United States National Map Accuracy Standards geologic units and contacts are within (horizontally) 64.4 meters or 211.2 feet of their actual location as presented by this dataset. Users of this data should thus not assume the location of features is exactly where they are portrayed in Google Earth, ArcGIS, QGIS or other software used to display this dataset.

1. Who distributes the data set? (Distributor 1 of 1)

Stephanie O'Meara
Colorado State University
Research Associate, Geologist/GIS Specialist/Data Manager
1201 Oak Ridge Drive, Suite 200
Fort Collins, Colorado 80525
USA

(970) 491-6655 (voice)
stephanie_o'meara@partner.nps.gov

Hours_of_Service: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (MST), Monday - Friday

Contact_Instructions:

GRI data are available at: <http://irma.nps.gov/App/Reference/Search>

2. What's the catalog number I need to order this data set?

GIS map data available in several GRI-supported GIS data formats

3. What legal disclaimers am I supposed to read?

The National Park Service shall not be held liable for improper or incorrect use of the data described and/or contained herein. These data and

related graphics are not legal documents and are not intended to be used as such.

The information contained in these data is dynamic and may change over time. The data are not better than the original sources from which they were derived. It is the responsibility of the data user to use the data appropriately and consistent within the limitations of geospatial data in general and these data in particular. The related graphics are intended to aid the data user in acquiring relevant data; it is not appropriate to use the related graphics as data.

The National Park Service gives no warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data. It is strongly recommended that these data are directly acquired from an NPS server and not indirectly through other sources which may have changed the data in some way. Although these data have been processed successfully on a computer system at the National Park Service, no warranty, expressed or implied is made regarding the utility of the data on another system or for general scientific purposes, nor shall the act of distribution constitute any such warranty. This disclaimer applies to both to individual use of the data and aggregate use with other data.

4. How can I download or order the data?

- **Availability in digital form:**

Data format: GDB (version ArcGIS Pro 2.4) GIS (file geodatabase format) data package with a Pro map (.mapx) file (bicy_geology_gdb_pro.zip) Size: 6.12

Network links: NPS Data Store, <https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/>

Data format: GDB (version ArcGIS 10.1) GIS (file geodatabase format) data package with an ArcMap map document (.mxd) file (bicy_geology_gdb.zip) Size: 6.36

Network links: NPS Data Store, <https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/>

Data format: KML/KMZ (version 2.2) Google Earth (kml/kmz format) data package (bicy_geology_kml.zip) Size: 2.66

Network links: NPS Data Store, <https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/>

Data format: GPKG (version OGC geopackage) GIS (geopackage format) data package with a QGIS project (.qgz) file (bicy_geology_gpkg.zip) Size: 6.61

Network links: NPS Data Store, <https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/>

Data format: PDF (version 9) Ancillary Map Information Document Size: 35.50

Network links: NPS Data Store, <https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/>

Data format: PDF (version 9) GIS Readme Document Size: 0.1

Network links: NPS Data Store, <https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/>

Data format: PDF (version 9) FAQ Metadata File Size: 0.1

Network links: NPS Data Store, <https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/>

- **Cost to order the data:** None
- **Special instructions:**

Search for the GRI map, then download the GRI data at:
<https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/>

Who wrote the metadata?

Dates:

Last modified: 22-Mar-2021

Metadata author:

Stephanie O'Meara
Colorado State University
Research Associate, Geologist/GIS Specialist/Data Manager
1201 Oak Ridge Drive, Suite 200
Fort Collins, Colorado 80525-5589
USA

(970) 491-6655 (voice)
stephanie.omeara@colostate.edu

Hours_of_Service: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (MST), Monday - Friday

Metadata standard:

FGDC Content Standards for Digital Geospatial Metadata (FGDC-STD-001-1998)

Metadata extensions used:

- <http://www.fgdc.gov/standards/>