Alaska Region
2018 Visitor Survey Card Data Report

Introduction


To assist the National Park Service in complying with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), a visitor survey was conducted in 336 units of the National Park System in FY18. The survey was developed to measure each park unit's performance related to NPS GPRA Goals IIa1 (visitor satisfaction) and IIb1 (visitor understanding and appreciation).

The results of the Visitor Survey Card (VSC) survey **conducted at 10 parks in the Alaska Region** are summarized in this data report. A description of the research methods and limitations is on the back page.

Below (left) is a graph summarizing visitor opinions of the "overall quality of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities" in the region. This graph compares FY18 data (shown in black) with a ten-year baseline data (FY08-17) shown in gray. The satisfaction measure below this graph is a combined percentage of "good" and "very good" responses. This is the primary performance measure for Goal IIa1. (The satisfaction measure may not equal the sum of "very good" and "good" percentages due to rounding.)

Below (right) is the FY18 GRPA reporting measure for Goal IIa1. The percentage included in the box should be used for reporting GPRA Goal IIa1 performance. **The response rate for this region was 73% with 2,416 total visitors responding to the survey.**

Overall Quality of Facilities, Services & Recreational Opportunities




FY18: Satisfaction measure: 99%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Understanding the Results

Inside this report are graphs that present the combined survey results for the region. The report contains three categories of data—park facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities. Within these categories are graphs for each indicator evaluated by park visitors. For example, the park facilities category includes indicators such as visitor center, exhibits, restrooms, and so forth. In addition, responses for indicators within each category are averaged into a combined graph for the category (e.g., combined park facilities).

- Each graph includes the following information:
  - the number of parks and visitor responses for the indicator;
  - FY18 data (black) and baseline data (gray);
  - the percentage of responses which were "very good," "good," "average," "poor," and "very poor;"
  - a satisfaction measure that combines the percentage of total responses which were "very good" or "good;" and
  - an average evaluation score (mean score) based on the following values: very poor = 1, poor = 2, average = 3, good = 4, very good = 5.



- The higher the average evaluation score, the more positive the visitor response.
- Graph percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding.

FY18 GPRA Reporting Measure for Goal IIa1


Percentage of park visitors satisfied overall with appropriate facilities, services, and recreational opportunities:

99%

Alaska Region
Park Facilities

Visitor Center


FY18: 10 parks; 2,233 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Exhibits


FY18: 10 parks; 2,230 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 97%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Restrooms


FY18: 10 parks; 1,827 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 91%
Average evaluation score: 4.5

Walkways, Trails, and Roads


FY18: 10 parks; 2,057 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 97%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Campgrounds and/or Picnic Areas


FY18: 10 parks; 840 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 95%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Combined Park Facilities

FY18: 2,150 respondents (based on 5 indicators)




FY18: Satisfaction measure: 99%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Alaska Region
Visitor Services

Assistance from Park Employees


FY18: 10 parks; 2,249 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 99%
Average evaluation score: 4.9

Park Map or Brochure


FY18: 10 parks; 1,984 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 96%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Ranger Programs


FY18: 10 parks; 1,401 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Commercial Services in the Park


FY18: 8 parks; 1,110 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 88%
Average evaluation score: 4.4

Value for Entrance Fee Paid


FY18: 1 parks; 136 respondents



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 95%
Average evaluation score: 4.7

Combined Visitor Services


FY18: 1,569 respondents (based on 5 indicators)



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 99%
Average evaluation score: 4.8


Alaska Region
Recreational Opportunities

Learning about Nature, History, or Culture




FY18: Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Outdoor Recreation




FY18: Satisfaction measure: 98%
Average evaluation score: 4.8


Combined Recreational Opportunities



FY18: Satisfaction measure: 99%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

Alaska Region
Visitor Gender and Age Group





.Research Methods

Survey cards were distributed to a random sample of visitors in 10 units in the region during the periods from February 1 – August 31, 2018. At each park, visitors were sampled at selected locations representative of the general visitor population.

Returned cards were electronically scanned and the data analyzed. Responses from individual parks in the region were combined into one dataset. Data from parks with less than 30 returned cards, or from parks with discrepancies in the data collection methods, were omitted from this report. Frequency distributions were calculated for each indicator and category.

Results reported for the survey questions: "Value for Entrance Fee Paid" and "Commercial Services in the Park" consist of only parks that charge an entrance fee or offer commercial services. For this reason the number of parks and number of respondents with the lower in these charts than in others in this report.

All percentage calculations were rounded to the nearest percent. Therefore, individual percentages in each table may not add to 100 percent. The response rate was calculated by dividing the total number of returned survey cards by the total number of survey cards distributed. The sample size ("N") varies from figure to figure, depending on the number of responses.

For most indicators, the survey data are expected to be accurate within ±1.0% with 95% confidence. This means that if the different samples had been drawn, the results would have been similar (±1.0%) 95 out of 100 times.

The results do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year, to park visitors who did not visit the survey locations, or to park units in the region that did not participate in the survey.

The combined indicators are an average of several individual indicators. The average is based on the indicators within the grouping that have responses. For those combined indicators based on five indicators, the average for each respondent is only calculated if at least three of the indicators have responses. For the combined question based on two indicators, the average is only calculated if at least one of the indicators has a response. These respondent averages are then transformed into the same 5 point scale where 5 is Very Good and 1 is Poor, based on a logical classification of the rating scale.

For more information contact Beruria Novich at Pacific Consulting Group
bnovich@pcgfirm.com – (650) 327-8108

The Visitor Survey Card Project
Pacific Consulting Group

643 Bair Island Road, Suite 212
Redwood City, CA 94063 • (650) 327-8108
www.pcgfirm.com