

6/3/02

BNP/NPS Meeting - Status of Lemon/Lemon Seed EA

Topics:

Thoughts on Sierra Club Meeting:

Status of operation - running casing today.

"deviated well bore" is being looked at

- Equipment can be moved out beginning Wed.

- Staging of Murdock → Lemon equip - truck traffic is main point

(80-100) 83 loads is at Murdock site now

option: Use 4-6 trucks to move all loads to Lemon site from Don-Murdock.

2ND week of July could begin ~~well drilling~~ ^{well drilling} if (FONSI) permit issued.

Alt. - Move out 83 loads (+) to leave park with rig, etc.

* Can reduce truck traffic during sea turtle season/high visitor

Conf. call w/Linda 1 PM tomorrow:

use season

Lemon EA: *deficient on T+E impacts/assessment.

How do we fix it - Revise/Amend EA; Redo BA*

*USFWS - Focus on BA, not EA. NEPA is NPS process.

If ^{NPS}sued + lost - Costs come out of PAIS budget.

"De facto" standard set at PAIS for O+G program that moves NEPA Actions into EIS requirement.

*Jock to get back to BNP today or first thing tomorrow with decision on doing EA revised; FONSI

- 3pm today - Meeting to decide decision and move forward.

Expand Mitigation, timing + staging, T+E coverage

Take Hoogland (202) 208-3163 direct # in WASO

Two schedules - late summer
A/E/A in winter

6/3/07

Draft BA - informal review to USFWS
if OK - Send as final for formal
"informal" consultation

June 15 → July 15 30 day on EA

9am Meet - 3pm. Conf. Call tomorrow -
1pm " " "

2nd week of July could begin
work on EA

Can reduce truck traffic during season high visitor
use season
Conf. call w/ Linda 1 PM tomorrow

EA: obvious on T+E impacts assessment
How do we fix it - Review/Amend EA, Review BA
*USFWS - focus on BA, not EA, NEPA is the process
If issues - lost - Coste comes out of FARS budget
"Detoxo" standard set at PARS for OTC program that
was NEPA Action into EIS requirement
*Took to get back to EIS today or first thing tomorrow with
decision on doing EA revised, Forest
- spin today - meeting to decide decision and move forward

573
NPS DOCUMENT #

573
NPS DOCUMENT #

meeting w/ Scott Taylor

3 June
0910

Joel, Ken, myself

Enter R/S Enter on cat

BWP will respect the ultimate decision

They just want to make their arguments
to help w/ decision process.

* July 8 - answer deadline for D.H. & I lawsuit

putty in 3.5 csg right now
velocity test - perforate the well

Timing mid week - equip. could start moving out

now to 20 June for a decision documented
BWP would be will to keep well on standby

L/LS - 1st Road pad constructed

- flexibility with # Trucks & hows worky

Ry - 83 loads to construct

4-5 18 wheelers, other than fuel

would stay down there to shuttle

between sights

plus ? pole Trucks (4) - to move shys
around.

2-3 day shury when csg is due
Then sporadic traffic since well isn't deep

25 Jan.
BWP news alone
meeting

The 2nd group of people who were in the
2-3rd group when case is on

between 23/1/92
2nd group of people (1) - 2nd group

were 2nd group of people
at 18/1/92 other than group

at 18/1/92 to convert

- 2nd group of people with 4th group of people

1/2 - 1st group of people converted

1st group of people will be 1st group of people
now to go home for a decision group

1st group of people - 1st group of people

1st group of people - 1st group of people

* 1st group of people - 1st group of people

1st group of people will respect the decision
1st group of people will respect the decision

1st group of people will respect the decision
1st group of people will respect the decision

1300 Tuesday - call w/ Linda

would we deny reducing pad
size till after turtles?

What's your plan for the removed
entrance?

can we use it on YBP rd - due
to possible oil & what not?

Timing for fence & gate?

I want to look at LISA N's original letter about
our EA

may 22nd - comments review

★ Rob in on phone

Rob - DO 12 is a pain in the butt
- look and to get a written plan

~~involves~~ Director of NPS

- BR is deficient on T/E species
- high volume of comments

no matter what we do L/S will become a
law suite.

How do we rectify the two points?

Integrate BR into the NPS process?

get concurrence of DA before the BR
is rejected.

NPS/US will be liable for litigation
costs if we lost. (PATS - to pay this)

Too many corrections on FONSI - shows it
didn't go through public review correctly.

||| If public feel they we talk as if the NPS
process is - must have that period.
- park - the rule to make.

needs corrections to CA

ER - needs to not interfere.

NPS DOCUMENT # 573

44 up in on phone

607 - DO IS is a fair in the past
- took 200 to get a water for

more investigations measure

check on timing 03 -

high volume of comments

no matter what we do will not solve it

low 200s

How do we accept the low counts?

Investigate DO in the next basin?

get concurrence of BA before the FO

is needed

WBS/12 will be time for highlights

costs if we lost (BATS - to 60-113)

to my connecting in front - show it

9:00 PM through baseline count

St. Louis feel that we lost at the river

process it - what have you done

before - what to do

573 DOCUMENT #

Meals - comparison of

573 - needs to be

NPS DOCUMENT #

What about a pardon's DOJ scenario?

If we need the EA will we be setting
the precedents

Effective Order 13

~~is~~ We will consider all the
comments & get Jack w/BND
tonight on Tue PM

Rob - complemented Scott on his calm pressure
comments.

Scott - the additional time work I need BND
they are worried about the
presidence set by more order.

minimize the litigation risks & a
document shows that we followed
the process fully.

NPS DOCUMENT # 573

BND one time - reconsidered and who BND
gives time to digest the information

gives time to digest the information
13:00 one given - recorder and up and

the process fully.

DOCUMENT# 253

document shows that we followed
minimise the litigation risk & a

processes settle more often.
they are working about the

cost - the negotiation time most great and

comments
Don - completed Scott on his calm presence

tonight or the 1st

comments & get back with you

as we will consider all the

Structure Order 13

the participants
if we had the 1st will we be settling

which about a hundred for 2010?