



Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument

Acoustical Monitoring Snapshot

June 2009

Background Information

The Natural Sounds Program received a request to collect baseline acoustical data in Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument (ORPI) prior to the construction of several Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Rapid Deployment Towers (RDT) that will be located on or adjacent to ORPI. Towers in remote areas will be powered using solar photovoltaic energy sources but will also have backup generators. In order to estimate the noise impacts of operating the RDTs and Border Patrol activities, baseline data from ORPI and additional data on the RDT generators were needed. To meet these objectives, three sound systems ran for 37 days in close proximity to two proposed tower sites and near an existing Border Patrol camp. Additionally, sound pressure level readings were taken at the site of an existing RDT in Playas, NM. The issue of increased noise is of special interest due to the presence Sonoran pronghorn in ORPI. This subspecies is federally listed as endangered, and may prefer habitat with lower noise levels (Landon, et. al., 2003).

This briefing serves as a preliminary snapshot of the acoustical conditions at the sites monitored. A full acoustical monitoring report will follow, pending further data analysis. The metrics presented in this snapshot are calculated solely from sound pressure level data, and do not distinguish between intrinsic and extrinsic sounds sources. Sound source identification, an estimate of the natural ambient condition, the summary of attended listening sessions, and more information on the noise produced by the RDT generator will be included in the full report. Table 1 describes the location and characteristics of the monitoring sites.

Table 1. Study areas

Site	Site Name	Dates Deployed	Vegetation	Elevation	Latitude	Longitude
ORPI001	Cabeza Junction	4/28-6/5/2009	creosote, scattered mesquite	336 m	32.13075	113.08493
ORPI002	Bate Well/ Border Patrol Camp	4/28-6/5/2009	creosote, scattered mesquite	407 m	32.16952	112.95064
ORPI003	North Boundary	4/29-6/5/2009	creosote, mesquite, white bursage	452 m	32.20065	112.89514

Data & Metrics

At each site, sound pressure level (SPL) measurements were taken, along with digital audio recordings and meteorological data. The Natural Sounds Program equipment makes 33 SPL measurements for a set of frequency bands that span the range of human hearing (12.5 - 20,000 Hz). These 33 measurements approximate the capacity of human listeners to independently sense signals in different parts of the audible spectrum. The SPL is measured in decibels (dB), a logarithmic scale where 0 dB represents the threshold of human hearing. Microphone

measurements can be adjusted according to a weighted scale (A-weighting) such that they resemble the response of the human ear (Harris, 1998, p. 116).

The logarithmic dB scale can be difficult to interpret, and the functional effect of a seemingly small change in SPL can be greater than anticipated. When noise interferes with hearing natural sounds, the noise is said to *mask* the natural sounds, and this affects the extent of the listening area. For example, if the ambient SPL is 30 dB, and transportation noise raises the ambient to 33 dB (a 3 dB increase), the listening area for humans (and many birds and mammals) would be reduced by 50%. Increasing the ambient SPL an additional 3 dB (to 36 dB) would reduce the listening area by half again, to 25% of the initial area. Note however, that changes in SPL do not proportionately translate to changes in perceived loudness. The rate of change of loudness is complex and dependent on the stimulus itself (SPL, frequency, bandwidth, duration, background, etc.). At a minimum, each 10 dB increase in SPL causes a doubling of perceived loudness (Crocker, 1997, p.1481). Table 2 presents park sound sources and common sound sources with their corresponding A-weighted decibel values (dBA).

Table 2. Sound pressure level examples

Park Sound Sources	Common Sound Sources	dBA
Volcano crater (HALE)	Human breathing at 3m	10
Leaves rustling (CANY)	Whispering	20
Crickets at 5m (ZION)	Residential area at night	40
Conversation at 5m (WHMI)	Busy restaurant	60
Snowcoach at 30m (YELL)	Curbside of busy street	80
Thunder (ARCH)	Jackhammer at 2m	100
Military jet at 100m AGL(YUCH)	Train horn at 1m	120

Table 3 summarizes sound pressure levels that relate to human health and speech, as documented in the scientific literature. Human responses can serve as a proxy for potential impacts to other vertebrates because humans have more sensitive hearing at low frequencies than most species (Dooling and Popper, 2007, p. 5). To help interpret the acoustic data collected within the park, and to better understand the implications of the data, it may be helpful to consider sound pressure levels in relation to the functional effects listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Effects of sound pressure levels on humans

SPL (dBA)	Relevance
35	Blood pressure and heart rate increase in sleeping humans (Haralabidis et al., 2008)
45	World Health Organization's recommendation for maximum noise levels inside bedrooms (Berglund, Lindvall, and Schwela, 1999)
52	Speech interference for interpretive programs (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1974)
60	Speech interruption for normal conversation (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1974)

For a given frequency range, the *time above* metric indicates the amount of time that the SPL exceeds a specified decibel value. By comparing the amount of time that sound pressure levels are above certain values, variations in levels can be observed over time (or between sites). Table 4 reports the percent of time that measured levels were above the values in Table 3. These values are useful for making comparisons, but should not be construed as thresholds of impact. The top value in each split-cell of Table 4 reports the *time above* for the 20 - 800 Hz range.

Transportation is often a major contributor of low frequency sound, but the 20 - 800 Hz range does not correspond to a specific vehicle or type of transportation. Note that natural sources such

as flowing water also produce low frequency sounds. The bottom *time above* value in each split-cell is calculated from the full 12.5-20,000 Hz range.

Table 4. Percent time above metrics

Site	Frequency (Hz)	% Time above sound level: 0700 to 1900				% Time above sound level: 1900 to 0700			
		35 dBA	45 dBA	52 dBA	60 dBA	35 dBA	45 dBA	52 dBA	60 dBA
ORPI001	20-800	35.48	2.75	0.48	0.11	18.22	1.00	0.26	0.05
	12.5-20,000	42.34	8.86	1.67	0.24	22.45	1.51	0.37	0.08
ORPI002	20-800	100.00	55.10	0.25	0.03	100.00	85.12	0.15	0.00
	12.5-20,000	100.00	69.33	0.63	0.06	100.00	87.45	0.56	0.01
ORPI003	20-800	17.98	1.34	0.24	0.05	1.93	0.38	0.08	0.02
	12.5-20,000	23.35	3.22	0.48	0.07	2.43	0.52	0.18	0.04

Exceedence levels (L_x) represent the sound pressure levels exceeded x percent during the given measurement period (e.g. L_{90} is the SPL that has been exceeded 90% of the time). Table 5 reports the L_{90} , L_{50} , and L_{10} values for the sites measured in ORPI. For each split-cell in Table 5, the top value reports the L_x for the 20 – 800 Hz subset of the frequency range, and the bottom L_x value is calculated from the 12.5 – 20,000 Hz spectrum.

Table 5. Exceedence levels for existing conditions

Site	Frequency (Hz)	Exceedence levels (dBA): 0700 to 1900			Exceedence levels (dBA): 1900 to 0700		
		L_{90}	L_{50}	L_{10}	L_{90}	L_{50}	L_{10}
ORPI001	20-800	23.3	31.4	39.9	7.1	14.8	33.0
	12.5-20,000	26.3	33.1	42.3	16.0	19.5	34.1
ORPI002	20-800	44.3	45.0	46.0	47.3	47.9	48.6
	12.5-20,000	44.6	45.3	46.6	47.6	48.3	49.0
ORPI003	20-800	16.8	25.3	35.3	4.6	7.5	16.8
	12.5-20,000	19.7	26.4	36.9	14.3	14.9	19.2

High frequency sounds (e.g. a cricket chirping) and low frequency sounds (e.g. transportation noise) often occur simultaneously, and do not always occur constantly throughout the day. Figures 1- 3 illustrate these concepts by dividing the full frequency spectrum into 33 smaller frequency bands (each encompassing a one-third octave range), and by plotting the daytime and nighttime SPL range for each band. The grayed area in the background of the graph represents sound pressure levels outside of the typical range of human hearing. The typical frequency ranges for transportation, conversation and songbirds are presented on the figure as examples for interpretation of the data. These ranges are estimates and are not vehicle-, species-, or habitat-specific.




Figure 1. Day and night dB levels for 33 one-third octave bands at ORPI001




Figure 2. Day and night dB levels for 33 one-third octave bands at ORPI002




Figure 3. Day and night dB levels for 33 one-third octave bands at ORPI003

Note: This is a preliminary snapshot designed to get salient acoustical information back to the park as quickly as possible. This does not replace a full acoustical report, which will follow, pending further data analysis. If there are any questions or concerns about the information in this document, please contact the Natural Sounds Program. Thank you for your interest and participation in acoustical resource monitoring.

Natural Resource Program Center

Natural Sounds Program
1201 Oakridge Drive, Suite 100
Fort Collins, CO 80525
(970)267-2107
<http://www.nature.nps.gov/naturalsounds/>

EXPERIENCE YOUR AMERICA™

Literature Cited

- Berglund, B., Lindvall, T., & Schwela, D.H. (Eds.). 1999. Guidelines for community noise. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Crocker, M. J. (1997). *Encyclopedia of Acoustics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Dooling, R., & Popper, A. (2007). *The effects of highway noise on birds*. Rockville, MD: Environmental BioAcoustics LLC.
- Haralabidis, A., Dimakopoulou, K., Vigna-Taglianti, F., Giampaolo, M., Borgini, A., Dudley, M., Pershagen, G., Bluhm, G., Houthuis, D., Babisch, & others. 2008. Acute effects of night-time noise exposure on blood pressure in populations living near airports. *European Heart Journal* **29**:658-664.
- Harris, C. M. (1998). *Handbook of Acoustical Measurements and Noise Control*, 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Landon, D.M., Krauseman, P.R., Koenen, K.K.G., & Harris, L.K. 2003. Pronghorn use of areas with varying sound pressure levels. *The Southwestern Naturalist* **48**:725-728.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1974. Information on levels of environmental noise requisite to protect public health and welfare with an adequate margin of safety. EPA, Washington, D.C.