U.S. Department of the Interior
National Park Service
Natural Resource Stewardship and Science Directorate
Geologic Resources Division

Big Cyprus National Preserve

GRI Ancillary Map Information Document

Produced to accompany the Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) Digital Geologic Data for Big Cyprus National Preserve

bicy_geology.pdf

Version: 4/1/2021

Geologic Resources Inventory Map Document for Big Cyprus National Preserve

Table of Contents

Geologic Resources Inventory Map Document 1
About the NPS Geologic Resources Inventory Program 3
GRI Digital Map and Source Map Citations 5
Index Map 6
Map Unit List 7
Map Unit Descriptions 8
Qh - Holocene sediments (Holocene) 8
Qu - Pleistocene/Holocene, undifferentiated (Holocene and Pleistocene) 8
Qm - Miami Limestone (Pleistocene) 8
QTsu - Shell-bearing sediments (Pleistocene and Pliocene) 9
Tt - Tamiami Formation (Pliocene) 9
Geologic Cross Sections 10
Cross Section A-A' 10
Cross Section B-B' 11
Cross Section C-C' 12
Cross Section D-D' 13
Cross Section E-E' 14
Cross Section F-F' 15
Cross Section G-G' 16
Cross Section H-H' 17
Cross Section I-I' 18
Cross Section J-J' 18
Cross Section K-K' 19
Cross Section L-L' 20
Cross Section M-M' 21
Cross Section N-N' 21
Ancillary Source Map Information 22
Surficial Aquifer System (Broward County) 22
Surficial Aquifer System (Dade County) 22
Geologic Formations of Florida 22
Introduction 22
Previous Investigations 23
Methods 23
Stratigraphic Column and Cross Sections 24
Geologic Structures 24
Lithostratigraphic Units 25
Tertiary System 25
Tertiary - Quaternary Systems 33
Figures 36
Figure 1: Stratigraphic column showing the lithostratigraphic units used on the map 36
Figure 2: Geological cross section locations 37
Figure 3: Geological cross section 37
Figure 4: Geologic structures in Florida (modified from Scott, 1988) 38
Tables 39

Table 1: Stratigraphic units and lithologies 39
Table 2: Wells used in cross sections 41
References 42
Mineral Resources (Collier County) 46
Map Legend 46
Mineral Resources (Lee County) 47
Map Legend 47
Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer 47
Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (2005) 48
South West Florida Feasibility Study, Hydrostratigraphy (2004) 48
Report (excerpts) 48
Approach 48
Interpolation Methods 49
Closed Topographic Depressions 50
Metadata Disclaimer 50
GRI Digital Data Credits 52

Geologic Resources Inventory Map Document

Big Cyprus National Preserve, Florida

Document to Accompany Digital Geologic-GIS Data

bicy_geology.pdf

Version: 4/1/2021

This document has been developed to accompany the digital geologic-GIS data developed by the Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) program for Big Cyprus National Preserve, Florida (BICY).

Attempts have been made to reproduce all aspects of the original source products, including the geologic units and their descriptions, geologic cross sections, the geologic report, references and all other pertinent images and information contained in the original publication.

This document contains the following information:

1) **About the NPS Geologic Resources Inventory Program –** A brief summary of the Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) Program and its products. Included are web links to the GRI GIS data model, and to the GRI products page where digital geologic-GIS datasets, scoping reports and geology reports are available for download. In addition, web links to the NPS Data Store and GRI program home page, as well as contact information for the GRI coordinator, are also present.

2) **GRI Digital Map and Source Citations –** A listing of the GRI digital geologic-GIS map produced for this project along with sources used in its completion. In addition, a brief explanation of how each source map was used is provided.

3) **Map Unit List** – A listing of all geologic map units present on maps for this project, generally listed from youngest to oldest.

4) **Map Unit Descriptions** – Descriptions for all geologic map units.

5) **Geologic Cross Sections** – Geologic cross section graphics with source geologic cross section abbreviations.

6) **Ancillary Source Map Information –** Additional source map information presented by source map.

7) **GRI Digital Data Credits –** GRI digital geologic-GIS data and ancillary map information document production credits.

For information about using GRI digital geologic-GIS data contact:

Stephanie O'Meara
Geologist/GIS Specialist/Data Manager
Colorado State University Research Associate, Cooperator to the National Park Service
Fort Collins, CO 80523
phone: (970) 491-6655
email: stephanie_o'meara@partner.nps.gov

About the NPS Geologic Resources Inventory Program

Background

The Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) provides geologic map data and pertinent geologic information to support resource management and science-informed decision making in more than 270 natural resource parks throughout the National Park System. Geologic resources for management consideration include both the processes that act upon the Earth and the features formed as a result of these processes. Geologic processes include: erosion and sedimentation; seismic, volcanic, and geothermal activity; glaciation, rockfalls, landslides, and shoreline change. Geologic features include mountains, canyons, natural arches and bridges, minerals, rocks, fossils, cave and karst systems, beaches, dunes, glaciers, volcanoes, and faults.

The GRI is one of 12 inventories funded by the National Park Service (NPS) Inventory and Monitoring Program. The Geologic Resources Division of the NPS Natural Resource Stewardship and Science Directorate administers the GRI. The NPS Geologic Resources Division partners with the Colorado State University Department of Geosciences to produce GRI products. Many additional partners participate in the GRI process by contributing source maps or reviewing products.

The GRI team undertakes three tasks for each park in the Inventory and Monitoring program: (1) conduct a scoping meeting and provide a summary document, (2) provide digital geologic map data in a geographic information system (GIS) format, and (3) provide a GRI report. These products are designed and written for nongeoscientists.

Products

Scoping Meetings: These park-specific meetings bring together local geologic experts and park staff to inventory and review available geologic data and discuss geologic resource management issues. A summary document is prepared for each meeting that identifies a plan to provide digital map data for the park.

Digital Geologic Maps: Digital geologic maps reproduce all aspects of traditional paper maps, including notes, legend, and cross sections. Bedrock, surficial, and special purpose maps such as coastal or geologic hazard maps may be used by the GRI to create digital Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data and meet park needs. These digital GIS data allow geologic information to be easily viewed and analyzed in conjunction with a wide range of other resource management information data.

For detailed information regarding GIS parameters such as data attribute field definitions, attribute field codes, value definitions, and rules that govern relationships found in the data, refer to the NPS Geology-GIS Data Model document available at: https://www.nps.gov/articles/gri-geodatabase-model.htm

Geologic Reports: GRI reports synthesize discussions from the original scoping meeting, follow up conference call(s), and subsequent research. Chapters of each report discuss the geologic setting of the park, distinctive geologic features and processes within the park, highlight geologic issues facing resource managers, and describe the geologic history leading to the present-day landscape. Each report also includes a poster illustrating these GRI digital geologic-GIS data.

For a complete listing of GRI products visit the GRI publications webpage: https://go.nps.gov/gripubs. GRI digital geologic-GIS data is also available online at the NPS Data Store: https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Search/Quick. To find GRI data for a specific park or parks select the appropriate park(s), enter “GRI” as a Search Text term, and then select the Search button.

For more information about the Geologic Resources Inventory Program visit the GRI webpage: https://www.nps.gov/subjects/geology/gri.htm. At the bottom of that webpage is a "Contact Us" link if you need additional information. You may also directly contact the program coordinator:

Jason Kenworthy
Inventory Coordinator
National Park Service Geologic Resources Division
P.O. Box 25287
Denver, CO 80225-0287
phone: (303) 987-6923
fax: (303) 987-6792
email: Jason_Kenworthy@nps.gov

The Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) program is funded by the National Park Service (NPS) Inventory and Monitoring (I&M) Division. Learn more about I&M and the 12 baseline inventories at the I&M webpage: https://www.nps.gov/im/inventories.htm.

GRI Digital Map and Source Map Citations

The GRI digital geologic-GIS map for Big Cyprus National Preserve, Florida (BICY):

Digital Geologic-GIS Map of Big Cypress National Preserve and Vicinity, Florida (*GRI MapCode BICY*)

The digital geologic-GIS map was produced using the following sources. Sources are listed by the geologic features and feature type they produced in the GRI digital geologic-GIS data.

Geologic Units, Contacts and a Geologic Cross Section Line

Scott, Thomas M., 2001, Geologic Formations of Florida (digital): Florida Geological Survey, Open-File Report 80, scale 1:126,720 (Geologic Formations of Florida). (*GRI Source Map ID 3682*).

Geologic Cross Section Lines

Causaras, C.R., 1985, Geology of the Surficial Aquifer System, Broward County, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigations Report 84, scale 1:134,000 (Surficial Aquifer System (Broward County)). (*GRI Source Map ID 3055*).

Causaras, C.R., 1986, Geology of the Surficial Aquifer System, Dade County, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigations Report 86, scale 1:136,000 (Surficial Aquifer System (Dade County)). (*GRI Source Map ID 3056*).

Reese, R.S., and Cunningham, K.J., 2000, Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer in Southern Florida: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4213, scale 1:675,000 (Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer). (*GRI Source Map ID 5320*).

Minerals and Mining Features

Lane, Ed, Scott, T.M., Hoenstine, R.W., and Yon, J.W., 1990, Mineral Resources of Lee County, Florida: Florida Geological Survey, Map Series 130, scale 1:126,720 (Mineral Resources (Lee County)). (*GRI Source Map ID 5003*).

Yon, J.W., Spencer, S.M., Hoenstine, R.W., and Lane, Ed, 1988, Mineral resources of Collier County, Florida: Florida Geological Survey, Map Series 120, scale 1:126,720 (Mineral Resources (Collier County)). (*GRI Source Map ID 3063*).

Sinkhole Features

Arthur, J.D., Baker, A.E., Cichon, J.R., Wood, A.R., and Rudin, A., 2005, Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (FAVA): Contamination potential of Florida's principal aquifer systems: Florida Geological Survey, Bulletin [in review] (Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (2005)). (*GRI Source Map ID 6921*).


Structure Contour Lines

Wexler, E.J., 2004, Hydrostratigraphy Review Report for South West Florida Feasibility Study: Consultant's Report to South Florida Water Management District, unpublished digital data, scale 1:100,000 (South West Florida Feasibility Study, Hydrostratigraphy (2004)). (*GRI Source Map ID 7242*).

Only a portion of each source map extent or dataset was used from each source. Additional information pertaining to each source map is also presented in the GRI Source Map Information (BICYMAP) table included with the GRI geologic-GIS data.

Index Map

The following index map displays the extent of the GRI digital geologic-GIS map for Big Cyprus National Preserve (BICY). The boundary of Big Cyprus National Preserve (as of March, 2021) is outlined in green. The extent of the GRI digital geologic-GIS map for Big Cyprus National Preserve is outlined in gray.



Index map by Stephanie O'Meara (Colorado State University).

Map Unit List

The geologic units present in the digital geologic-GIS data produced for Big Cyprus National Preserve, Florida (BICY) are listed below. Units are listed with their assigned unit symbol and unit name (e.g., Qh - Holocene sediments). Units are listed from youngest to oldest.

Cenozoic Era

Quaternary Period

Quaternary and Pleistocene Epochs
Qh - Holocene sediments
Qu - Pleistocene/Holocene, undifferentiated

Pleistocene Epoch
Qm - Miami Limestone

Cenozoic and Tertiary Eras

Pleistocene and Pliocene Epochs
OTsu - Shell-bearing sediments

Tertiary Era

Pliocene Epoch
Tt - Tamiami Formation

Map Unit Descriptions

Descriptions of all geologic map units, generally listed from youngest to oldest, are presented below. All descriptions were derived from the following source, Geologic Formations of Florida.

Qh - Holocene sediments (Holocene)

The Holocene sediments in Florida occur near the present coastline at elevations generally less than 5 feet (1.5 meters). The sediments include quartz sands, carbonate sands and muds, and organics.

Qu - Pleistocene/Holocene, undifferentiated (Holocene and Pleistocene)

Qu - Undifferentiated Quaternary Sediments (Pleistocene/Holocene)

Qal Qbd Qtr Qu Undifferentiated Quaternary Sediments (Pleistocene Holocene) Much of Florida's surface is covered by a varying thickness of undifferentiated sediments consisting of siliciclastics, organics and freshwater carbonates. Where these sediments exceed 20 feet (6.1 meters) thick, they were mapped as discrete units. In an effort to subdivide the undifferentiated sediments, those sediments occurring in flood plains were mapped as alluvial and flood plain deposits (Qal). Sediments showing surficial expression of beach ridges and dunes were mapped separately (Qbd) as were the sediments composing Trail Ridge (Qtr). Terrace sands were not mapped (refer to Healy [1975] for a discussion of the terraces in Florida). The subdivisions of the Undifferentiated Quaternary Sediments (Qu) are not lithostratigraphic units but are utilized in order to facilitate a better understanding of the State's geology.

The siliciclastics are light gray, tan, brown to black, unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, clean to clayey, silty, unfossiliferous, variably organic-bearing sands to blue green to olive green, poorly to moderately consolidated, sandy, silty clays. Gravel is occasionally present in the panhandle. Organics occur as plant debris, roots, disseminated organic matrix and beds of peat. Freshwater carbonates, often referred to as marls in the literature, are scattered over much of the State. In southern Florida, freshwater carbonates are nearly ubiquitous in the Everglades. These sediments are buff colored to tan, unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, fossiliferous carbonate muds. Sand, silt and clay may be present in limited quantities. These carbonates often contain organics. The dominant fossils in the freshwater carbonates are mollusks.

Qm - Miami Limestone (Pleistocene)

The Miami Limestone (formerly the Miami Oolite), named by Sanford (1909), occurs at or near the surface in southeastern peninsular Florida from Palm Beach County to Dade and Monroe Counties. It forms the Atlantic Coastal Ridge and extends beneath the Everglades where it is commonly covered by thin organic and freshwater sediments. The Miami Limestone occurs on the mainland and in the southern Florida Keys from Big Pine Key to the Marquesas Keys. From Big Pine Key to the mainland, the Miami Limestone is replaced by the Key Largo Limestone. To the north, in Palm Beach County, the Miami Limestone grades laterally northward into the Anastasia Formation.

The Miami Limestone consists of two facies, an oolitic facies and a bryozoan facies (Hoffmeister *et al*. [1967]). The oolitic facies consists of white to orangish gray, poorly to moderately indurated, sandy, oolitic limestone (grainstone) with scattered concentrations of fossils. The bryozoan facies consists of white to orangish gray, poorly to well indurated, sandy, fossiliferous limestone (grainstone and packstone). Beds of quartz sand are also present as unindurated sediments and indurated limey sandstones. Fossils present include mollusks, bryozoans, and corals. Molds and casts of fossils are common. The highly porous and permeable Miami Limestone forms much of the Biscayne Aquifer of the surficical aquifer system.

QTsu - Shell-bearing sediments (Pleistocene and Pliocene)

TQsu Tertiary-Quaternary Fossiliferous Sediments of Southern Florida (Pliocene to Pleistocene)

Mollusk-bearing sediments of southern Florida contain some of the most abundant and diverse fossil faunas in the world. The origin of these accumulations of fossil mollusks is imprecisely known (Allmon, 1992). The shell beds have attracted much attention due to the abundance and preservation of the fossils but the biostratigraphy and lithostratigraphy of the units has not been well defined (Scott, 1992). Scott and Wingard (1995) discussed the problems associated with biostratigraphy and lithostratigraphy of the Plio-Pleistocene in southern Florida. These “formations” are biostratigraphic units.

The “formations” previously recognized within the latest Tertiary-Quaternary section of southern Florida include the latest Pliocene - early Pleistocene Caloosahatchee Formation, the early Pleistocene Bermont formation (informal) and the late Pleistocene Fort Thompson Formation. This section consists of fossiliferous sands and carbonates. The identification of these units is problematic unless the significant molluscan species are recognized. Often exposures are not extensive enough to facilitate the collection of representative faunal samples to properly discern the biostratigraphic identification of the formation. In an attempt to alleviate the inherent problems in the biostratigraphic recognition of lithostratigraphic units, Scott (1992) suggested grouping the latest Pliocene through late Pleistocene Caloosahatchee, Bermont and Fort Thompson Formations in to a single lithostratigraphic entity, the Okeechobee formation (informal). In mapping the shelly sands and carbonates, a generalized grouping as Tertiary-Quaternary shell units (TQsu) was utilized. This is equivalent to the informal Okeechobee formation. The distribution of the Caloosahatchee and Fort Thompson Formation are shown on previous geologic maps by Cooke (1945), Vernon and Puri (1964) and Brooks (1982).

The Nashua Formation occurs within the Pliocene - Pleistocene in northern Florida. However, it crops out or is near the surface is an area too small to be shown on a map of this scale.

Lithologically these sediments are complex, varying from unconsolidated, variably calcareous and fossiliferous quartz sands to well indurated, sandy, fossiliferous limestones (both marine and freshwater). Clayey sands and sandy clays are present. These sediments form part of the surficial aquifer system.

Tt - Tamiami Formation (Pliocene)

The Tamiami Formation (Mansfield, 1939) is a poorly defined lithostratigraphic unit containing a wide range of mixed carbonate-siliciclastic lithologies and associated faunas (Missimer, 1992). It occurs at or near the land surface in Charlotte, Lee, Hendry, Collier and Monroe Counties in the southern peninsula. A number of named and unnamed members are recognized within the Tamiami Formation. These include: the Buckingham Limestone Member; an unnamed tan clay and sand; an oyster (*Hyotissa*) facies, a sand facies, the Ochopee Limestone Member, the Bonita Springs Marl Member; an unnamed limestone facies; the Golden Gate Reef Member; and the Pinecrest Sand Member (Missimer, 1992). The individual members of the Tamiami Formation were not separately mapped on the geological map.


Lithologies of the Tamiami Formation in the mapped area include: 1) light gray to tan, unconsolidated, fine to coarse grained, fossiliferous sand; 2) light gray to green, poorly consolidated, fossiliferous sandy clay to clayey sand; 3) light gray, poorly consolidated, very fine to medium grained, calcareous, fossiliferous sand; 4) white to light gray, poorly consolidated, sandy, fossiliferous limestone; and 5) white to light gray, moderately to well indurated, sandy, fossiliferous limestone. Phosphate is present in virtually all lithologies as limited quantities of sand- to gravel-sized grains. Fossils present in the Tamiami occur as molds, casts and original material. The fossils present include barnacles, mollusks, corals, echinoids, foraminifers and calcareous nannoplankton.

The Tamiami Formation has highly permeable to impermeable lithologies that form a complex aquifer. Locally, it is part of the surficial aquifer system. In other areas, it forms a part of the intermediate confining unit/aquifer system.

Geologic Cross Sections


The geologic cross sections present in the GRI digital geologic-GIS data produced for Big Cyprus National Preserve, Florida (BICY) are presented below. Note that some cross section abbreviations (e. g., A - A') may have been changed from their source map abbreviation in the GRI digital geologic-GIS data so that each cross section abbreviation in the GRI data is unique. Cross section graphics were scanned at a high resolution and can be viewed in more detail by zooming in (if viewing the digital format of this document).

Cross Section A-A'




Graphics from source map: Surficial Aquifer System (Broward County)

Cross Section B-B'




Graphic from source map: Surficial Aquifer System (Broward County). Cross section is C-C' on source map.

Cross Section C-C'




Graphics from source map: Surficial Aquifer System (Broward County). Cross section is D-D' on source map.

Cross Section D-D'




Graphics from source map: Surficial Aquifer System (Broward County). Cross section is E-E' on source map.


Cross Section E-E'



Graphics from source map: Surficial Aquifer System (Dade County). Cross section is A-A' on source map.


Cross Section F-F'






Graphics from source map: Surficial Aquifer System (Dade County). Cross section is B-B' on source map.

Cross Section G-G'




Graphics from source map: Surficial Aquifer System (Dade County). Cross section is C-C' on source map.

Cross Section H-H'



Graphic from source map: Surficial Aquifer System (Dade County). Cross section is F-F' on source map.

Cross Section I-I'



Graphic from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida. Cross section is B-B' on source map.

Cross Section J-J'




Figure 10. Hydrogeologic section A-A′. Location of section line shown in figure 9.

Graphic from source map: Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer. Cross section is A-A' on source map.

Cross Section K-K'




Figure 12. Hydrogeologic section *B-B'*. Location of section line shown in figure 9.

Graphic from source map: Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer. Cross section is B-B' on source map.

Cross Section L-L'




Figure 13. Hydrogeologic section *C-C'*. Location of section line shown in figure 9. Lithologies of test coreholes C-1134, C-1136, and MO-178 are based in part on descriptions from Weedman and others (1999).

Graphic from source map: Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer. Cross section is C-C' on source map.

Cross Section M-M'




Figure 2. Hydrogeologic section in central Miami-Dade County along Tamiami Trail (modified from Fish and Stewart, 1991, fig. 6b(. Line of section shown in figure 1.

Graphic from source map: Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer. Cross section is figure 2 on source map.

Cross Section N-N'




Figure 19. Relations among aquifers and confining units in a coast-to-coast section across the southern peninsula of Florida along Alligator Alley. Section line drawn, from west to east, through the Southern States Utilities and Picayune Strand test wells (Weedman and others, 1997), wells C-1117, C-1141, C-1181, C-1173, C-1139, C-1182, C-1169, G-2329, G-2330, G-2320, G-2319, G-2321, G-2322 (all listed in appendix II), and G-2345 and G-2347 (both listed in Fish, 1988).

Graphic from source map: Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer. Cross section is figure 19 on source map.

Ancillary Source Map Information

The following sections present ancillary source map information associated with source used for this project.

Surficial Aquifer System (Broward County)

The formal citation for this source.

> Causaras, C.R., 1985, Geology of the Surficial Aquifer System, Broward County, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigations Report 84, scale 1:134,000 (*GRI Source Map ID 3055*).

Cross sections associated with this publication are presented in the Geologic Cross Sections section of this document.

Surficial Aquifer System (Dade County)

The formal citation for this source.

> Causaras, C.R., 1986, Geology of the Surficial Aquifer System, Dade County, Florida: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigations Report 86, scale 1:136,000 (*GRI Source Map ID 3056*).

Cross sections associated with this publication are presented in the Geologic Cross Sections section of this document.

Geologic Formations of Florida

The formal citation for this source.

> Scott, Thomas M., 2001, Geologic Formations of Florida (digital): Florida Geological Survey, Open-File Report 80, scale 1:126,720 (*GRI Source Map ID 3682*).

Available in printed form as:

> Scott, T.M., Campbell, K.M., Rupert, F.R., Arthur, J.D., Missimer, T.M., Lloyd, J.M., Yon, J.W., and Duncan, J.G., 2001, Geologic Map of the State of Florida: Florida Geological Survey, Map Series 146, scale 1:750,000.

Prominent text and graphics associated with this source.

Introduction

The Florida Platform lies on the south-central part of the North American Plate, extending to the southeast from the North American continent separating the Gulf of Mexico from the Atlantic Ocean. The Florida Platform, as measured above the 300 foot (91 meter) isobath, spans more than 350 miles (565 kilometers) at its greatest width and extends southward more than 450 miles (725 kilometers) at its greatest length. The modern Florida peninsula is the exposed part of the platform and lies predominantly east of the axis of the platform. Most of the State of Florida lies on the Florida Platform; the western panhandle is part of the Gulf Coastal Plain.

The basement rocks of the Florida Platform include Precambrian-Cambrian igneous rocks, Ordovician-Devonian sedimentary rocks, and Triassic-Jurassic volcanic rocks (Arthur, 1988). Florida's igneous and sedimentary foundation separated from what is now the African Plate when the super-continent Pangea rifted apart in the Triassic (pre-Middle Jurassic?) and sutured to the North American craton (Smith, 1982).

A thick sequence of mid-Jurassic to Holocene sediments (unlithified to well lithified) lies unconformably upon the eroded surface of the basement rocks. Carbonate sedimentation predominated from mid-Jurassic until at least mid-Oligocene on most of the Florida Platform. In response to renewed uplift and erosion in the Appalachian highlands to the north and sea-level fluctuations, siliciclastic sediments began to encroach upon the carbonate-depositing environments of the Florida Platform. Deposition of siliciclastic-bearing carbonates and siliciclastic sediments predominated from mid-Oligocene to the Holocene over much of the platform. Numerous disconformities that formed in response to nondeposition and erosion resulting from sea-level fluctuations occur within the stratigraphic section.

The oldest sediments exposed at the modern land surface are Middle Eocene carbonates of the Avon Park Formation which crop out on the crest of the Ocala Platform in west-central Florida. The pattern of exposures of younger sediments is obvious on the geologic map. Much of the state is blanketed by Pliocene to Holocene siliciclastic and siliciclastic-bearing sediments that were deposited in response to late Tertiary and Quaternary sea-level fluctuations.

The characteristic landscape of Florida is relatively to extremely flat. There are few large, natural exposures and limited smaller exposures that geologists can investigate. The result is that geologists must rely primarily on de-watered or dry pits and quarries for exposures and must make use of subsurface data in studying the geology of Florida. Subsurface data, in the form of well cuttings and cores, were utilized extensively in the development of this map. Formational tops recognized in the subsurface have been extrapolated to the surface where exposures are limited.

Text from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Previous Investigations

Previously published geological maps of Florida include Smith (1881), Dall and Harris (1892), Matson et al. (1909), Sellards, Gunter and Cooke (1922), Cooke and Mossom (1929), Cooke (1945), Vernon (1951), Vernon and Puri (1964) and Brooks (1982).

Groundwork for a new geologic map of Florida began in the 1980s with a county-level mapping effort as part of a statewide radon investigation. The county maps created for the radon project were merged and modified to produce a new State map. The geologists from the Florida Geological Survey (FGS) involved in the project included Jon Arthur, Ken Campbell, Joel Duncan, Frank Rupert, and Tom Scott. Tom Missimer, Missimer International, Ft. Myers, Florida was part of the mapping team for Charlotte and Lee Counties. Previous mapping provided a basis for this project. Geologists involved in the preliminary mapping included Paulette Bond, Richard Johnson, Ed Lane, Walt Schmidt and Bill Yon.

Text from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Methods

Much of Florida is covered by a blanket of Pliocene to Holocene, undifferentiated siliciclastics that range in thickness from less than one foot to greater than 100 feet. As a result, in developing the criteria for producing this map, FGS geologists decided to map the first recognizable lithostratigraphic unit occurring within 20 feet (6.1 meters) of the land surface. In areas where highly karstic limestones

underlie the undifferentiated siliciclastics, paleosinkholes may be infilled with significantly thicker sequences of siliciclastics. If the shallowest occurrences of the karstic carbonates were 20 feet (6.1 meters) or less below land surface, the carbonate lithostratigraphic unit was mapped. If the carbonates lie more than 20 feet (6.1 meters) below land surface, an undifferentiated siliciclastic unit was mapped.

Undifferentiated siliciclastic sediments occur in significant thickness (>20 feet [6.1 meters]) over much of the Gulf Coastal Lowlands (White, 1970; Scott, in preparation) and the eastern part of the Florida peninsula. Where these sediments were mapped, efforts were made to determine if beach-ridge or dune topography was present in order to subdivide the siliciclastic sediments.

Lithostratigraphic terminology applied in this mapping effort followed, with limited changes, the lithostratigraphic framework delineated for the Gulf Coast Region chart from the Correlation of Stratigraphic Units of North America Project (COSUNA) (Braunstein *et al*., 1988). Although some of the units depicted on the COSUNA chart have a significant biostratigraphic basis, the COSUNA chart represents the best effort to date to provide an accurate stratigraphic framework for the Florida Platform and surrounding regions.

A peer review of the geologic map and this text by members of the geologic community outside the FGS was done by S. Upchurch, R. Portell, T. Missimer, J. Bryan, J. Vecchioli, A. Tihansky, K. Cunningham, G.L. Barr and R. Spechler. The FGS greatly appreciates the efforts of these geologists.

FGS cartographers Jim Jones and Ted Kiper worked on the initial phase of this project. CAD analyst Amy Graves assisted in the map preparation. Lou Cross and Peter Krafft from Florida Resources and Environmental Analysis Center, Florida State University, finalized the map in preparation for publication.

Text from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Stratigraphic Column and Cross Sections

Lithostratigraphic units expressed on the State geological map range from Middle Eocene to Holocene. The stratigraphic column showing the lithostratigraphic units utilized on the map delineates only the formations occurring at or near the surface (Figure 1). Table 1 lists the stratigraphic units and provides a brief lithologic components list. Cross sections (Figures 2 and 3) were constructed utilizing cores and well cuttings from the FGS well cuttings and core repository. By necessity, the cross sections show some lithostratigraphic units that do not crop out. These include the Pensacola Clay, Coarse Clastics, Bruce Creek Limestone, and the Long Key Formation. Table 2 lists information for the wells used in the cross sections.

Text from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Geologic Structures

The geologic structures (Figure 4) that have affected shallow Tertiary and Quaternary sediments of the Florida Platform have been defined by numerous authors (Puri and Vernon, 1964; Miller, 1986; Scott, 1988; Scott, 1991). The majority of the structures recognized as influencing the deposition, erosion and alteration of the Cenozoic sediments in Florida do not appear to have had a significant effect on the surface expression of the lithostratigraphic units. These geologic structures include the Gulf Basin, Jacksonville Basin, St. Johns Platform, Sanford High, Brevard Platform, Osceola Low and the Okeechobee Basin (Scott, 1992). Those structural features that exerted an influence on the surficial or very near surface distribution of the Cenozoic sediments, or mark areas of significant facies changes, include the Gulf Trough/Apalachicola Embayment, Chattahoochee “Anticline” and the Ocala Platform. Eocene sediments crop out on the Chattahoochee Anticline and the Ocala Platform. The Gulf

Trough/Apalachicola Embayment formed an important bathymetric and environmental barrier from the latest Eocene or earliest Oligocene into the Miocene. As a result, the Oligocene carbonate facies east and south of the Gulf Trough/Apalachicola Embayment are distinctly different from those occurring to the west and north (see Schmidt [1984] and Bryan [1991] for discussion).

Text from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Lithostratigraphic Units

Tertiary System

Eocene Series
Middle Eocene - Bartonian Stage

Tap - Avon Park Formation - Middle Eocene carbonate sediments of peninsular Florida, as originally described by Applin and Applin (1944), were subdivided, in ascending order, into the Lake City Limestone and the Avon Park Limestone. Miller (1986) recommended combining the Lake City Limestone with the Avon Park Limestone and, due to the common occurrence of dolostone, referred to the unit as the Avon Park Formation. Carbonates of the Avon Park Formation are the oldest sediments exposed in the state. The Avon Park Formation crops out in a limited area in west-central peninsular Florida in Levy and Citrus Counties on the crest of the Ocala Platform.

The Avon Park Formation consists of cream to light-brown or tan, poorly indurated to well indurated, variably fossiliferous, limestone (grainstone, packstone and wackestone, with rare mudstone). These limestones are interbedded with tan to brown, very poorly indurated to well indurated, very fine to medium crystalline, fossiliferous (molds and casts), vuggy dolostones. The fossils present include mollusks, foraminifers, echinoids, algae and carbonized plant remains. Molds and casts of gypsum crystals occur locally.

The Avon Park Formation is part of the Floridan aquifer system (FAS). Parts of the Avon Park Formation comprise important, subregional confining units within the FAS (Miller, 1986).

Upper Eocene - Priabonian Stage

To - Ocala Limestone - Dall and Harris (1892) referred to the limestones exposed near Ocala, Marion County, in central peninsular Florida as the Ocala Limestone. Puri (1953, 1957) elevated the Ocala Limestone to group status recognizing its component formations on the basis of foraminiferal faunas (biozones). Scott (1991) reduced the Ocala Group to formational status in accordance with the North American Stratigraphic Code (North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 1983).

The Ocala Limestone consists of nearly pure limestones and occasional dolostones. It can be subdivided into lower and upper facies on the basis of lithology. The lower member is composed of a white to cream-colored, fine to medium grained, poorly to moderately indurated, very fossiliferous limestone (grainstone and packstone). The lower facies may not be present throughout the areal extent of the Ocala Limestone and may be partially to completely dolomitized in some regions (Miller, 1986). The upper facies is a white, poorly to well indurated, poorly sorted, very fossiliferous limestone (grainstone, packstone and wackestone). Silicified limestone (chert) is common in the upper facies. Fossils present in the Ocala Limestone include abundant large and smaller foraminifers, echinoids, bryozoans and mollusks. The large foraminifera *Lepidocyclina* sp. is abundant in the upper facies and extremely limited in the lower facies. The presence of these large foraminifers in the upper facies is quite distinctive.

The Ocala Limestone is at or near the surface within the Ocala Karst District in the westcentral to northwestern peninsula and within the Dougherty Plain District in the north-central panhandle (Scott, in preparation). In these areas, the Ocala Limestone exhibits extensive karstification. These karst features often have tens of feet (meters) of relief, dramatically influencing the topography of the Ocala Karst District and the Dougherty Plain District (Scott, in preparation). Numerous disappearing streams and springs occur within these areas.

The permeable, highly transmissive carbonates of the Ocala Limestone form an important part of the FAS. It is one of the most permeable rock units in the FAS (Miller, 1986).

Tre - Residuum on Eocene sediments - The post-Eocene residuum lying on Eocene sediments in the panhandle consists of reddish brown, sandy clays and clayey sands with inclusions of weathered Eocene limestones. Some of the inclusions are silicified carbonates.

Oligocene Series

Lower Oligocene - Rupelian Stage

Previous geologic maps of Florida presented the Lower Oligocene sediments exposed at the surface or in the shallow subsurface in a variety of ways. Cooke (1945) mapped, in ascending order, the Marianna Limestone, Byram Formation, Suwannee Limestone and the Flint River Formation. Vernon and Puri (1964) identified the Marianna Limestone, “Byram” Formation, Duncan Church beds and the Suwannee Limestone. Brooks (1982) recognized the Marianna Limestone, Suwannee Limestone and the Duncan Church facies of the Suwannee Limestone. The variations in the stratigraphic units are indicative of the confusion over the lithologic recognition and subdivision of the Lower Oligocene sediments. The confusion is at least partially due to the use of biostratigraphic data to subdivide the lithostratigraphic units.

Huddlestun (1993) recognized a tripartite subdivision in the type area of the Suwannee Limestone in northwestern peninsular Florida and proposed the Ellaville Limestone, Suwannacoochee Dolostone and Suwannee Limestone. In the panhandle, west of the Gulf Trough, Huddlestun (1993) recognized the Marianna Limestone and an undifferentiated residuum as the Oligocene sediments extending into Florida’s panhandle from Georgia. Huddlestun (1993) also recognized Bucatunna Formation, Florala Limestone, Bridgeboro Limestone and an unnamed marl in Okaloosa and Walton Counties.

Bryan (1991, 1993) provides a better framework for the recognition of the various facies within the Lower Oligocene sediments. Within this framework, the Ellaville Limestone, Suwannacoochee Dolostone and Suwannee Limestone occur within his Florida Platform Association east and south of the Gulf Trough. West of the Gulf Trough in the Florida panhandle (Bryan’s Eastern Gulf Shelf Association), Bryan (1991) recognized the Bumpnose Limestone, Marianna Limestone, Bridgeboro Limestone, Florala Limestone, Suwannee Limestone, Byram Marl and Bucatunna Formation.

The limited data available, the occurrence of thin beds of some of these units and the questionable occurrence of other units made mapping the Lower Oligocene sediments in the central panhandle problematic. The approach selected by FGS geologists was to combine the units into several mappable units appropriate for the scale of the present map. These mappable units include: undifferentiated Oligocene sediments composed of the Bumpnose Limestone, Marianna Limestone, Bridgeboro Limestone, Florala Limestone, Suwannee Limestone, thin beds of the Byram Marl and Bucatunna Formation and undifferentiated Oligocene residuum (see Huddlestun [1993] for a discussion of the origin of the residuum).

The Lower Oligocene sediments of peninsular Florida are mapped as the Suwannee Limestone and are not subdivided into the Ellaville Limestone, Suwannacoochee Dolostone and Suwannee Limestone. This mapping convention was adopted by FGS geologists due to the limited data on the areal distribution of the Ellaville Limestone and Suwannacoochee Dolostone.

Ts - Suwannee Limestone - Peninsular Lower Oligocene carbonates crop out on the northwestern, northeastern and southwestern flanks of the Ocala Platform. The Suwannee Limestone is absent from the eastern side of the Ocala Platform due to erosion, nondeposition or both, an area referred to as Orange Island (Bryan, 1991).

The Suwannee Limestone, originally named by Cooke and Mansfield (1936), consists of a white to cream, poorly to well indurated, fossiliferous, vuggy to moldic limestone (grainstone and packstone). The dolomitized parts of the Suwannee Limestone are gray, tan, light brown to moderate brown, moderately to well indurated, finely to coarsely crystalline, dolostone with limited occurrences of fossiliferous (molds and casts) beds. Silicified limestone is common in Suwannee Limestone. Fossils present in the Suwannee Limestone include mollusks, foraminifers, corals and echinoids.

Tsm - Undifferentiated Lower Oligocene Sediments - The undifferentiated Lower Oligocene sediments of the central panhandle consist of white to cream-colored, poorly to well indurated, variably fossiliferous limestones (grainstone, packstone, wackestone and mudstone). Glauconite occurs in some sediments. Siliciclastics form a minor component in some sediments. Thin beds of siliciclastics (Byram Marl and Buccatuna Formation) are included in the undifferentiated Lower Oligocene sediments. The Lower Oligocene carbonates form important parts of the upper FAS (Miller, 1986).

Tro - Residuum on Oligocene sediments - The undifferentiated Oligocene residuum, mapped on parts of the Chattahoochee “Anticline”, characteristically consists of reddish brown, variably sandy clay with inclusions of variably fossiliferous, silicified limestone (Huddlestun, 1993). The residuum includes Lower and Upper Oligocene weathered sediments (Huddlestun, 1993).

Oligocene - Miocene Series

Upper Oligocene - Middle Miocene - Chattian - Serravalian Stage

PENINSULA

Lower Hawthorn Group

Recent investigations into the Oligocene of southern Florida documented the existence of a thick (>330 feet [100 meters]) Upper Oligocene section previously considered Miocene (Scott *et al*., 1994; Missimer and Scott, 1995; Brewster-Wingard *et al*., 1997). The Arcadia Formation, Hawthorn Group, previously thought to be predominantly Early Miocene (Scott, 1988), is now known to be late Early Oligocene to Middle Miocene (Brewster-Wingard *et al*., 1997; Missimer, 1997). The Tampa Limestone (or Formation of previous usage [Puri and Vernon, 1964]) is a member of the Arcadia Formation, Hawthorn Group (Scott, 1988). The Tampa Member’s previous age assignment was latest Oligocene to Early Miocene (Scott, 1988). Brewster- Wingard *et al*. (1997) recognized the Tampa Member as being Late Oligocene to Early Miocene.

Tha - Hawthorn Group, Arcadia Formation - The undifferentiated Arcadia Formation and the Tampa Member crop out on the southwestern flank of the Ocala Platform from Pasco County southward to Sarasota County. Although ages of the outcropping sediments have not been accurately determined, stratigraphic position suggests that the Upper Oligocene parts of the Arcadia Formation and Tampa Member are exposed in this region, particularly from Hillsborough County northward to Pasco County.

The Arcadia Formation, named by Scott (1988), is predominantly a carbonate unit with a variable siliciclastic component, including thin beds of siliciclastics. Within the outcrop area, the Arcadia Formation, with the exception of the Tampa Member, is composed of yellowish gray to light olive gray to light brown, micro to finely crystalline, variably sandy, clayey, and phosphatic, fossiliferous limestones and dolostones. Thin beds of sand and clay are common. The sands are yellowish gray, very fine to medium grained, poorly to moderately indurated, clayey, dolomitic and phosphatic. The clays are yellowish gray to light olive gray, poorly to moderately indurated, sandy, silty, phosphatic and dolomitic.

Molds and casts of mollusks are common in the dolostones. Silicified carbonates and opalized claystone are found in the Arcadia Formation.

That - Arcadia Formation, Tampa Member - The Tampa Member consists predominantly of limestone with subordinate dolostone, sand and clay (Scott, 1988). The lithology of the Tampa Member is very similar to that of the subsurface limestone part of the Arcadia Formation except that the Tampa Member contains noticeably less phosphate (Scott, 1988). The limestone in the Tampa is white to yellowish gray, fossiliferous and variably sandy and clayey mudstone, wackestone and packstone with minor to no phosphate grains. Sand and clay beds are like those in the undifferentiated Arcadia Formation. Mollusks and corals are common in the Tampa Member as molds and casts, silicified pseudomorphs and original shell material. The Tampa Member and the lower part of the Arcadia Formation form the upper part of the Floridan aquifer system (FAS) in parts of southern Florida (Miller, 1986; Scott, 1991).

PANHANDLE

Upper Oligocene sediments are not known to crop out in the Florida panhandle. The Chickasawhay Formation of Alabama has been traced in the subsurface into the central panhandle but is not exposed on the Chattahoochee Anticline (Miller, 1986).

Miocene Series

Lower Miocene to Upper Miocene - Aquitanian to Messinian Stage

Sediments of the Miocene Series have been the focus of numerous investigations due to their complex nature and widespread occurrence in Florida (see Schmidt and Clark [1980], Huddlestun [1988] and Scott [1988] for a review of previous investigations). The Miocene sediments consist of siliciclastics, carbonates and mixed siliciclastic-carbonate lithologies with numerous lateral and vertical facies changes. Exposures are limited and most investigations dealt with these sediments in the subsurface.

Miocene sediments crop out or occur in the shallow subsurface on the northwestern flank of the Ocala Platform in the eastern panhandle to the flanks of the Chattahoochee “Anticline” in the central panhandle then into the western panhandle to Okaloosa County. In the peninsula, the Miocene sediments crop out or are in the shallow subsurface from the northern flank of the Ocala Platform in Hamilton, Columbia and Baker Counties southward to Charlotte County. Some of the most beautiful landscapes in the State occur where the Miocene sediments are exposed, eroded and often affected by karstification of underlying Paleogene carbonates.

The importance of the Miocene sediments in Florida is twofold - first, these sediments contain valuable mineral resources, primarily phosphate and adsorptive clays; and, second, the Miocene sediments comprise the intermediate confining unit and aquifer system. Whereas the principle geological hazard associated with Paleogene carbonates is karst development, the hazards associated with the Miocene sediments are radon gas and swelling clays.

Significant changes in age determinations or interpretations have occurred for the sediments traditionally considered as Miocene in the peninsula. Puri and Vernon (1964) recognized a simple three-fold subdivision of the Miocene in peninsular Florida. Their subdivision of the Miocene was that all Lower Miocene sediments were St. Marks Formation (Tampa [Note that they used Tampa as a stage name so all sediments that had been called Tampa were placed in the St. Marks Formation statewide]), Middle Miocene sediments were Hawthorn Formation and Upper Miocene sediments were Tamiami Formation. Poag (1972) placed the lower portion of the Chattahochee Formation in the Upper Oligocene. Currently, geologists recognize that the Hawthorn Group spans from the mid-Oligocene to Early Pliocene (Brewster Wingard *et al.*, 1997; Missimer, 1997). The Tamiami Formation is Early to Late Pliocene (Missimer, 1997).

The Miocene lithostratigraphic units recognized by this study in the panhandle include the Chattahoochee Formation, St. Marks Formation, Alum Bluff Group, Torreya Formation (Hawthorn Group) and residuum. In the peninsula, the Miocene units mapped include the undifferentiated Hawthorn Group, Coosawhatchie Formation, Charlton Member, and the Peace River Formation and its Bone Valley Member.

Tch - Chattahoochee Formation - The Chattahoochee Formation, originally named by Dall and Stanley-Brown (1894), is predominantly a yellowish gray, poorly to moderately indurated, fine-grained, often fossiliferous (molds and casts), silty to finely sandy dolostone (Huddlestun, 1988). Siliciclastic beds and limestones may be present.

The Chattahoochee Formation is exposed in Jackson County, central panhandle, on the Chattahoochee “Anticline”. It grades laterally across the Gulf Trough into the St. Marks Formation through a broad transition area (Scott, 1986). The Chattahoochee Formation forms the upper part of the FAS in the central panhandle.

Tsmk - St. Marks Formation - The Lower Miocene St. Marks Formation, named by Finch (1823), is exposed in Wakulla, Leon and Jefferson Counties on the northwestern flank of the Ocala Platform. It is a white to yellowish gray, poorly to moderately indurated, sandy, fossiliferous (molds and casts) limestone (packstone to wackestone). Mollusk molds and casts are often abundant. The St. Marks Formation makes up the upper part of the FAS in part of the eastern panhandle.

Hawthorn Group

The Hawthorn Group in Florida is composed of a number of different formations and members (Scott, 1988; Huddlestun, 1988). Most of the formations are defined from subsurface evaluations. As a result, for mapping purposes, all the component formations are not recognized on the geologic map.

In the eastern panhandle, the upper Lower Miocene Torreya Formation, including the Dogtown and Sopchoppy Members (Huddlestun and Hunter, 1982), comprises the entire Hawthorn Group (Scott, 1988). The Dogtown and Sopchoppy Members are not delineated on the map.

In northern peninsular Florida, the Hawthorn Group consists of the lower Lower Miocene Penney Farms Formation and, rarely, the Parachucla Formation; the upper Lower Miocene Marks Head Formation; the Middle Miocene Coosawhatchie Formation and the Statenville Formation (Scott, 1988; Huddlestun, 1988). The Charlton Member of the Coosawhatchie Formation is recognized in a limited area. The Penney Farms and Marks Head Formations are not recognized cropping out in significant exposures. The undifferentiated Hawthorn Group was mapped where component formations were questionable or difficult to differentiate due to very limited data.

In southern peninsular Florida, the Hawthorn Group formations include the Upper Oligocene to Middle Miocene Arcadia Formation including the Tampa and Nocatee Members and the Middle Miocene to Early Pliocene Peace River Formation with its Bone Valley Member and Wabasso beds (Scott, 1988). The Nocatee Member of the Arcadia Formation and the Wabasso beds of the Peace River Formation were not recognized at or near land surface and do not appear on the geologic map.

Tht - Torreya Formation - The Torreya Formation is exposed or near the surface from western Gadsden County eastward to western-most Hamilton County. It is informally subdivided into a lower carbonate unit and an upper siliciclastic unit (Scott, 1988). The majority of Torreya Formation outcrops expose the siliciclastic part of the unit. The carbonate sediments are white to light olive gray, generally poorly indurated, variably sandy and clayey, fossiliferous (molds and casts) limestone (mudstone and wackestone). The limestones often grade into calcareous cemented sands. Phosphate is present in the carbonate sediments, particularly in the Sopchoppy Member. The siliciclastics vary from white to light olive gray, unconsolidated to poorly indurated, slightly clayey sands with minor phosphate to light gray to bluish gray, poorly consolidated, variably silty clay (Dogtown Member). The siliciclastics are sporadically fossiliferous. The Torreya Formation overlies the FAS and forms part of the intermediate confining unit/aquifer system.

Thc - Coosawhatchie Formation - The Coosawhatchie Formation is exposed or lies beneath a thin overburden on the eastern flank of the Ocala Platform from southern Columbia County to southern Marion County. Within the outcrop region, the Coosawhatchie Formation varies from a light gray to olive gray, poorly consolidated, variably clayey and phosphatic sand with few fossils, to an olive gray, poorly to moderately consolidated, slightly sandy, silty clay with few to no fossils. Occasionally the sands will contain a dolomitic component and, rarely, the dominant lithology will be dolostone or limestone. Silicified nodules are often present in the Coosawhatchie Formation sediments in the outcrop region. The sediment may contain 20 percent or more phosphate (Scott, 1988). Permeability of the Coosawhatchie sediments is generally low, forming part of the intermediate confining unit/aquifer system.

Thcc - Coosawhatchie Formation, Charlton Member - The Charlton Member (originally the Charlton formation, Veatch and Stevenson, 1911), crops out only in northern Nassau County near and along the St. Marys River. The Charlton Member in this area consists primarily of light gray to greenish gray, poorly to moderately consolidated, dolomitic to calcareous, silty, sandy, locally fossiliferous clays. Few carbonate beds occur.

Ths - Statenville Formation - The Statenville Formation occurs at or near the surface in a limited area of Hamilton, Columbia and Baker Counties on the northeastern flank of the Ocala Platform. The formation consists of interbedded sands, clays and dolostones with common to very abundant phosphate grains. The sands predominate and are light gray to light olive gray, poorly indurated, phosphatic, fine to coarse grained with scattered gravel and with minor occurrences of fossils. Clays are yellowish gray to olive gray, poorly consolidated, variably sandy and phosphatic, and variably dolomitic. The dolostones, which occur as thin beds, are yellowish gray to light orange, poorly to well indurated, sandy, clayey and phosphatic with scattered mollusk molds and casts. Phosphate occurs in the Statenville Formation in economically important amounts. Silicified fossils and opalized claystones are found in the Statenville Formation. Permeability of these sediments is generally low, forming part of the intermediate confining unit/aquifer system.

Th - Undifferentiated Hawthorn Group - The undifferentiated Hawthorn Group occurs at or near the surface near the southern flank of the Ocala Platform from Gilchrist County southward to Pasco County with isolated occurrences in Pinellas County. Correlation of these sediments to the formations of the Hawthorn Group exposed to the east and in the subsurface is uncertain. There is little to no phosphate present in these sediments and fossils are rare. Ages have not been documented but stratigraphic position suggests inclusion in the Hawthorn Group. These sediments may be residual from the weathering and erosion of the Hawthorn Group. The Hawthorn Group sediments on the Brooksville Ridge are deeply weathered and in some outcrops look like Cypresshead Formation siliciclastics.

The undifferentiated Hawthorn Group sediments are light olive gray and blue gray in unweathered sections to reddish brown in deeply weathered sections, poorly to moderately consolidated, clayey sands to silty clays and relatively pure clays. These sediments are part of the intermediate confining unit/aquifer system and provide an effective aquitard for the FAS, except where perforated by karst features.

Hard-rock phosphate deposits are associated with the undifferentiated Hawthorn Group sediments on the eastern flank of the Brooksville Ridge. The hard rock phosphate deposits were formed by the dissolution of phosphate in the Hawthorn sediments and redeposition in karst features.

Tab - Alum Bluff Group - West of the Apalachicola River, the Hawthorn Group is replaced by the Alum Bluff Group. The Alum Bluff Group includes the Chipola Formation, Oak Grove Sand, Shoal River Formation, Choctawhatchee Formation and the Jackson Bluff Formation (Huddlestun, 1984; Braunstein *et al*., 1988). The formations included in this group are generally defined on the basis of their molluscan faunas and stratigraphic position (Schmidt and Clark, 1980). Puri (1953) described sediment facies as they relate to the formations of the Alum Bluff Group These sediments are lithologically distinct as a group, not as individual units. Brooks (1982) mapped much of the Alum Bluff Group as the Shoal River

Formation. The Alum Bluff Group crops out or is beneath a thin overburden in the western panhandle from river valleys in Okaloosa County eastward to western Jackson County.

The Alum Bluff Group consists of clays, sands and shell beds which may vary from fossiliferous, sandy clays to unfossiliferous sands and clays and occasional carbonate beds (Huddlestun, 1984). Mica is a common constituent and glauconite and phosphate occur sporadically. Induration varies from essentially nonindurated in sands to well indurated in carbonate lenses. Colors range from cream to olive gray with mottled reddish brown in weathered sections. Sand grain size varies from very fine to very coarse with sporadic occurrences of gravel. These sediments generally have low permeabilities and are part of the intermediate confining unit/aquifer system.

Trm - Residuum on Miocene sediments - The undifferentiated Miocene residuum, mapped on parts of the Chattahoochee "Anticline", characteristically consists of reddish brown, variably sandy clay with inclusions of variably fossiliferous, silicified limestone. The residuum includes Lower to Upper Miocene and younger weathered sediments.

Miocene - Pliocene Series
Middle Miocene-Lower Pliocene, Serravalian - Zanclean Stage

Thp - Peace River Formation - The Peace River Formation crops out or is beneath a thin overburden on the southern part of the Ocala Platform extending into the Okeechobee Basin. These sediments were mapped from Hillsborough County southward to Charlotte County. Within this area, the Peace River Formation is composed of interbedded sands, clays and carbonates. The sands are generally light gray to olive gray, poorly consolidated, clayey, variably dolomitic, very fine to medium grained and phosphatic. The clays are yellowish gray to olive gray, poorly to moderately consolidated, sandy, silty, phosphatic and dolomitic. The carbonates are usually dolostone in the outcrop area. The dolostones are light gray to yellowish gray, poorly to well indurated, variably sandy and clayey, and phosphatic. Opaline chert is often found in these sediments. The phosphate content of the Peace River Formation sands is frequently high enough to be economically mined. Fossil mollusks occur as reworked casts, molds, and limited original shell material. Silicified corals and wood, and vertebrate fossils are also present. The Peace River Formation is widespread in southern Florida. It is part of the intermediate confining unit/aquifer system.

Thpb - Bone Valley Member, Peace River Formation - The Bone Valley Member (originally the Bone Valley Formation of Matson and Clapp, 1909), Peace River Formation occurs in a limited area on the southern part of the Ocala Platform in Hillsborough, Polk and Hardee Counties. Throughout its extent, the Bone Valley Member is a clastic unit consisting of sand-sized and larger phosphate grains in a matrix of quartz sand, silt and clay. The lithology is highly variable, ranging from sandy, silty, phosphatic clays and relatively pure clays to clayey, phosphatic sands to sandy, clayey phosphorites (Webb and Crissinger, 1983). In general, consolidation is poor and colors range from white, light brown and yellowish gray to olive gray and blue green. Mollusks are found as reworked, often phosphatized casts. Vertebrate fossils occur in many of the beds within the Bone Valley Member. Shark's teeth are often abundant. Silicified corals and wood are occasionally present as well.

The Bone Valley Member is an extremely important, unique phosphate deposit and has provided much of the phosphate production in the United States during the twentieth century. Mining of phosphate in the outcrop area began in 1888 (Cathcart, 1985) and continues to the present.

Pliocene Series
Lower Pliocene to Upper Pliocene - Zanclean to Piacenzian Stage

Florida's Pliocene sediments have been the focus of numerous, primarily paleontologic, investigations due to abundant and diverse molluscan faunas. Although the majority of the Pliocene sediments are unfossiliferous siliciclastics, well preserved shell beds in southern Florida have attracted

much attention (see papers in Scott and Allmon [1992]; Zullo *et al*.[1993]; Missimer [1997]). Despite the attention to these units, the lithostratigraphy of the Pliocene units remains poorly understood.

Pliocene sediments are distributed widely in Florida. In the panhandle and northern two-thirds of the peninsula the Pliocene sediments are predominantly unfossiliferous siliciclastics. In the southern one-third of the peninsula, the Pliocene sediments are often fossiliferous siliciclastics with carbonates becoming more abundant in southwestern Florida. The facies relationships within the marine Pliocene sediments of southern Florida are quite complex.

Tt - Tamiami Formation - The Tamiami Formation (Mansfield, 1939) is a poorly defined lithostratigraphic unit containing a wide range of mixed carbonate-siliciclastic lithologies and associated faunas (Missimer, 1992). It occurs at or near the land surface in Charlotte, Lee, Hendry, Collier and Monroe Counties in the southern peninsula. A number of named and unnamed members are recognized within the Tamiami Formation. These include: the Buckingham Limestone Member; an unnamed tan clay and sand; an oyster (*Hyotissa*) facies, a sand facies, the Ochopee Limestone Member, the Bonita Springs Marl Member; an unnamed limestone facies; the Golden Gate Reef Member; and the Pinecrest Sand Member (Missimer, 1992). The individual members of the Tamiami Formation were not separately mapped on the geological map.

Lithologies of the Tamiami Formation in the mapped area include: 1) light gray to tan, unconsolidated, fine to coarse grained, fossiliferous sand; 2) light gray to green, poorly consolidated, fossiliferous sandy clay to clayey sand; 3) light gray, poorly consolidated, very fine to medium grained, calcareous, fossiliferous sand; 4) white to light gray, poorly consolidated, sandy, fossiliferous limestone; and 5) white to light gray, moderately to well indurated, sandy, fossiliferous limestone. Phosphate is present in virtually all lithologies as limited quantities of sand- to gravel-sized grains. Fossils present in the Tamiami occur as molds, casts and original material. The fossils present include barnacles, mollusks, corals, echinoids, foraminifers and calcareous nannoplankton.

The Tamiami Formation has highly permeable to impermeable lithologies that form a complex aquifer. Locally, it is part of the surficial aquifer system. In other areas, it forms a part of the intermediate confining unit/aquifer system.

Tjb - Jackson Bluff Formation - The Jackson Bluff Formation, named by Vernon and Puri (1964), occurs at or near the surface in a limited area of the panhandle in Leon, Liberty and Wakulla Counties. It has attracted much attention due to its abundant fossil molluscan fauna (Huddlestun, 1984; Schmidt, 1984).

In the outcrop area, the Jackson Bluff Formation is described as a sandy, clayey shell bed (Schmidt, 1984). It is composed of tan to orange-brown to gray green, poorly consolidated, fossiliferous, sandy clays to clayey sands. Fossils present include abundant mollusks, corals, foraminifers and occasional vertebrate remains.

Tic - Intracoastal Formation - Limited exposures and shallow subsurface occurrences of the Intracoastal Formation have been reported in northwestern Florida (Bay, Franklin, Liberty and Wakulla Counties) (Schmidt, 1984). In the subsurface, it occurs to the west across the Apalachicola Embayment (Huddlestun, 1984; Schmidt, 1984).

The Intracoastal Formation is composed of light gray to olive gray, poorly indurated, sandy, clayey, highly fossiliferous limestone (grainstone and packstone). The fossils present include foraminifers, mollusks, barnacles, echinoids and ostracods. Quartz sand varies from very fine to coarse grained (Huddlestun, 1984).

Tci - Citronelle Formation - The Citronelle Formation is widespread in the Gulf Coastal Plain. The type section for the Citronelle Formation, named by Matson (1916), is near Citronelle, Alabama. The Citronelle Formation grades laterally, through a broad facies transition, into the Miccosukee Formation of the eastern Florida panhandle. Coe (1979) investigated the Citronelle Formation in portions of the

western Florida panhandle. The Citronelle Formation is a siliciclastic, deltaic deposit that is lithologically similar to, and time equivalent with, the Cypresshead Formation and, at least in part, the Long Key Formation (Cunningham *et al*., 1998) of the peninsula. In the western panhandle, some of the sediments mapped as Citronelle Formation may be reworked Citronelle. The lithologies are the same and there are few fossils present to document a possible younger age.

The Citronelle Formation consists of gray to orange, often mottled, unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, very fine to very coarse, poorly sorted, clean to clayey sands. It contains significant amounts of clay, silt and gravel which may occur as beds and lenses and may vary considerably over short distances. Limonite nodules and limonite-cemented beds are common. Marine fossils are rare but fossil pollen, plant remains and occasional vertebrates are found.

Much of the Citronelle Formation is highly permeable. It forms the Sand and Gravel Aquifer of the surficial aquifer system.

Tmc - Miccosukee Formation - The Miccosukee Formation, named by Hendry and Yon (1967), is a siliciclastic unit with a limited distribution in the eastern panhandle. It occurs in the Tallahassee Hills from central Gadsden County to eastern Madison County, often capping hills. The Miccosukee Formation grades to the west, through a broad facies transition, in central Gadsden County into the Citronelle Formation. The Miccosukee Formation is a prodeltaic deposit.

The Miccosukee Formation is composed of grayish orange to grayish red, mottled, poorly to moderately consolidated, interbedded clay, sand and gravel of varying coarseness and admixtures (Hendry and Yon, 1967). The unit is relatively impermeable but is considered a part of the surficial aquifer system (Southeastern Geological Society, 1986).

Tc - Cypresshead Formation - The Cypresshead Formation named by Huddlestun (1988), is composed of siliciclastics and occurs only in the peninsula and eastern Georgia. It is at or near the surface from northern Nassau County southward to Highlands County forming the peninsular highlands. It appears that the Cypresshead Formation occurs in the subsurface southward from the outcrop region and similar sediments, the Long Key Formation, underlie the Florida Keys. The Cypresshead Formation is a shallow marine, near shore deposit equivalent to the Citronelle Formation deltaic sediments and the Miccosukee Formation prodeltaic sediments.

The Cypresshead Formation consists of reddish brown to reddish orange, unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, fine to very coarse grained, clean to clayey sands. Cross bedded sands are common within the formation. Discoid quartzite pebbles and mica are often present. Clay beds are scattered and not areally extensive. In general, the Cypresshead Formation in exposure occurs above 100 feet (30 meters) above mean sea level (msl).

Original fossil material is not present in the sediments although poorly preserved molds and casts of mollusks and burrow structures are occasionally present. The presence of these fossil “ghosts” and trace fossils documents marine influence on deposition of the Cypresshead sediments.

The permeable sands of the Cypresshead Formation form part of the surficial aquifer system.

Text from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Tertiary - Quaternary Systems

TQu - Undifferentiated Tertiary-Quaternary Sediments - These sediments are siliciclastics that are separated from undifferentiated Quaternary sediments solely on the basis of elevation. Based on the suggestion that the Pleistocene sea levels reached a maximum of approximately 100 feet (30 meters) msl (Colquhoun, 1969), these sediments, which occur above 100 feet (30 meters) msl, are

predominantly older than Pleistocene but contain some sediments reworked during the Pleistocene. This unit may include fluvial and aeolian deposits. The undifferentiated Tertiary-Quaternary sediments occur in a band extending from the Georgia-Florida state line in Baker and Columbia Counties southward to Alachua County.

These sediments are gray to blue green, unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, fine to coarse grained, clean to clayey, unfossiliferous sands, sandy clays and clays. Organic debris and disseminated organics are present in these sediments.

The undifferentiated Tertiary-Quaternary sediments are part of the surficial aquifer system.

TQd - Tertiary-Quaternary Dunes - The dune sediments are fine to medium quartz sand with varying amounts of disseminated organic matter. The sands form dunes at elevations greater than 100 feet (30 meters) msl.

TQuc - Undifferentiated reworked Cypresshead Formation - This unit is the result of post depositional reworking of the Cypresshead siliciclastics. The sediments are fine to coarse quartz sands with scattered quartz gravel and varying percentages of clay matrix.

Pliocene - Pleistocene Series

TQsu - Tertiary-Quaternary Fossiliferous Sediments of Southern Florida - Molluskbearing sediments of southern Florida contain some of the most abundant and diverse fossil faunas in the world. The origin of these accumulations of fossil mollusks is imprecisely known (Allmon, 1992). The shell beds have attracted much attention due to the abundance and preservation of the fossils but the biostratigraphy and lithostratigraphy of the units has not been well defined (Scott, 1992). Scott and Wingard (1995) discussed the problems associated with biostratigraphy and lithostratigraphy of the Plio-Pleistocene in southern Florida. These “formations” are biostratigraphic units.

The “formations” previously recognized within the latest Tertiary-Quaternary section of southern Florida include the latest Pliocene - early Pleistocene Caloosahatchee Formation, the early Pleistocene Bermont formation (informal) and the late Pleistocene Fort Thompson Formation. This section consists of fossiliferous sands and carbonates. The identification of these units is problematic unless the significant molluscan species are recognized. Often exposures are not extensive enough to facilitate the collection of representative faunal samples to properly discern the biostratigraphic identification of the formation. In an attempt to alleviate the inherent problems in the biostratigraphic recognition of lithostratigraphic units, Scott (1992) suggested grouping the latest Pliocene through late Pleistocene Caloosahatchee, Bermont and Fort Thompson Formations in to a single lithostratigraphic entity, the Okeechobee formation (informal). In mapping the shelly sands and carbonates, a generalized grouping as Tertiary-Quaternary shell units (TQsu) was utilized. This is equivalent to the informal Okeechobee formation. The distribution of the Caloosahatchee and Fort Thompson Formation are shown on previous geologic maps by Cooke (1945), Vernon and Puri (1964) and Brooks (1982).

The Nashua Formation occurs within the Pliocene - Pleistocene in northern Florida. However, it crops out or is near the surface is an area too small to be shown on a map of this scale.

Lithologically these sediments are complex, varying from unconsolidated, variably calcareous and fossiliferous quartz sands to well indurated, sandy, fossiliferous limestones (both marine and freshwater). Clayey sands and sandy clays are present. These sediments form part of the surficial aquifer system

Pleistocene Series

Qa - Anastasia Formation - The Atlantic Coastal Ridge is underlain by the Anastasia Formation from St. Johns County southward to Palm Beach County. Excellent exposures occur in Flagler County in Washington Oaks State Park, in Martin County at the House of Refuge on Hutchinson Island and at Blowing Rocks in Palm Beach County. An impressive exposure of Anastasia Formation sediments occurs along Country Club Road in Palm Beach County (Lovejoy, 1992). The Anastasia Formation generally is recognized near the coast but extends inland as much as 20 miles (32 kilometers) in St. Lucie and Martin Counties.

The Anastasia Formation, named by Sellards (1912), is composed of interbedded sands and coquinoid limestones. The most recognized facies of the Anastasia sediments is an orangish brown, unindurated to moderately indurated, coquina of whole and fragmented mollusk shells in a matrix of sand often cemented by sparry calcite. Sands occur as light gray to tan and orangish brown, unconsolidated to moderately indurated, unfossiliferous to very fossiliferous beds. The Anastasia Formation forms part of the surficial aquifer system.

Qk - Key Largo Limestone - The Key Largo Limestone, named by Sanford (1909), is exposed at the surface in the Florida Keys from Soldier Key on the northeast to Newfound Harbor Keys near Big Pine Key on the southwest (Hoffmeister, 1974). This unit is a fossil coral reef much like the present day reefs offshore from the Keys. An exceptional exposure of the Key Largo Limestone occurs in the Windley Key Quarry State Geological Site in the upper Florida Keys. Exposures of the limestone containing large coral heads are in a series of old quarries.

The Key Largo Limestone is a white to light gray, moderately to well indurated, fossiliferous, coralline limestone composed of coral heads encased in a calcarenitic matrix. Little to no siliciclastic sediment is found in these sediments. Fossils present include corals, mollusks and bryozoans. It is highly porous and permeable and is part of the Biscayne Aquifer of the surficial aquifer system

Qm - Miami Limestone - The Miami Limestone (formerly the Miami Oolite), named by Sanford (1909), occurs at or near the surface in southeastern peninsular Florida from Palm Beach County to Dade and Monroe Counties. It forms the Atlantic Coastal Ridge and extends beneath the Everglades where it is commonly covered by thin organic and freshwater sediments. The Miami Limestone occurs on the mainland and in the southern Florida Keys from Big Pine Key to the Marquesas Keys. From Big Pine Key to the mainland, the Miami Limestone is replaced by the Key Largo Limestone. To the north, in Palm Beach County, the Miami Limestone grades laterally northward into the Anastasia Formation.

The Miami Limestone consists of two facies, an oolitic facies and a bryozoan facies (Hoffmeister *et al*. [1967]). The oolitic facies consists of white to orangish gray, poorly to moderately indurated, sandy, oolitic limestone (grainstone) with scattered concentrations of fossils. The bryozoan facies consists of white to orangish gray, poorly to well indurated, sandy, fossiliferous limestone (grainstone and packstone). Beds of quartz sand are also present as unindurated sediments and indurated limey sandstones. Fossils present include mollusks, bryozoans, and corals. Molds and casts of fossils are common. The highly porous and permeable Miami Limestone forms much of the Biscayne Aquifer of the surficical aquifer system.

Qal Qbd Qtr Qu - Undifferentiated Quaternary Sediments - Much of Florida's surface is covered by a varying thickness of undifferentiated sediments consisting of siliciclastics, organics and freshwater carbonates. Where these sediments exceed 20 feet (6.1 meters) thick, they were mapped as discrete units. In an effort to subdivide the undifferentiated sediments, those sediments occurring in flood plains were mapped as alluvial and flood plain deposits (Qal). Sediments showing surficial expression of beach ridges and dunes were mapped separately (Qbd) as were the sediments composing Trail Ridge (Qtr). Terrace sands were not mapped (refer to Healy [1975] for a discussion of the terraces in Florida). The subdivisions of the Undifferentiated Quaternary Sediments (Qu) are not lithostratigraphic units but are utilized in order to facilitate a better understanding of the State's geology.

The siliciclastics are light gray, tan, brown to black, unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, clean to clayey, silty, unfossiliferous, variably organic-bearing sands to blue green to olive green, poorly to moderately consolidated, sandy, silty clays. Gravel is occasionally present in the panhandle. Organics occur as plant debris, roots, disseminated organic matrix and beds of peat. Freshwater carbonates, often referred to as marls in the literature, are scattered over much of the State. In southern Florida, freshwater carbonates are nearly ubiquitous in the Everglades. These sediments are buff colored to tan, unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, fossiliferous carbonate muds. Sand, silt and clay may be present in limited quantities. These carbonates often contain organics. The dominant fossils in the freshwater carbonates are mollusks.

Holocene Series

Qh - Holocene Sediments - The Holocene sediments in Florida occur near the present coastline at elevations generally less than 5 feet (1.5 meters). The sediments include quartz sands, carbonate sands and muds, and organics.

Text from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Figures


Figure 1: Stratigraphic column showing the lithostratigraphic units used on the map



Units that occur within the area of interest for Big Cypress National Preserve (BICY) are highlighted in pink.

Graphic from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Figure 2: Geological cross section locations




Only a partial extent of cross section B-B' is within the extent of the GRI digital geologic-GIS data for Big Cypress National Preserve (BICY), and cross section A-A' is not within this extent.

Graphic from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Figure 3: Geological cross section

see Cross Section I-I' in the Geologic Cross Sections section of this document.

Figure 4: Geologic structures in Florida (modified from Scott, 1988)



Graphic from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Tables

Table 1: Stratigraphic units and lithologies

Unit		Lithologies
	TERTIARY	
	Middle Eocene	
Tap	Avon Park Formation	limestone, dolostone
	Upper Eocene	
To	Ocala Limestone	limestone, dolostone
	Lower Oligocene	
Ts	Suwannee Limestone	limestone
Tsm	Suwannee-Marianna Limestones Undif.	limestone
	Upper Oligocene to Middle Miocene	
Tha	Hawthorn Group, Arcadia Formation	dolostone, limestone, sand, clay, phosphate
That	Hawthorn Group, Tampa Member	limestone, dolostone, sand, clay
	Miocene	
Tsmk	St. Marks Formation	limestone, sand
Tch	Chattahoochee Formation	dolostone, limestone, sand, clay
Th	Hawthorn Group	dolostone, limestone, sand, clay, phosphate
Tht	Hawthorn Group, Torreya Formation	clay, sand, limestone
Ths	Hawthorn Group, Statenville Formation	dolostone, sand, clay, phosphate
Thc	Hawthorn Group, Coosawhatchie Formation	sand, clay limestone, dolostone, phosphate
Tab	Alum Bluff Group	clay, sand
	Miocene-Pliocene	
Thp	Hawthorn Group, Peace River Formation	sand, clay, dolostone, phosphate
Thpb	Hawthorn Group, Peace River Formation,	sand, clay, phosphate, dolostone

	Bone Valley Member	
Thcc	Hawthorn Group, Coosawhatchie Formation, Charlt Member	clay, sand, limestone
	Pliocene	
Tt	Tamiami Formation	limestone, sand, clay
Tjb	Jackson Bluff Formation	clay, sand
Tic	Intracoastal Formation	limestone, sand, clay
Tmc	Miccosukee Formation	sand, clay
Tci	Citronelle Formation	sand, clay
Tc	Cypresshead Formation	sand, clay
TQuc	Reworked Cypresshead Formation	sand, clay
TQd	Dunes	sand
TQu	Undifferentiated sediments	sand, clay
TQsu	Shell-bearing sediments	shells, sand, clay
	QUATERNARY	
	Pleistocene	
Qtr	Trail Ridge sands	sand, heavy minerals
Qm	Miami Limestone	limestone, sand
Qk	Key Largo Limestone	limestone
Qa	Anastasia Formation	limestone, coquina, sand
	Pleistocene/Holocene	
Qu	Undifferentiated	sand, clay, organics
Qbd	Beach Ridge and Dune	sand
Qal	Alluvium	sand, clay, organics
	Holocene	
Qh	Holocene sediments	

Table from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

Highlighted units are present in the GRI digital geologic-GIS data.

Table 2: Wells used in cross sections

W #	County	Section	Town ship	Range	Elevation (msl)	Composite with W#	Cross Section & Well #
148	Walton	12	1N	19W	200	7951	4 A-A'
275	Lake	se/se 17	24S	25E	113		5 B-B'
935	Dade	sw/sw 31	53S	35E	18		9 B-B'
1345	Okaloosa	sw/ne 1	3N	25W	189		3 A-A'
1465	Alachua	ne/se/sw 23	8S	18E	102		3 B-B'
1482	Marion	sw/nw 16	16S	23E	74		4 B-B'
1596	Madison	sw/se 6	1S	10E	107	15803	9 A-A'
1610	Calhoun	nw/se 31	2N	9W	217	11270	6 A-A'
1754	Polk	sw/sw 18	30S	28E	147		6 B-B'
1758	Washington	sw/sw 31	2N	13W	125	10954	5 A-A'
1768	Gadsden	ne/nw 35	2N	3W	200	15795	7 A-A'
1789	Columbia	se 22	1N	17E	129		1 B-B'
1832	Columbia	ne/ne 24	2S	16E	138	15162	10 A-A' 2 B-B'
1854	Jefferson	1	2S	3E	51	6931	8 A-A'
3455	Santa Rosa	ne/ne 10	2N	27W	35		2 A-A'
4597	Escambia	nw/nw 2	1N	31W	110		1 A-A'
6931	Jefferson	se/ne 29	1S	4E	200	1854	8 A-A'
7971	Walton	se/sw 9	1N	18W	195	148	4 A-A'
8736	Duval	ne/ne 23	1S	24E	82	8881	11 A-A'
8881	Duval	ne/ne 23	1S	24E	81	8736	11 A-A'
10954	Washington	ne 16	1N	14W	125	1758	5 A-A'
11270	Calhoun	nw/ne 13	1N	10W	125	1610	6 A-A'
15162	Columbia	se/sw 23	2S	17E	140	1832	2 B-B'
15644	Highlands	17	37S	30E	104		7 B-B'
15795	Gadsden	ne/ne 6	1N	2W	200	1768	7 A-A'
15803	Madison	ne/se 34	1N	9E	161	1596	9 A-A'
16058	Hendry	se/sw 27	45S	34E	18		8 B-B'
17156	Monroe	sw 26	64S	35E	1		11 B-B'
17273	Dade	sw 36	58S	36E	5		10 B-B'
ODAW#1	Duval	4	2S	29E	15		12 A-A'

Table from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida

The above table likely doesn't have a well within the extent of the GRI digital geologic-GIS data, however, it is included as the table is referenced in the associated source map text.

References

Allmon, W.D., 1992, Whence southern Florida's Plio-Pleistocene shell beds: *in* Scott, T.M., and Allmon, W.D., eds., The Plio-Pleistocene stratigraphy and paleontology of southern Florida; Florida Geological Survey Special Publication 36, p. 1-20.

Applin, P.L., and Applin, E.R., 1944, Regional subsurface stratigraphy and structure of Florida and southern Georgia: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 28, p. 1673-1753.

Arthur, J.D., 1988, Petrogenesis of Early Mesozoic tholeiite in the Florida basement and overview of Florida basement geology: Florida Geological Survey Report of Investigation 97, 39 p.

Braunstein, J., Huddlestun, P., and Biel, R., 1988, Gulf Coast region correlation of stratigraphic units of North America: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Correlation Chart.

Brewster-Wingard, G.L., Scott, T.M., Edwards, L.E., Weedman, S.D., and Simmons, K.R., 1997, Reinterpretation of the peninsular Florida Oligocene: An integrated stratigraphic approach: Sedimentary Geology, v. 108, p. 207-228.

Brooks, H.K., 1982, Geologic Map of Florida: Center for Environmental and Natural Resources, University of Florida.

Bryan, J.R., 1991, Stratigraphic and paleontologic studies of Paleocene and Oligocene carbonate facies of the eastern Gulf Coastal Plain: unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, 324 p.

Bryan, J.R., 1993, Late Eocene and Early Oligocene carbonate facies and paleoenvironments of the eastern Gulf Coastal Plain: Geological Society of America, Southeastern Section Meeting, Tallahassee, Florida, field trip guidebook, 25 p.

Cathcart, J.B., 1985, Economic geology of the Land-Pebble Phosphate District of Florida and its southern extension: *in* Cathcart, J.B., and Scott, T.M., eds., Florida Land-Pebble Phosphate District: Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Orlando, Florida, field trip guidebook, p. 4-27.

Coe, C.J., 1979, Geology of Plio-Pleistocene sediments in Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties, Florida: unpublished MS thesis, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, 115 p.

Colquhoun, D.J., 1969, Coastal plain terraces in the Carolinas and Georgia, U.S.A.: *in* Wright, H.E., Jr., editor, Quaternary Geology and Climate: Volume 16 of the Proceedings of the VII Congress of the International Association for Quaternary Research, v. 16, p. 150-162.

Cooke, C.W., 1945, Geology of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin 29, 339 p.

Cooke, C.W., and Mansfield, W.C., 1936, Suwanne Limestone of Florida (abstract): Geological Society of America Proceedings, 1935, p. 71 – 72.

Cooke, C.W., and Mossom, S., 1929, Geology of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Twentieth Annual Report, p. 29-228, 1 plate.

Cunningham, K.J., McNeill, D.F., Guertin, L.A., Ciesielski, P.F., Scott, T.M., and de Verteuil, L., 1998, New Tertiary stratigraphy for the Florida Keys and southern peninsula of Florida: Geological Society of America Bulletin v.110, no. 2, p. 231-258.

Dall, W.H., and Harris, G.D., 1892, Correlation papers - Neocene: United States Geological Survey Bulletin 84, 349 p.

_____and Stanley-Brown, 1894, Cenozoic geology along the Apalachicola River: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 5, p.147-170.

Finch, J., 1823, Geological essay on the Tertiary formation in America: American Journal of Science, v. 7, p. 31-43.

Healy, H.G., 1975, Terraces and shorelines of Florida: Florida Bureau of Geology Map Series 71.

Hendry, C.W., Jr., and Yon, J.W., Jr., 1967, Stratigraphy of Upper Miocene Miccosukee Formation, Jefferson and Leon Counties, Florida: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 51, pp. 250-256.

Hoffmeister, J.E., 1974, Land from the sea: University of Miami Press, Coral Gables, FL, 143 p.

_____, Stockman, K.W., and Multer, H.G., 1967, Miami Limestone of Florida and its Recent Bahamian counterpart: Geological Society of America Bulletin 78, p. 175-190.

Huddlestun, P.F., 1984, The Neogene stratigraphy of the central Florida panhandle: Unpublished Dissertation, Florida State University Department of Geology, Tallahassee, Florida, 210 p.

_____, 1988, A revision of the lithostratigraphic units of the Coastal Plain of Georgia - The Miocene: Georgia Geological Survey Bulletin 104, 162 p.

_____, 1993, A revision of the lithostratigraphic units of the Coastal Plain of Georgia - The Oligocene: Georgia Geological Survey Bulletin 105, 152 p.

_____, and Hunter, M.E., 1982, Stratigraphic revision of the Torreya Formation of Florida (abstract): *in* Scott, T.M., and Upchurch, S. B., (eds.), Miocene of the southeastern United States, Proceedings of the symposium: Florida Bureau of Geology, Special Publication 25, p. 210.

Lovejoy, D.W., 1992, Classic exposures of the Anastasia Formation in Martin and Palm Beach Counties, Florida: Guidebook for combined Southeastern Geological Society and Miami Geological Society field trip, 31p.

Mansfield, W.C., 1939, Notes on the upper Tertiary and Pleistocene mollusks of peninsular Florida: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin 18, 75 p.

Matson, G.C., 1916, The Pliocene Citronelle Formation of the Gulf Coastal Plain: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 98-L, p. 167–192.

_____and Clapp, F.G., 1909, A preliminary report on the geology of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Second Annual Report, p. 23-173, 1 plate.

Matson, G.C., Clapp, F.G. and Sanford, S., 1909, Geologic and topographic map of Florida: *in* Matson, G.C., and Clapp, F.G., A preliminary report on the geology of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Second Annual Report, p. 23-173, 1 plate.

Miller, J.A., 1986, Hydrogeologic framework of the Floridan aquifer system in Florida and parts of Georgia, Alabama and South Carolina: United States Geological Survey Professional Paper 1403-B, 91 p. plus maps.

Missimer, T.M., 1992, Stratigraphic relationships of sediment facies within the Tamiami Formation of southwestern Florida: Proposed intraformational correlations; *in* Scott, T.M., and Allmon, W.D., (eds.), The Plio-Pleistocene stratigraphy and paleontology of southern Florida; Florida Geological Survey Special Publication 36, p. 63-92.

_____, 1997, Late Oligocene to Pliocene evolution of the central portion of the South Florida Platform: Mixing of siliciclastic and carbonate sediments: unpublished dissertation, University of Miami, Miami, Florida.

_____ and Scott, T.M., 1995, Late Paleogene and Neogene sea-level history of the southern Florida Platform and phosphatic sediment deposition: Congress Program with abstracts, v. 1, The First SEPM Congress on Sedimentary Geology, St. Petersburg, Florida, August 1995, p. 92.

North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 1983, North American Stratigraphic Code: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 67, no. 5, p. 841-875.

Poag, C.W., 1972, Planktonic foraminifers of the Chickasawhay Formation, United States Gulf Coast: Micropaleontology, v. 18, no. 3, p. 267-277.

Puri, H.S., 1953, Contribution to the study of the Miocene of the Florida panhandle: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin 36, 345 p.

_____, 1957, Stratigraphy and zonation of the Ocala Group: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin 38, 248 p.

_____, and Vernon, R.O., 1964, Summary of the geology of Florida:Florida Geological Survey Special Publication 5 (Revised), 312 p.

Sanford, S., 1909, The topography and geology of southern Florida: Florida Geological Survey Second Annual Report, p. 175-231.

Schmidt, W., 1984, Neogene stratigraphy and geologic history of the Apalachicola Embayment, Florida: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin 58, 146 p.

_____, and Clark, M.W., 1980, Geology of Bay County, Florida: Florida Bureau of Geology Bulletin 57, 96 p.

Scott, T. M., 1986, The lithostratigraphic relationships of the Chattahoochee, St. Marks and Torreya Formations, eastern Florida Panhandle: Florida Academy of Sciences, Abstract, Florida Scientist v. 49, supplement 1, p. 29.

_____, 1988, The lithostratigraphy of the Hawthorn Group (Miocene) of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin 59, 148 p.

_____, 1991, A Geological overview of Florida: in Scott, T.M., Lloyd, J.M., and Maddox, G. (eds.), Florida's Ground Water Quality Monitoring Program-Hydrogeological Framework: Florida Geological Survey Special Publication 32, p. 5-14.

_____, 1992, Coastal Plains stratigraphy: The dichotomy of biostratigraphy and lithostratigraphy - A philosophical approach to an old problem: *in* Scott, T.M., and Allmon, W. D., (eds.) The Plio-Pleistocene stratigraphy and paleontology of southern Florida: Florida Geological Survey Special Publication 36, p. 21-26.

_____, in preparation, Geomorphic map of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Map Series.

_____, and Allmon, W.D., 1992, The Plio-Pleistocene stratigraphy and paleontology of southern Florida: Florida Geological Survey Special Publication 36, 194 p.

_____, Wingard, G.L., Weedman, S.D., and Edwards, L.E., 1994, Reinterpretation of the peninsular Florida Oligocene: A multidisciplinary view: Abstract, Geological Society of America, Annual Meeting, Seattle, WA., Program with Abstracts, p. A-151.

_____, and Wingard, G.L., 1995, Facies, fossils and time - A discussion of the litho- and biostratigraphic problems in the Plio-Pleistocene sediments in southern Florida: *in* Scott, T.M., editor, Stratigraphy and paleontology of the Plio-Pleistocene shell beds, southwest Florida: Southeastern Geological Society Guidebook 35, unpaginated.

Sellards, E.H., 1912, The soils and other surface residual materials of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Fourth Annual Report, p. 1-79.

Sellards, E.H., Gunter, H., and Cooke, C.W., 1922, Geologic map of Florida: *in* Sellards, E.H., and Gunter, H., On the petroleum possibilities of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Fourteenth Annual Report, p. 33-135, 1 plate.

Smith, D.L., 1982, Review of the tectonic history of the Florida basement: Tectonophysics, v. 88, p. 1-22.

Smith, E.A., 1881, Geology of Florida: American Journal of Science, 3rd Series, v. XXI, p. 292-309.

Southeastern Geological Society (SEGS) Ad Hoc Committee on Florida Hydrostratigraphic Unit Definition, 1986, Hydrogeological units of Florida: Florida Geological Survey Special Publication 28, 8 p.

Veatch, O., and Stevenson, L.W., 1911, Geology of the coastal plain of Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 26, 466 p.

Vernon, R.O., 1951, Surface occurrences of geologic formations in Florida (geologic map): *in* Association of American State Geologists Forty-fourth Annual Meeting Field Trip Guidebook - A summary of the geology of Florida and a guidebook to the Cenozoic exposures of a portion of the State, 116 p., 5 plates.

Vernon, R.O., and Puri, H.S., 1964, Geologic map of Florida, Florida Bureau of Geology Map Series 18.

Webb, S.D., and Crissinger, D.B., 1983, Stratigraphy and vertebrate paleontology of the central and southern Phosphate District of Florida: *in* Central Florida Phosphate District, Geological Society of America, Southeast Section Field Trip Guidebook, p. 28-72.

White, W.A., 1970, The geomorphology of the Florida peninsula: Florida Bureau of Geology Bulletin 51, 164 p.

Zullo, V.A., Harris, W.B., Scott, T.M., and Portell, R.W., (eds.), 1993, The Neogene of Florida and adjacent regions - Proceedings of the Third Bald Head Island Conference on Coastal Plains Geology: Florida Geological Survey Special Publication 37, 112 p.

References from source map: Geologic Formations of Florida


Mineral Resources (Collier County)

The formal citation for this source.

Yon, J.W., Spencer, S.M., Hoenstine, R.W., and Lane, Ed, 1988, Mineral resources of Collier County, Florida: Florida Geological Survey, Map Series 120, scale 1:126,720 (*GRI Source Map ID 3063*).

Prominent graphic associated with this source.

Map Legend



Graphic from source map: Mineral Resources (Collier County)


Mineral Resources (Lee County)

The formal citation for this source.

Lane, Ed, Scott, T.M., Hoenstine, R.W., and Yon, J.W., 1990, Mineral Resources of Lee County, Florida: Florida Geological Survey, Map Series 130, scale 1:126,720 (*GRI Source Map ID 5003*).

Prominent graphic associated with this source.

Map Legend



Graphic from source map: Mineral Resources (Lee County)

Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer

The formal citation for this source.

Reese, R.S., and Cunningham, K.J., 2000, Hydrogeology of the Gray Limestone Aquifer in Southern Florida: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4213, scale 1:675,000 (*GRI Source Map ID 5320*).

Cross sections associated with this publication are presented in the Geologic Cross Sections section of this document.

Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (2005)

The formal citation for this source.

Arthur, J.D., Baker, A.E., Cichon, J.R., Wood, A.R., and Rudin, A., 2005, Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (FAVA): Contamination potential of Florida's principal aquifer systems: Florida Geological Survey, Bulletin [in review] (*GRI Source Map ID 6921*).

No prominent graphics or text associated with this source are presented.

South West Florida Feasibility Study, Hydrostratigraphy (2004)

The formal citation for this source.

Wexler, E.J., 2004, Hydrostratigraphy Review Report for South West Florida Feasibility Study: Consultant's Report to South Florida Water Management District, unpublished digital data, scale 1:100,000 (*GRI Source Map ID 7242*).

Report (excerpts)

Below are excerpts taken from the source report describing the hydrostratigraphy of the Big Cypress swamp area.

Approach

WRS concluded that the “there was no need to modify the commonly used historical terminology for the surficial and intermediate aquifer systems”. The primary features of the historical terminology are illustrated in Figure 1a, and include:

1. the Water Table aquifer is unconfined and is comprised of all unconfined permeable stratigraphic units at a particular location;
2. the geologic units which comprise the Water-Table aquifer can vary depending on location;
3. the lateral extent of the Lower Tamiami aquifer is restricted to where it is confined by an overlying unit (usually the Bonita Springs marl or Caloosahatchee Clay); and
4. the Sandstone aquifer is defined from the top of the first confined sandstone unit (Lehigh Acres Sandstone) in the Peace River Formation to the top of the basal clay unit in Peace River Formation

WRS and DHI generated surfaces defining the top and bottom surfaces of the Water-Table aquifer and Lower Tamiami aquifer that conform to these functional rules. Based on our experience with numerical models, however, the Earthfx/BEM team has recommended that the surfaces used for the Regional Simulation Model (RSM) be developed with rules that better reflect continuity of geologic and hydrogeologic layers rather than based on the presence or absence of a confining unit. Accordingly, we have defined:

Aquifer Layer 1 – Holocene to Pleistocene sands and Late Pliocene (Pinecrest) limestone, where present.

Aquitard 1 – Bonita Springs Marl and Caloosahatchee Clay, where present.

Aquifer Layer 2 – Early Pliocene (Ochopee Limestone). This unit conforms to the historical definition of Lower Tamiami aquifer where confined and to the lower part of the Water-Table aquifer where

unconfined. The vertical extent of the unit is defined from the top of Ochopee Limestone to the top of the Peace River Formation. The unit is missing in the northern part of the study area and outcrops in the southern part of the study area.

Aquitard 2 – Upper clays in Miocene Peace River Formation, referred to locally as the Cape Coral Clay. Clays between the base of the Ochopee Limestone and top of the Miocene were included in this unit.

Aquifer Layer 3 – Sandstone aquifer. This-unit is defined from the top of the first sandstone unit (Lehigh Acres Sandstone) in the Peace River Formation to the top of the basal clay in the Peace River formation. This definition conforms to the historical definition of the Sandstone aquifer except where the formation is unconfined. The Sandstone aquifer contains clay beds within the aquifer. Total thickness of sandstone and internal clay were calculated from WRS data. The unit outcrops in the northern part of the study area where the Tamiami Formation is missing.

Aquitard 3 – Basal clays in the Peace River Formation, referred to locally as the Fort Myers Clay. The unit extends vertically to the top of the Arcadia Formation, which we presumed to be equivalent to the top of the Mid-Hawthorn aquifer.

Text from source map: South West Florida Feasibility Study, Hydrostratigraphy (2004)

Interpolation Methods

Creation of aquifer top and bottom surfaces requires interpolating the point data (i.e., the elevations of the aquifer tops and bottoms defined at each borehole) to a regular grid that represents the study area. WRS interpolated the data for the various aquifer surfaces to different grids depending on the location of data points. While this is a reasonable approach for constructing the geologic model, the numerical model requires definition of the surfaces at all points on a common grid. DHI used a common grid with a 1500-ft cell size that covered most, but not all of the RSM model area. The BEM/Earthfx team designed a grid with square cells, 750 ft on a side, to cover the entire RSM model area with a higher degree of resolution. The grid origin (lower-left corner of the grid) was set at x,y coordinates of 289800, 439850 so that our grid and the DHI grid would overlay.

Various methods were used to interpolate the available borehole data to the grids. WRS used an ordinary kriging technique and assumed linear variograms (the default option in the SURFER program). Linear variograms have no sill or range, indicating that the data are assumed to be correlated over large distances compared to the scale of the data interpolation being done. It is our understanding that WRS did not conduct variance analyses to verify that this assumption was correct. Our analysis of the data found that use of a linear variogram was justified in some cases but, in most cases, data were interpolated over distances larger than the correlation lengths and that other variogram shapes were more appropriate. The variogram properties used in our interpolations are discussed further on in this report.

DHI found the surfaces generated by WRS to be highly variable and sought to generate smoother surfaces using a moving-window averaging technique. While the surfaces can be more esthetically pleasing, the method does not honor the data values at individual points as well as kriging does. Because different sets of wells may be used to interpolate different surfaces, it is possible for averaged surfaces to cross over. It was also found that some of the data used to generate the DHI surfaces had been incorrectly converted from the text fields in the WRS database. In these cases, the depths to the tops of various units were interpreted as equal to 0.0 instead of the true depth and the interpolated elevations of the surface reflect these errors.

The Earthfx/BEM team created a combined data set that consisted of borehole data from both DBHYDRO and WRS tables. Where duplicate data points were encountered, we generally used the WRS locations and picks, except where noted below. As well, many of the intermediate units, such as

the confining unit beneath the Lower Tamiami Aquifer and the confining unit beneath the Sandstone Aquifer are only defined in the WRS database. The DBHYDRO database contained some revised picks made by the FGS in 2003. These data values were selected over the earlier picks and WRS values in most cases.

The Earthfx/BEM team first screened the combined borehole data for outliers, then conducted an analysis of variance to fit the theoretical variograms to the experimental variograms, and finally interpolated the data to the geologic model grid using the geostatistical analysis module of VIEWLOG.

Text from source map: South West Florida Feasibility Study, Hydrostratigraphy (2004)

Closed Topographic Depressions

Ground-water vulnerability is dependent upon the rate at which water reaches the aquifer system. In Florida, sinkholes generally provide preferential pathways for water and contaminants to travel to aquifer systems more rapidly from land surface. As a result, aquifer vulnerability increases in areas of relatively dense karst topography. It is well beyond the scope of this study to map every sinkhole or karst-related feature in Florida; however, a surrogate data coverage was available from the FDEP 30M DEM that reflects areas with a high population of karst features. During development and enhancement of FDEP DEM to 15M resolution, closed hachured topographic depressions were attributed. For areas with multiple encircling hachured contour lines, only the outermost depression was selected. These lines were converted to polygons which were used to create a statewide data coverage of closed topographic depressions (Figure 9: Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment). This coverage was filtered for each aquifer system and used as input into the WofE – FAVA model. These filtering processes are described in Results – FAVA Model Outputs for each aquifer system.

Although not all closed topographic depressions are karst features, there is a strong correlation between the density of depressions on USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle maps and areas that include sinkholes of various types. In addition to spatial filtering for the IAS and FAS, other enhancements to this coverage are yet to be completed. These enhancements, however, are not expected to significantly change the results of the FAVA response themes. For more details, see Discussion – FAVA Maps: Data Limitations and Applications.

For more details about this data and the Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment report, see Arthur, J.D., Baker, A.E., Cichon, J.R., Wood, A.R. and Rudin, A., 2005, Florida Aquifer Vulnerability Assessment (FAVA): Contamination potential of Florida's principal aquifer systems: Florida Geological Survey Bulletin [in review].

Text from source map: South West Florida Feasibility Study, Hydrostratigraphy (2004)

Metadata Disclaimer

This geologic data was developed by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) - Florida Geological Survey (FGS) to carry out agency responsibilities related to management, protection, and development of Florida's natural resources. Although efforts have been made to make the information accurate and useful, the FDEP/FGS assumes no responsibility for errors in the information and does not guarantee that the data are free from errors or inaccuracies. Similarly FDEP/FGS assumes no responsibility for the consequences of inappropriate uses or interpretations of the data. As such, these digital data are distributed on "as is" basis and the user assumes all risk as to their quality, the results obtained from their use, and the performance of the data. FDEP/FGS bears no responsibility to inform users of any changes made to this data. Anyone using this data is advised that precision implied by the data may far exceed actual precision. Comments on this data are invited and FDEP/FGS would appreciate that documented errors be brought to staff attention. The development of these data

sets represents a major investment of staff time and effort. As a professional responsibility, we expect that the FDEP/FGS will receive proper credit when you utilize these data sets. Further, since part of this data was developed and collected with U.S. Government or State of Florida funding, no proprietary rights may be attached to it in whole or in part, nor may it be sold to the U.S. Government or the Florida State Government as part of any procurement of products or services.

Text from source map: South West Florida Feasibility Study, Hydrostratigraphy (2004)

GRI Digital Data Credits

This document was developed and completed by Stephanie O'Meara (Colorado State University) for the NPS Geologic Resources Division (GRD) Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) Program. Quality control of this document by Ron Karpilo (Colorado State University).

The information in this document was compiled from source maps, and is intended to accompany the digital geologic-GIS map and other digital data for Big Cyprus National Preserve, Florida (BICY) developed by Stephanie O'Meara (see the GRI Digital Map and Source Map Citations section of this document for all sources used by the GRI in the completion of this document and related GRI digital geologic-GIS map). The previous version of this dataset was produced by Anne Poole (NPS GRD) and Stephanie O'Meara.

GRI finalization by Stephanie O'Meara.

GRI program coordination and scoping provided by Bruce Heise, Jason Kenworthy and Tim Connors (NPS GRD, Lakewood, Colorado).