



United States
Department of
Agriculture



United States
Department of
the Interior



Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service



National Park
Service

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania



How To Use This Soil Survey

This publication consists of text, tables, and a map. The text includes descriptions of detailed soil map units and provides an explanation of the information presented in the tables. It also includes a glossary of terms used in the text and tables and a list of references.

The detailed soil map can be useful in planning the use and management of small areas. To find information about your area of interest, locate that area on the map sheet. Note the map unit symbols that are in that area. Go to the Contents, which lists the map units by symbol and name and shows where each map unit is described.

The Contents shows which table has data on a specific land use for each detailed soil map unit. Also see the Contents for sections of this publication that may address your specific needs.

National Cooperative Soil Survey

This soil survey is a publication of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

The soil map in this survey may be copied without permission. Enlargement of this map, however, could cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping. If enlarged, the map does not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a larger scale.

Literature Citation

The correct citation for this survey is as follows:

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service. 2013. Soil survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania. (Accessible online at: http://soils.usda.gov/survey/printed_surveys/)

Cover Caption

Fort Necessity was built on a flood plain in an area of Philo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, that has inclusions of hydric soils and is vegetated with grasses and sedges.

Additional information about the Nation's natural resources is available online from the Natural Resources Conservation Service at <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/>.

Nondiscrimination Statement

Nondiscrimination Policy

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination against its customers, employees, and applicants for employment on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, religion, reprisal, and, where applicable, political beliefs, marital status, familial or parental status, sexual orientation, whether all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program, or protected genetic information. The Department prohibits discrimination in employment or in any program or activity conducted or funded by the Department. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs and/or employment activities.)

To File an Employment Complaint

If you wish to file an employment complaint, you must contact your agency's EEO Counselor (<http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/33081.wba>) within 45 days of the date of the alleged discriminatory act, event, or personnel action. Additional information can be found online at http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint_filing_file.html.

To File a Program Complaint

If you wish to file a Civil Rights program complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, found online at http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html or at any USDA office, or call (866) 632-9992 to request the form. You may also write a letter containing all of the information requested in the form. Send your completed complaint form or letter by mail to U.S. Department of Agriculture; Director, Office of Adjudication; 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W.; Washington, D.C. 20250-9419; by fax to (202) 690-7442; or by email to program.intake@usda.gov.

Persons with Disabilities

If you are deaf, are hard of hearing, or have speech disabilities and you wish to file either an EEO or program complaint, please contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339 or (800) 845-6136 (in Spanish).

If you have other disabilities and wish to file a program complaint, please see the contact information above. If you require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.), please contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program

For additional information dealing with Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) issues, call either the USDA SNAP Hotline Number at (800) 221-5689, which is also in Spanish, or the State Information/Hotline Numbers (<http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/33085.wba>).

All Other Inquiries

For information not pertaining to civil rights, please refer to the listing of the USDA Agencies and Offices (<http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/33086.wba>).

Contents

How To Use This Soil Survey	iii
Preface	xi
Introduction	1
How This Survey Was Made	1
Detailed Soil Map Units	3
1591024—Philo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	4
1591025—Atkins silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	5
1591026—Ernest silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	7
1591027—Ernest silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	9
1591030—Gilpin channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	10
1591031—Gilpin channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	12
1591032—Gilpin channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	13
1591036—Shelocta-Gilpin channery silt loams, 25 to 75 percent slopes	15
1591066—Cavode silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	17
1591067—Cavode silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	19
1591068—Cavode silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	20
1591072—Wharton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	22
1591073—Wharton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	23
1591074—Wharton silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	25
1591075—Wharton silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	27
1591084—Gilpin-Weikert channery silt loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes	29
1591087—Gilpin-Weikert channery silt loams, 25 to 60 percent slopes	31
1591095—Hazleton channery sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	33
1591097—Hazleton channery sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	35
1591110—Brinkerton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	36
1591111—Brinkerton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	37
1591133—Laidig gravelly loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes, extremely stony	39
1591152—Macove-Gilpin channery silt loams, 35 to 70 percent slopes, extremely stony	40
1591154—Rayne channery silt loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes, very stony	43
1591168—Hazleton-Clymer complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes, extremely stony	45
1591169—Hazleton-Clymer complex, 8 to 25 percent slopes, extremely stony	47
1591181—Andover gravelly loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	49
Use and Management of the Soils	51
Interpretive Ratings	51
Rating Class Terms	51
Numerical Ratings	51
Land Capability Classification	52
Prime and Other Important Farmland	53
Hydric Soils	54
Landscape, Landform, and Parent Material	55
Land Management	55
Recreation	57

Engineering	58
Dwellings and Small Commercial Buildings.....	59
Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Landscaping.....	60
Sewage Disposal	61
Source of Gravel and Sand	62
Source of Reclamation Material, Roadfill, and Topsoil.....	62
Ponds and Embankments.....	63
Soil Properties	65
Engineering Properties.....	65
Physical Soil Properties	66
Erosion Properties.....	67
Total Soil Carbon.....	68
Chemical Soil Properties	69
Water Features.....	69
Soil Features	70
Formation and Classification of the Soils	73
Factors of Soil Formation	73
Classification of the Soils	86
References	89
Glossary	91
Tables	101
Table 1.—Soil Legend	102
Table 2.—Land Capability Classification	106
Table 3.—Prime and Other Important Farmland	108
Table 4.—Hydric Soils	109
Table 5.—Landscape, Landform, and Parent Material.....	111
Table 6.—Land Management, Part I (Planting)	116
Table 6.—Land Management, Part II (Hazard of Erosion and Suitability for Roads).....	119
Table 6.—Land Management, Part III (Site Preparation)	123
Table 6.—Land Management, Part IV (Site Restoration)	126
Table 7.—Recreation, Part I (Camp and Picnic Areas)	129
Table 7.—Recreation, Part II (Trail Management).....	133
Table 8.—Dwellings and Small Commercial Buildings.....	136
Table 9.—Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Landscaping.....	140
Table 10.—Sewage Disposal	145
Table 11.—Source of Gravel and Sand.....	150
Table 12.—Source of Reclamation Material, Roadfill, and Topsoil.....	154
Table 13.—Ponds and Embankments.....	158
Table 14.—Engineering Properties	162
Table 15.—Physical Soil Properties	173
Table 16.—Erosion Properties	178
Table 17.—Total Soil Carbon.....	182

Table 18.—Chemical Soil Properties.....	184
Table 19.—Water Features	188
Table 20.—Soil Features	193
Table 21.—Taxonomic Classification of the Soils.....	199
Table 22.—Soil Classification Key.....	200

Issued 2013

Preface

This soil survey was developed in conjunction with the National Park Service's Soil Inventory and Monitoring Program and is intended to serve as the official source document for soils occurring within Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania.

This soil survey contains information that affects current and future land use planning in the park. It contains predictions of soil behavior for selected land uses. The survey highlights soil limitations, actions needed to overcome the limitations, and the impact of selected land uses on the environment. It is designed to meet the needs of the National Park Service and its partners to better understand the various soil properties present in the park and their effect on various natural ecological properties. This knowledge can help the National Park Service and its partners to understand, protect, and enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. The information in this report is intended to identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. Statements made in this report are intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are shallow to bedrock. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

These and many other soil properties that affect land use are described in this soil survey. The location of each map unit is shown on the detailed soil map. Each soil in the survey area is described, and information on specific uses is given. Help in using this publication and additional information are available at the local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service or the park office for Fort Necessity National Battlefield.

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

How This Survey Was Made

This survey document was made in conjunction with the National Park Service's Soil Inventory and Monitoring Program to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas within Fort Necessity National Battlefield.

The soil survey data was extracted from the county-based soil survey of Fayette County, Pennsylvania. The county survey was correlated in 2005 and certified in March 2010. The original fieldwork was conducted at a scale of 1:24,000. At the time that this data was extracted, there were 28 soil map units within the park and 92 components.

The information includes a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location and a discussion of their suitability, limitations, and management for specified uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They dug many holes to study the soil profile, which is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units).

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they delineated the boundaries of these bodies on digital imagery and identified each as a specific map unit.

Detailed Soil Map Units

The map units delineated on the detailed soil map in this survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the park. The map unit descriptions in this section, along with the map, can be used to determine the suitability and potential of a unit for specific uses. They also can be used to plan the management needed for those uses.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. The contrasting components are mentioned in the map unit descriptions. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives the principal hazards and limitations to be considered in planning for specific uses.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. All the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement. The soils of a given series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name

of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Atkins silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Atkins series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes. A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Hazleton-Clymer complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes, extremely stony, is an example.

Table 1 lists each map unit in the park, its major and minor components, and the percentage of each component in the unit. Other tables give properties of the soils and the limitations, capabilities, and potentials for many uses. The Glossary defines many of the terms used in describing the soils or miscellaneous areas.

1591024—Philo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 695 to 1,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 54 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 115 to 165 days

Map Unit Composition

Philo and similar soils: 85 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Philo Soil

Classification

Coarse-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Fluvaquentic Dystrudepts

Setting

Landform: Flood plains

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Low

Parent material: Recent coarse-loamy alluvium derived from sandstone and shale

Restrictive feature(s): None within a depth of 60 inches

Frequency of flooding: Occasional

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: About 18 to 36 inches (see table 19)

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.8 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 2w

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: B

Vegetation

Existing plants: White ash, sword fern, sedge, American hornbeam, and red maple

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 7 inches; loam

Bw—7 to 38 inches; sandy loam

C—38 to 62 inches; extremely gravelly loamy sand

Minor Components

Atkins soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Flood plains

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Toeslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Head slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Wharton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591025—Atkins silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 695 to 1,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 54 inches

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 115 to 165 days

Map Unit Composition

Atkins and similar soils: 75 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 25 percent

Description of Atkins Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, acid, mesic Fluvaquentic Endoaquepts

Setting

Landform: Flood plains

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Very high

Parent material: Recent fine-loamy alluvium derived from sandstone and shale

Restrictive feature(s): None within a depth of 60 inches

Frequency of flooding: Frequent

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: At the soil surface to 12 inches

Drainage class: Poorly drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: High (about 9.4 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 4w

Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

Hydrologic soil group: D

Typical Profile

A—0 to 7 inches; silt loam

Bg—7 to 48 inches; silt loam

Cg—48 to 60 inches; gravelly loam

Minor Components

Philo soils

Percent of map unit: 15 percent

Landform: Flood plains

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Toeslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Head slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591026—Ernest silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 895 to 1,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Ernest and similar soils: 85 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Ernest Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, superactive, mesic Aquic Fragiudults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Head slope

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy colluvium derived from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Fragipan at a depth of 20 to 36 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Water table (depth, kind): About 17 to 22 inches, perched (see table 19)

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 8.6 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 2e
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Vegetation

Existing plants: Red maple, hickory, dogwood, blackgum, and sassafras

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 8 inches; silt loam
Bt—8 to 24 inches; silty clay loam
Btx—24 to 50 inches; channery silt loam
C—50 to 74 inches; channery silt loam

Minor Components

Brinkerton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Hills and draws
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear and concave
Across-slope shape: Linear and concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Hills
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and shoulder
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Interfluvium
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear and convex
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Lobdell soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Flood plains
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 0 to 2 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591027—Ernest silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 895 to 1,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Ernest and similar soils: 85 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Ernest Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, superactive, mesic Aquic Fragiudults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Slope range: 8 to 15 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy colluvium derived from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Fragipan at a depth of 20 to 36 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Water table (depth, kind): About 17 to 22 inches, perched (see table 19)

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Moderate (about 8.6 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 3e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Vegetation

Existing plants: Red maple, hickory, dogwood, blackgum, and sassafras

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 8 inches; silt loam

Bt—8 to 24 inches; silty clay loam

Btx—24 to 50 inches; channery silt loam

C—50 to 74 inches; channery silt loam

Minor Components

Brinkerton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hills and draws

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear and concave

Across-slope shape: Linear and concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 15 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Linear and convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Lobdell soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Flood plains

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591030—Gilpin channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 800 to 1,695 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Gilpin and similar soils: 85 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Gilpin Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hills

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit and shoulder

Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Linear and convex

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 20 to 40 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Low (about 4.5 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 2e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Common pawpaw, flowering dogwood, eastern hophornbeam, Virginia creeper, roundleaf greenbrier, eastern poison ivy, mapleleaf viburnum, and summer grape

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 8 inches; channery silt loam

Bt—8 to 24 inches; channery silt loam

C—24 to 30 inches; very channery loam

R—30 to 35 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Wharton soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Weikert soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Shoulder

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Nose slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591031—Gilpin channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 800 to 1,695 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Gilpin and similar soils: 85 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Gilpin Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, and backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Slope range: 8 to 15 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Linear and convex

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 20 to 40 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Low (about 4.5 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 3e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Common pawpaw, flowering dogwood, eastern hophornbeam, Virginia creeper, roundleaf greenbrier, eastern poison ivy, mapleleaf viburnum, and summer grape

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 8 inches; channery silt loam

Bt—8 to 24 inches; channery silt loam

C—24 to 30 inches; very channery loam

R—30 to 35 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Wharton soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Interfluvium

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 15 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Weikert soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Shoulder

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Nose slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 15 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591032—Gilpin channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 800 to 1,695 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Gilpin and similar soils: 85 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Gilpin Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands
Landform: Hillslopes
Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder and backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Slope range: 15 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear and convex
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: High
Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 20 to 40 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.3 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 4e
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Common pawpaw, flowering dogwood, eastern hophornbeam, Virginia creeper, roundleaf greenbrier, eastern poison ivy, mapleleaf viburnum, and summer grape

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 8 inches; channery silt loam
Bt—8 to 24 inches; channery silt loam
C—24 to 30 inches; very channery loam
R—30 to 35 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Weikert soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Hillslopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Shoulder and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Nose slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 15 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Wharton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 15 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591036—Shelocta-Gilpin channery silt loams, 25 to 75 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 126—Central Allegheny Plateau

Elevation: 475 to 2,995 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 30 to 65 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 120 to 180 days

Map Unit Composition

Shelocta and similar soils: 50 percent

Gilpin and similar soils: 40 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 10 percent

Description of Shelocta Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Slope range: 25 to 75 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Linear and convex

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: High

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy colluvium derived from sandstone and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): None within a depth of 60 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: High (about 10.5 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: B

Vegetation

Existing plants: Trillium, eastern poison ivy, greenbrier, Christmas fern, Virginia creeper, northern spicebush, wild hydrangea, American beech, flowering dogwood, hickory, and red maple

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material

Oe—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material

A—2 to 8 inches; channery silt loam

Bt1—8 to 14 inches; channery silt loam

Bt2—14 to 40 inches; channery silt loam

C—40 to 80 inches; very channery loam

Description of Gilpin Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Slope range: 25 to 75 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: High

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 20 to 40 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Low (about 3.7 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Common pawpaw, flowering dogwood, eastern hophornbeam, Virginia creeper, roundleaf greenbrier, eastern poison ivy, mapleleaf viburnum, and summer grape

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material

Oe—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material

A—2 to 8 inches; channery silt loam

Bt—8 to 24 inches; channery silt loam

C—24 to 30 inches; very channery loam

R—30 to 35 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 8 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Rock outcrop

Percent of map unit: 2 percent

Representative aspect: North

1591066—Cavode silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 1,000 to 1,695 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Cavode and similar soils: 85 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Cavode Soil

Classification

Fine, mixed, active, mesic Aeric Endoaquults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hills

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear and concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Very high

Parent material: Acid clayey residuum weathered from clayey shale

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 90 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Water table (depth, kind): About 6 to 18 inches, perched (see table 19)

Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained

Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.4 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 3w

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 10 inches; silt loam

Btg—10 to 47 inches; silty clay loam

BCg—47 to 57 inches; channery silt loam

R—57 to 61 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and shoulder

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Interfluve

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Linear and convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Brinkerton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hills and draws

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope

Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear and concave
Across-slope shape: Linear and concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

1591067—Cavode silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 1,000 to 1,695 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F
Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Cavode and similar soils: 85 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Cavode Soil

Classification

Fine, mixed, active, mesic Aeric Endoaquults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands
Landform: Hillslopes
Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Slope range: 8 to 15 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear and concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Very high
Parent material: Acid clayey residuum weathered from clayey shale
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 90 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Water table (depth, kind): About 6 to 18 inches, perched (see table 19)
Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.4 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 3e
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 10 inches; silt loam
Btg—10 to 47 inches; silty clay loam
BCg—47 to 57 inches; channery silt loam
R—57 to 61 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Hillslopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, and backslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 8 to 15 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear and convex
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Brinkerton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Hills and draws
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear and concave
Across-slope shape: Linear and concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

1591068—Cavode silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 800 to 1,695 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 50 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F
Frost-free period: 120 to 200 days

Map Unit Composition

Cavode and similar soils: 80 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 20 percent

Description of Cavode Soil

Classification

Fine, mixed, active, mesic Aeric Endoaquults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands
Landform: Hillslopes
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Slope range: 15 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Linear
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Very high
Parent material: Acid clayey residuum weathered from clayey shale
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Water table (depth, kind): About 6 to 18 inches, perched (see table 19)
Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.4 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 4e
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 10 inches; silt loam
Btg—10 to 47 inches; silty clay loam
BCg—47 to 57 inches; channery silt loam
R—57 to 61 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Hillslopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Shoulder and backslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 15 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear and convex
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Wharton soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Hillslopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 15 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591072—Wharton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 800 to 1,695 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 65 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 110 to 200 days

Map Unit Composition

Wharton and similar soils: 80 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 20 percent

Description of Wharton Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Aquic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hills

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Low

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Water table (depth, kind): About 18 to 36 inches, perched (see table 19)

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: High (about 9.1 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 2w

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 9 inches; silt loam

Bt—9 to 46 inches; silty clay loam

C—46 to 69 inches; channery silty clay loam

R—69 to 75 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Brinkerton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hills and draws

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear and concave

Across-slope shape: Linear and concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

Cavode soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Toeslope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591073—Wharton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 800 to 1,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Wharton and similar soils: 80 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 20 percent

Description of Wharton Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Aquic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hills

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Water table (depth, kind): About 18 to 36 inches, perched (see table 19)

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: High (about 9.1 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 2e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 9 inches; silt loam

Bt—9 to 46 inches; silty clay loam

C—46 to 69 inches; channery silty clay loam

R—69 to 75 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 7 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and shoulder

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Interfluvium

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Linear and convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Cavode soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Interfluvium

Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear and concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Hillslopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Toeslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Head slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Brinkerton soils

Percent of map unit: 3 percent
Landform: Hills and draws
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear and concave
Across-slope shape: Linear and concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

1591074—Wharton silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 800 to 1,800 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F
Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Wharton and similar soils: 75 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 25 percent

Description of Wharton Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Aquic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands
Landform: Hillslopes
Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve
Slope range: 8 to 15 percent

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Concave
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium
Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Water table (depth, kind): About 18 to 36 inches, perched (see table 19)
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: High (about 9.1 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 3e
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 9 inches; silt loam
Bt—9 to 46 inches; silty clay loam
C—46 to 69 inches; channery silty clay loam
R—69 to 75 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 12 percent
Landform: Hillslopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, and backslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 8 to 15 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear and convex
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Cavode soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Hillslopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 8 to 15 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear and concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 15 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Brinkerton soils

Percent of map unit: 3 percent

Landform: Hills and draws

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear and concave

Across-slope shape: Linear and concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

1591075—Wharton silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 800 to 1,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Wharton and similar soils: 75 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 25 percent

Description of Wharton Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Aquic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Slope range: 15 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: High

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Water table (depth, kind): About 18 to 36 inches, perched (see table 19)

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: High (about 9.1 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 4e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 9 inches; silt loam

Bt—9 to 46 inches; silty clay loam

C—46 to 69 inches; channery silty clay loam

R—69 to 75 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Gilpin soils

Percent of map unit: 15 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Shoulder and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 15 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Linear and convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Cavode soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 15 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear and concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 8 to 15 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591084—Gilpin-Weikert channery silt loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 800 to 1,695 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F
Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Gilpin and similar soils: 60 percent
Weikert and similar soils: 30 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 10 percent

Description of Gilpin Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands
Landform: Hills
Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit
Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear and convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium
Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 20 to 40 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.5 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 2e

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Common pawpaw, flowering dogwood, eastern hophornbeam, Virginia creeper, roundleaf greenbrier, eastern poison ivy, mapleleaf viburnum, and summer grape

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 8 inches; channery silt loam

Bt—8 to 24 inches; channery silt loam

C—24 to 30 inches; very channery loam

R—30 to 35 inches; bedrock

Description of Weikert Soil

Classification

Loamy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Lithic Dystrudepts

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hills

Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder

Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Low

Parent material: Acid loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 10 to 20 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Very low (about 1.3 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 3e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Common serviceberry, hickory, mayapple, black cherry, chestnut oak, and greenbrier

Typical Profile

A—0 to 5 inches; channery silt loam

Bw—5 to 15 inches; very channery silt loam

C—15 to 18 inches; extremely channery silt loam

R—18 to 28 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Wharton soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591087—Gilpin-Weikert channery silt loams, 25 to 60 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 800 to 1,695 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Gilpin and similar soils: 60 percent

Weikert and similar soils: 25 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Gilpin Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Slope range: 25 to 60 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: High

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 20 to 40 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.8 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7e
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Common pawpaw, flowering dogwood, eastern hophornbeam, Virginia creeper, roundleaf greenbrier, eastern poison ivy, mapleleaf viburnum, and summer grape

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material
Oe—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material
A—2 to 8 inches; channery silt loam
Bt—8 to 24 inches; channery silt loam
C—24 to 30 inches; very channery loam
R—30 to 35 inches; bedrock

Description of Weikert Soil

Classification

Loamy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Lithic Dystrudepts

Setting

Landscape: Uplands
Landform: Hillslopes
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope
Slope range: 25 to 65 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium
Parent material: Acid loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 10 to 20 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Very low (about 1.3 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Greenbrier, chestnut oak, black cherry, mayapple, hickory, and common serviceberry

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material

A—1 to 5 inches; channery silt loam

Bw—5 to 15 inches; very channery silt loam

C—15 to 18 inches; extremely channery silt loam

R—18 to 28 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Shelocta soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 25 to 75 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Linear and convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Wharton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 15 to 45 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591095—Hazleton channery sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 980 to 2,795 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 65 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F

Frost-free period: 110 to 180 days

Map Unit Composition

Hazleton and similar soils: 80 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 20 percent

Description of Hazleton Soil

Classification

Loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts

Setting

Landform: Hillsides and mountainsides

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountain flank and side slope

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Low

Parent material: Acid sandy residuum weathered from noncalcareous sandstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Low (about 4.7 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 2e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: A

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 10 inches; channery sandy loam

Bw—10 to 34 inches; very channery sandy loam

C—34 to 58 inches; extremely channery sandy loam

R—58 to 60 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Clymer soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Hills

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop and interfluvium

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Cookport soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Mountains
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591097—Hazleton channery sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 1,145 to 2,795 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 54 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 58 degrees F
Frost-free period: 115 to 165 days

Map Unit Composition

Hazleton and similar soils: 85 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Hazleton Soil

Classification

Loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts

Setting

Landform: Hillsides and mountainsides
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountain flank and side slope
Slope range: 15 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium
Parent material: Acid sandy residuum weathered from noncalcareous sandstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.7 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 4e

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: A

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 10 inches; channery sandy loam

Bw—10 to 34 inches; very channery sandy loam

C—34 to 58 inches; extremely channery sandy loam

R—58 to 60 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Dekalb soils

Percent of map unit: 15 percent

Landform: Mountain slopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Mountain flank

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591110—Brinkerton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 895 to 1,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Brinkerton and similar soils: 85 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Brinkerton Soil

Classification

Fine-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Typic Fragiaqualfs

Setting

Landform: Hills and draws

Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear and concave

Across-slope shape: Linear and concave

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Very high

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Parent material: Acid fine-silty colluvium derived from shale and siltstone
Restrictive feature(s): Fragipan at a depth of 15 to 30 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Water table (depth, kind): At the soil surface to 6 inches, perched (see table 19)
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: High (about 9.5 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 4w
Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes
Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Vegetation

Existing plants: Sedge, fringed loosestrife, swamp white oak, and black willow

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 8 inches; silt loam
Btg—8 to 21 inches; silty clay loam
Btgx—21 to 42 inches; silt loam
BC—42 to 65 inches; channery silt loam

Minor Components

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Hillslopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Toeslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Head slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 3 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Lobdell soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Flood plains
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 0 to 2 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591111—Brinkerton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 895 to 1,800 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 46 inches

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 62 degrees F

Frost-free period: 130 to 160 days

Map Unit Composition

Brinkerton and similar soils: 80 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 20 percent

Description of Brinkerton Soil

Classification

Fine-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Typic Fragiaqualfs

Setting

Landform: Hills and draws

Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope and toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope and head slope

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear and concave

Across-slope shape: Linear and concave

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Very high

Parent material: Acid fine-silty colluvium derived from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Fragipan at a depth of 15 to 30 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Water table (depth, kind): At the soil surface to 6 inches, perched (see table 19)

Drainage class: Poorly drained

Shrink-swell potential: Moderate (about 4.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: High (about 9.5 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 4w

Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes

Hydrologic soil group: C/D

Vegetation

Existing plants: Sedge, fringed loosestrife, swamp white oak, and black willow

Typical Profile

Ap—0 to 8 inches; silt loam

Btg—8 to 21 inches; silty clay loam

Btgx—21 to 42 inches; silt loam

BC—42 to 65 inches; channery silt loam

Minor Components

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 15 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Toeslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Head slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 3 to 8 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Lobdell soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Flood plains

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

**1591133—Laidig gravelly loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes,
extremely stony**

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 600 to 2,795 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 60 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 59 degrees F

Frost-free period: 110 to 180 days

Map Unit Composition

Laidig and similar soils: 75 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 25 percent

Description of Laidig Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Fragiudults

Setting

Landform: Mountainsides

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Center third of mountain flank

Slope range: 25 to 35 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Linear

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: High

Parent material: Acid loamy colluvium derived from sandstone and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Fragipan at a depth of 30 to 50 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Water table (depth, kind): About 24 to 42 inches, perched (see table 19)

Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.0 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7s
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: C

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material
Oe—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material
A—2 to 9 inches; gravelly loam
Bt—9 to 34 inches; stony loam
Btx—34 to 57 inches; cobbly loam
C—57 to 80 inches; stony sandy loam

Minor Components

Dekalb soils

Percent of map unit: 15 percent
Landform: Mountain slopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Mountain flank
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 30 to 80 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Buchanan soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Mountain slopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 15 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591152—Macove-Gilpin channery silt loams, 35 to 70 percent slopes, extremely stony

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 1,000 to 2,795 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 44 to 54 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 58 degrees F
Frost-free period: 130 to 150 days

Map Unit Composition

Macove and similar soils: 65 percent
Gilpin and similar soils: 25 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 10 percent

Description of Macove Soil

Classification

Loamy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landform: Mountainsides
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope and footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountain flank
Slope range: 35 to 65 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave and convex
Across-slope shape: Linear and convex
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium
Parent material: Acid loamy colluvium derived from sandstone and shale
Restrictive feature(s): None within a depth of 60 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.9 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7s
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: B

Vegetation

Existing plants: Red maple, flowering dogwood, cucumbertree, blackgum, sourwood, and sassafras

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material
Oe—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material
A—2 to 10 inches; channery silt loam
Bt—10 to 48 inches; very flaggy silt loam
C—48 to 75 inches; very flaggy loam

Description of Gilpin Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Hills and uplands

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Landform: Hills

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Slope range: 35 to 70 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: High

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 20 to 40 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Low (about 3.8 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7s

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Vegetation

Existing plants: Summer grape, mapleleaf viburnum, eastern poison ivy, roundleaf greenbrier, Virginia creeper, eastern hophornbeam, flowering dogwood, and common pawpaw

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 0 inches; slightly decomposed plant material

Oe—0 to 1 inch; moderately decomposed plant material

A—1 to 8 inches; channery silt loam

Bt—8 to 24 inches; channery silt loam

C—24 to 30 inches; very channery loam

R—30 to 35 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Buchanan soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Mountain slopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Lower third of mountain flank

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave

Across-slope shape: Concave

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591154—Rayne channery silt loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes, very stony

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains

Elevation: 475 to 2,995 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 30 to 65 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 59 degrees F

Frost-free period: 120 to 200 days

Map Unit Composition

Rayne and similar soils: 70 percent

Gilpin and similar soils: 20 percent

Dissimilar minor components: 10 percent

Description of Rayne Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hillsides and mountainsides

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Slope range: 8 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 30 to 72 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.5 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 6s

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: B

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material

Oe—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material

A—2 to 8 inches; channery silt loam

Bt—8 to 47 inches; channery silty clay loam
BC—47 to 55 inches; channery sandy loam
R—55 to 59 inches; bedrock

Description of Gilpin Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Hills

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Slope range: 8 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Soil temperature class: Mesic

Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: High

Parent material: Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 24 to 48 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)

Salinity maximum: Not saline

Sodicity maximum: Not sodic

Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0

Available water capacity: Low (about 3.7 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 6s

Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Hydrologic soil group: C

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material

A—1 to 5 inches; silt loam

Bt—5 to 24 inches; channery silt loam

C—24 to 30 inches; very channery silt loam

R—30 to 34 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Ernest soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Hillslopes

Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Representative aspect: Southeast

Aspect range: All aspects

Slope range: 8 to 25 percent

Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Wharton soils

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Hillsides and mountainsides
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 8 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Linear
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591168—Hazleton-Clymer complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes, extremely stony

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 1,065 to 2,795 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 40 to 54 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 58 degrees F
Frost-free period: 110 to 150 days

Map Unit Composition

Hazleton and similar soils: 50 percent
Clymer and similar soils: 35 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Hazleton Soil

Classification

Loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts

Setting

Landform: Mountains
Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit
Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop
Slope range: 0 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Low
Parent material: Acid sandy residuum weathered from noncalcareous sandstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.4 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7s
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: B

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material
Oe—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material
A—2 to 6 inches; channery sandy loam
Bw—6 to 34 inches; very channery sandy loam
C—34 to 58 inches; extremely channery sandy loam
R—58 to 60 inches; bedrock

Description of Clymer Soil

Classification

Coarse-loamy, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landform: Mountains
Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit
Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop
Slope range: 0 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Low
Parent material: Silty coarse-loamy residuum weathered from sandstone and siltstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 60 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.6 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 6s
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: B

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material

Oa—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material
A—2 to 9 inches; channery loam
Bt—9 to 40 inches; channery loam
C—40 to 51 inches; very channery loam
R—51 to 62 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Cookport soils

Percent of map unit: 15 percent
Landform: Mountains
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Mountaintop
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 0 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

1591169—Hazleton-Clymer complex, 8 to 25 percent slopes, extremely stony

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 1,065 to 2,795 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 40 to 54 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 58 degrees F
Frost-free period: 110 to 150 days

Map Unit Composition

Hazleton and similar soils: 45 percent
Clymer and similar soils: 40 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 15 percent

Description of Hazleton Soil

Classification

Loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountain flank
Slope range: 8 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Low
Parent material: Acid sandy residuum weathered from noncalcareous sandstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 72 inches

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.4 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7s
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: B

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material
Oe—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material
A—2 to 6 inches; channery sandy loam
Bw—6 to 34 inches; very channery sandy loam
C—34 to 58 inches; extremely channery sandy loam
R—58 to 60 inches; bedrock

Description of Clymer Soil

Classification

Coarse-loamy, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Hapludults

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountain flank
Slope range: 8 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Medium
Parent material: Acid coarse-loamy residuum weathered from sandstone and siltstone
Restrictive feature(s): Lithic bedrock at a depth of 40 to 60 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Depth to water table: More than 72 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.6 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 6s
Meets hydric soil criteria: No
Hydrologic soil group: B

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 1 inch; slightly decomposed plant material
Oa—1 to 2 inches; moderately decomposed plant material
A—2 to 9 inches; channery loam
Bt—9 to 40 inches; channery loam
C—40 to 51 inches; very channery loam
R—51 to 62 inches; bedrock

Minor Components

Cookport soils

Percent of map unit: 15 percent
Landform: Mountain slopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Summit and backslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Mountain flank
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 8 to 25 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

**1591181—Andover gravelly loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes,
very stony**

Map Unit Setting

Major land resource area (MLRA): 127—Eastern Allegheny Plateau and Mountains
Elevation: 695 to 2,400 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 44 to 54 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 58 degrees F
Frost-free period: 130 to 150 days

Map Unit Composition

Andover and similar soils: 90 percent
Dissimilar minor components: 10 percent

Description of Andover Soil

Classification

Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Fragiaquults

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes
Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountain base
Slope range: 0 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Soil temperature class: Mesic
Soil temperature regime: Mesic

Properties and Qualities

Runoff: Very high
Parent material: Acid loamy colluvium derived from sandstone and shale

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Restrictive feature(s): Fragipan at a depth of 25 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Water table (depth, kind): At the soil surface to 6 inches, perched (see table 19)
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Shrink-swell potential: Low (about 1.5 LEP)
Salinity maximum: Not saline
Sodicity maximum: Not sodic
Calcium carbonate equivalent (maximum weight percentage): 0
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.7 inches)

Interpretive Groups

Land capability subclass (nonirrigated): 7s
Meets hydric soil criteria: Yes
Hydrologic soil group: D

Typical Profile

Oi—0 to 0 inches; slightly decomposed plant material
Oe—0 to 1 inch; moderately decomposed plant material
Oa—1 to 3 inches; highly decomposed plant material
A—3 to 10 inches; cobbly loam
Bt—10 to 25 inches; cobbly sandy clay loam
Btx—25 to 88 inches; gravelly sandy loam

Minor Components

Buchanan soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Mountain slopes
Geomorphic position (two-dimensional): Footslope
Geomorphic position (three-dimensional): Mountain base
Representative aspect: Southeast
Aspect range: All aspects
Slope range: 0 to 8 percent
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Meets hydric soil criteria: No

Use and Management of the Soils

This soil survey is an inventory and evaluation of the soils within Fort Necessity National Battlefield. It can be used to adjust land uses to the limitations and potentials of natural resources and the environment. Also, it can help to prevent soil-related failures in land uses.

In preparing a soil survey, soil scientists, conservationists, engineers, and others collect extensive field data about the nature and behavioral characteristics of the soils. They collect data on erosion, droughtiness, flooding, and other factors that affect various soil uses and management. Field experience and collected data on soil properties and performance are used as a basis in predicting soil behavior.

Information in this section can be used to plan the use and management of soils as rangeland and as sites for buildings, sanitary facilities, highways and other transportation systems, and recreational facilities. It can be used to identify the potentials and limitations of each soil for specific land uses and to help prevent construction failures caused by unfavorable soil properties.

Planners and others using soil survey information can evaluate the effect of specific land uses on productivity and on the environment in all or part of the park. The survey can help planners to maintain or create a land use pattern in harmony with the natural soil.

Contractors can use this survey to locate sources of sand and gravel, roadfill, and topsoil. They can use it to identify areas where bedrock, wetness, or very firm soil layers can cause difficulty in excavation.

Health officials, highway officials, engineers, and others may also find this survey useful. The survey can help them plan the safe disposal of wastes and locate sites for pavements, sidewalks, campgrounds, playgrounds, and trees and shrubs.

Interpretive Ratings

The interpretive tables in this survey rate the soils in the park for various uses. Many of the tables identify the limitations that affect specified uses and indicate the severity of those limitations. The ratings in these tables are both verbal and numerical.

Rating Class Terms

Rating classes are expressed in the tables in terms that indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect a specified use or in terms that indicate the suitability of the soils for the use. Thus, the tables may show limitation classes or suitability classes. Terms for the limitation classes are *not limited*, *slightly limited*, *somewhat limited*, and *very limited*. The suitability ratings are expressed as *well suited*, *moderately well suited*, *poorly suited*, and *unsuited* or as *good*, *fair*, and *poor*.

Numerical Ratings

Numerical ratings in the tables indicate the relative severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.00 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact

on the use and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation. The limitations appear in order from the most limiting to the least limiting. Thus, if more than one limitation is identified, the most severe limitation is listed first and the least severe one is listed last.

Land Capability Classification

Land capability classification shows, in a general way, the suitability of soils for most kinds of field crops. Crops that require special management are excluded. The soils are grouped according to their limitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are used for crops, and the way they respond to management. The criteria used in grouping the soils do not include major and generally expensive landforming that would change slope, depth, or other characteristics of the soils, nor do they include possible but unlikely major reclamation projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for interpretations designed to show suitability and limitations of groups of soils for rangeland, for forestland, or for engineering purposes.

In the capability system, soils are generally grouped at three levels—capability class, subclass, and unit (USDA-SCS, 1961). Only class and subclass are used in this survey.

Capability classes, the broadest groups, are designated by the numbers 1 through 8. The numbers indicate progressively greater limitations and narrower choices for practical use. The classes are defined as follows:

Class 1 soils have slight limitations that restrict their use.

Class 2 soils have moderate limitations that restrict the choice of plants or that require moderate conservation practices.

Class 3 soils have severe limitations that restrict the choice of plants or that require special conservation practices, or both.

Class 4 soils have very severe limitations that restrict the choice of plants or that require very careful management, or both.

Class 5 soils are subject to little or no erosion but have other limitations, impractical to remove, that restrict their use mainly to pasture, rangeland, forestland, or wildlife habitat.

Class 6 soils have severe limitations that make them generally unsuitable for cultivation and that restrict their use mainly to pasture, rangeland, forestland, or wildlife habitat.

Class 7 soils have very severe limitations that make them unsuitable for cultivation and that restrict their use mainly to grazing, forestland, or wildlife habitat.

Class 8 soils and miscellaneous areas have limitations that preclude commercial plant production and that restrict their use to recreational purposes, wildlife habitat, watershed, or esthetic purposes.

Capability subclasses are soil groups within one class. They are designated by adding a small letter, *e*, *w*, *s*, or *c*, to the class numeral, for example, 2*e*. The letter *e* shows that the main hazard is the risk of erosion unless close-growing plant cover is maintained; *w* shows that water in or on the soil interferes with plant growth or cultivation (in some soils the wetness can be partly corrected by artificial drainage); *s* shows that the soil is limited mainly because it is shallow, droughty, or stony; and *c*, used in only some parts of the United States, shows that the chief limitation is climate that is very cold or very dry.

In class 1 there are no subclasses because the soils of this class have few limitations. Class 5 contains only the subclasses indicated by *w*, *s*, or *c* because the soils in class 5 are subject to little or no erosion. They have other limitations that restrict their use to pasture, rangeland, forestland, wildlife habitat, or recreation.

The capability classification of map units in this park is given in the section “Detailed Soil Map Units” and in table 2.

Prime and Other Important Farmland

Table 3 lists the map units in the park that are considered important farmlands. Important farmlands consist of prime farmland, unique farmland, and farmland of statewide or local importance. This list does not constitute a recommendation for a particular land use.

In an effort to identify the extent and location of important farmlands, the Natural Resources Conservation Service, in cooperation with other interested Federal, State, and local government organizations, has inventoried land that can be used for the production of the Nation's food supply.

Prime farmland is of major importance in meeting the Nation's short- and long-range needs for food and fiber. Because the supply of high-quality farmland is limited, the U.S. Department of Agriculture recognizes that responsible levels of government, as well as individuals, should encourage and facilitate the wise use of our Nation's prime farmland.

Prime farmland, as defined by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, is land that has the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops and is available for these uses. It could be cultivated land, pastureland, forestland, or other land, but it is not urban or built-up land or water areas. The soil quality, growing season, and moisture supply are those needed for the soil to economically produce sustained high yields of crops when proper management, including water management, and acceptable farming methods are applied. In general, prime farmland has an adequate and dependable supply of moisture from precipitation or irrigation, a favorable temperature and growing season, acceptable acidity or alkalinity, an acceptable salt and sodium content, and few or no rocks. The water supply is dependable and of adequate quality. Prime farmland is permeable to water and air. It is not excessively erodible or saturated with water for long periods, and it either is not frequently flooded during the growing season or is protected from flooding. Slope ranges mainly from 0 to 6 percent. More detailed information about the criteria for prime farmland is available at the local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

For some soils identified as prime farmland, measures that overcome a hazard or limitation, such as flooding, wetness, and droughtiness, are needed. Onsite evaluation is needed to determine whether or not the hazard or limitation has been overcome by corrective measures.

A recent trend in land use in some areas has been the loss of some prime farmland to industrial and urban uses. The loss of prime farmland to other uses puts pressure on marginal lands, which generally are more erodible, droughty, and less productive and cannot be easily cultivated.

Unique farmland is land other than prime farmland that is used for the production of specific high-value food and fiber crops, such as citrus, tree nuts, olives, cranberries, and other fruits and vegetables. It has the special combination of soil quality, growing season, moisture supply, temperature, humidity, air drainage, elevation, and aspect needed for the soil to economically produce sustainable high yields of these crops when properly managed. The water supply is dependable and of adequate quality. Nearness to markets is an additional consideration. Unique farmland is not based on national criteria. It commonly is in areas where there is a special microclimate, such as the wine country in California.

In some areas, land that does not meet the criteria for prime or unique farmland is considered to be *farmland of statewide importance* for the production of food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops. The criteria for defining and delineating farmland of statewide importance are determined by the appropriate State agencies. Generally, this land includes areas of soils that nearly meet the requirements for prime farmland and that economically produce high yields of crops when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods. Some areas may produce as high a yield

as prime farmland if conditions are favorable. Farmland of statewide importance may include tracts of land that have been designated for agriculture by State law.

In some areas that are not identified as having national or statewide importance, land is considered to be *farmland of local importance* for the production of food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops. This farmland is identified by the appropriate local agencies. Farmland of local importance may include tracts of land that have been designated for agriculture by local ordinance.

Hydric Soils

Table 4 lists the map unit components that are rated as hydric soils in the park. This list can help in planning land uses; however, onsite investigation is recommended to determine the hydric soils on a specific site (National Research Council, 1995; USDA-NRCS, 2010).

The three essential characteristics of wetlands are hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology (Cowardin et al., 1979; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1987; National Research Council, 1995; Tiner, 1985). Criteria for all of the characteristics must be met for areas to be identified as wetlands. Undrained hydric soils that have natural vegetation should support a dominant population of ecological wetland plant species. Hydric soils that have been converted to other uses should be capable of being restored to wetlands.

Hydric soils are defined by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) as soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (Federal Register, 1994). These soils, under natural conditions, are either saturated or inundated long enough during the growing season to support the growth and reproduction of hydrophytic vegetation.

The NTCHS definition identifies general soil properties that are associated with wetness. In order to determine whether a specific soil is a hydric soil or nonhydric soil, however, more specific information, such as information about the depth and duration of the water table, is needed. Thus, criteria that identify those estimated soil properties unique to hydric soils have been established (Federal Register, 2002). These criteria are used to identify map unit components that normally are associated with wetlands. The criteria used are selected estimated soil properties that are described in "Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 1999) and "Keys to Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 2010) and in the "Soil Survey Manual" (Soil Survey Division Staff, 1993).

If soils are wet enough for a long enough period of time to be considered hydric, they should exhibit certain properties that can be easily observed in the field. These visible properties are indicators of hydric soils. The indicators used to make onsite determinations of hydric soils are specified in "Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States" (USDA-NRCS, 2010).

Hydric soils are identified by examining and describing the soil to a depth of about 20 inches. This depth may be greater if determination of an appropriate indicator so requires. It is always recommended that soils be excavated and described to the depth necessary for an understanding of the redoximorphic processes. Then, using the completed soil descriptions, soil scientists can compare the soil features required by each indicator and specify which indicators have been matched with the conditions observed in the soil. The soil can be identified as a hydric soil if at least one of the approved indicators is present.

Map units that are dominantly made up of hydric soils may have small areas, or inclusions, of nonhydric soils in the higher positions on the landform, and map units dominantly made up of nonhydric soils may have inclusions of hydric soils in the lower positions on the landform.

The criteria for hydric soils are represented by codes in the table (for example, 2B3). Definitions for the codes are as follows:

1. All Histels except for Folistels and Histosols except for Folists.
2. Soils in Aquic suborders, great groups, or subgroups, Albolls suborder, Historthels great group, Histoturbels great group, Pachic subgroups, or Cumulic subgroups that:
 - A. are somewhat poorly drained and have a water table at the surface (0.0 feet) during the growing season, or
 - B. are poorly drained or very poorly drained and have either:
 - 1) a water table at the surface (0.0 feet) during the growing season if textures are coarse sand, sand, or fine sand in all layers within a depth of 20 inches, or
 - 2) a water table at a depth of 0.5 foot or less during the growing season if saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}) is equal to or greater than 6.0 in/hr in all layers within a depth of 20 inches, or
 - 3) a water table at a depth of 1.0 foot or less during the growing season if saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}) is less than 6.0 in/hr in any layer within a depth of 20 inches.
3. Soils that are frequently ponded for periods of long or very long duration during the growing season.
4. Soils that are frequently flooded for periods of long or very long duration during the growing season.

Landscape, Landform, and Parent Material

Table 5 displays information about the climate, location, and parent material of each soil in the map units.

Percent of the map unit is the extent of the named soil in the map unit.

Slope is the inclination of the land surface from the horizontal. Percentage of slope is the vertical distance divided by horizontal distance, then multiplied by 100. Thus, a slope of 20 percent is a drop of 20 feet in 100 feet of horizontal distance. The table shows the low and high range of slope for the named component or soil.

Elevation is the height of an object or area on the earth's surface in reference to a fixed point, such as mean sea level. The typical low and high range of elevation is displayed for each soil.

MAP is the mean annual precipitation for areas of the soil in the map unit.

Landscape refers to the broad shape of the earth in the area where the soil occurs.

Landform is a specific shape of the earth in the area where a soil typically occurs. Examples are a mountain summit and a valley bottom.

Parent material is the material in which soils formed. Examples are the underlying geological material (including bedrock), a surficial deposit (such as volcanic ash), and organic material. Soils inherit their chemical and physical properties from the parent material.

Land Management

In table 6, parts I through IV, interpretive ratings are given for various aspects of land management. The ratings are both verbal and numerical.

Some rating class terms indicate the degree to which the soils are suited to a specified land management practice. *Well suited* indicates that the soil has features that are favorable for the specified practice and has no limitations. Good performance can be expected, and little or no maintenance is needed. *Moderately suited* indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified practice. One or more soil properties are less than desirable, and fair performance can be expected. Some maintenance is needed. *Poorly suited* indicates that the soil has one or more properties that are unfavorable for the specified practice. Overcoming the unfavorable

properties requires special design, extra maintenance, and costly alteration. *Unsuited* indicates that the expected performance of the soil is unacceptable for the specified practice or that extreme measures are needed to overcome the undesirable soil properties.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the specified land management practice (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

Rating class terms for *fire damage* and *seedling mortality* are expressed as low, moderate, and high. Where these terms are used, the numerical ratings indicate gradations between the point at which the potential for fire damage or seedling mortality is highest (1.00) and the point at which the potential is lowest (0.00).

Rating class terms for *hazard of erosion* are expressed as slight, moderate, severe, and very severe. Where these terms are used, the numerical ratings indicate gradations between the point at which the potential for erosion is highest (1.00) and the point at which the potential is lowest (0.00).

The paragraphs that follow indicate the soil properties considered in rating the soils for land management practices.

Ratings in the columns *suitability for hand planting* and *suitability for mechanical planting* are based on slope, depth to a restrictive layer, content of sand, plasticity index, rock fragments on or below the surface, depth to a water table, and ponding. The soils are described as well suited, moderately suited, poorly suited, or unsuited to these methods of planting. It is assumed that necessary site preparation is completed before seedlings are planted.

Ratings in the column *soil rutting hazard* are based on depth to a water table, rock fragments on or below the surface, the Unified classification, depth to a restrictive layer, and slope. Ruts form as a result of the operation of planting equipment. The hazard is described as slight, moderate, or severe. A rating of *slight* indicates that the soil is subject to little or no rutting, *moderate* indicates that rutting is likely, and *severe* indicates that ruts form readily.

Ratings in the column *hazard of erosion* are based on slope and on soil erodibility factor K. The soil loss is caused by sheet or rill erosion in areas where 50 to 75 percent of the surface has been exposed by different kinds of disturbance. The hazard is described as slight, moderate, severe, or very severe. A rating of *slight* indicates that erosion is unlikely under ordinary climatic conditions; *moderate* indicates that some erosion is likely and that erosion-control measures may be needed; *severe* indicates that erosion is very likely and that erosion-control measures, including revegetation of bare areas, are advised; and *very severe* indicates that significant erosion is expected, loss of soil productivity and off-site damage are likely, and erosion-control measures are costly and generally impractical.

Ratings in the column *hazard of erosion on roads and trails* are based on the soil erodibility factor K, slope, and content of rock fragments. The ratings apply to unsurfaced roads and trails. The hazard is described as slight, moderate, or severe. A rating of *slight* indicates that little or no erosion is likely; *moderate* indicates that some erosion is likely, that the roads or trails may require occasional maintenance, and that simple erosion-control measures are needed; and *severe* indicates that significant erosion is expected, that the roads or trails require frequent maintenance, and that costly erosion-control measures are needed.

Ratings in the column *suitability for roads (natural surface)* are based on slope, rock fragments on the surface, plasticity index, content of sand, the Unified classification, depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, and the hazard of soil slippage. The ratings indicate the suitability for using the natural surface of the soil for roads. The soils are described as well suited, moderately suited, or poorly suited to this use.

Ratings in the column *suitability for mechanical site preparation (deep)* are based on slope, depth to a restrictive layer, rock fragments on or below the surface, depth to a water table, and ponding. The soils are described as well suited, poorly suited, or unsuited to this management activity. The part of the soil from the surface to a depth of about 3 feet is considered in the ratings.

Ratings in the column *suitability for mechanical site preparation (surface)* are based on slope, depth to a restrictive layer, plasticity index, rock fragments on or below the surface, depth to a water table, and ponding. The soils are described as well suited, poorly suited, or unsuited to this management activity. The part of the soil from the surface to a depth of about 1 foot is considered in the ratings.

Ratings in the column *potential for damage to soil by fire* are based on texture of the surface layer, content of rock fragments and organic matter in the surface layer, thickness of the surface layer, and slope. The soils are described as having a low, moderate, or high potential for this kind of damage. The ratings indicate an evaluation of the potential impact of prescribed fires or wildfires that are intense enough to remove the duff layer and consume organic matter in the surface layer.

Ratings in the column *potential for seedling mortality* are based on flooding, ponding, depth to a water table, content of lime, reaction, salinity, available water capacity, soil moisture regime, soil temperature regime, aspect, and slope. The soils are described as having a low, moderate, or high potential for seedling mortality.

Recreation

The soils of the park are rated in table 7, parts I and II, according to limitations that affect their suitability for recreation. The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect the recreational uses. *Not limited* indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. *Somewhat limited* indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. *Very limited* indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

The ratings in the table are based on restrictive soil features, such as wetness, slope, and texture of the surface layer. Susceptibility to flooding is considered. Not considered in the ratings, but important in evaluating a site, are the location and accessibility of the area, the size and shape of the area and its scenic quality, vegetation, access to water, potential water impoundment sites, and access to public sewer lines. The capacity of the soil to absorb septic tank effluent and the ability of the soil to support vegetation also are important. Soils that are subject to flooding are limited for recreational uses by the duration and intensity of flooding and the season when flooding occurs. In planning recreational facilities, onsite assessment of the height, duration, intensity, and frequency of flooding is essential.

The information in table 7 can be supplemented by other information in this survey, for example, interpretations for building site development, construction materials, and water management.

Camp areas require site preparation, such as shaping and leveling the tent and parking areas, stabilizing roads and intensively used areas, and installing sanitary

facilities and utility lines. Camp areas are subject to heavy foot traffic and some vehicular traffic. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect the ease of developing camp areas and the performance of the areas after development. Slope, stoniness, and depth to bedrock or a cemented pan are the main concerns affecting the development of camp areas. The soil properties that affect the performance of the areas after development are those that influence trafficability and promote the growth of vegetation, especially in heavily used areas. For good trafficability, the surface of camp areas should absorb rainfall readily, remain firm under heavy foot traffic, and not be dusty when dry. The soil properties that influence trafficability are texture of the surface layer, depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, permeability, and large stones. The soil properties that affect the growth of plants are depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, permeability, and toxic substances in the soil.

Picnic areas are subject to heavy foot traffic. Most vehicular traffic is confined to access roads and parking areas. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect the ease of developing picnic areas and that influence trafficability and the growth of vegetation after development. Slope and stoniness are the main concerns affecting the development of picnic areas. For good trafficability, the surface of picnic areas should absorb rainfall readily, remain firm under heavy foot traffic, and not be dusty when dry. The soil properties that influence trafficability are texture of the surface layer, depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, permeability, and large stones. The soil properties that affect the growth of plants are depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, permeability, and toxic substances in the soil.

Foot traffic and equestrian trails for hiking and horseback riding should require little or no slope modification through cutting and filling. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect trafficability and erodibility. These properties are stoniness, depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, slope, and texture of the surface layer.

Mountain bike and off-road vehicle trails require little or no site preparation. They are not covered with surfacing material or vegetation. Considerable compaction of the soil material is likely. The ratings are based on the soil properties that influence erodibility, trafficability, dustiness, and the ease of revegetation. These properties are stoniness, depth to a water table, ponding, slope, flooding, and texture of the surface layer.

Engineering

This section provides information for planning land uses related to urban development and to water management. Soils are rated for various uses, and the most limiting features are identified. Ratings are given for building site development, landscaping, sanitary facilities, construction materials, and water management. The ratings are based on observed performance of the soils and on the estimated data and test data in the "Soil Properties" section.

Information in this section is intended for land use planning, for evaluating land use alternatives, and for planning site investigations prior to design and construction. The information, however, has limitations. For example, estimates and other data generally apply only to that part of the soil between the surface and a depth of 5 to 7 feet. Because of the map scale, small areas of different soils may be included within the mapped areas of a specific soil.

The information is not site specific and does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation of the soils or for testing and analysis by personnel experienced in the design and construction of engineering works.

Government ordinances and regulations that restrict certain land uses or impose specific design criteria were not considered in preparing the information in this section. Local ordinances and regulations should be considered in planning, in site selection, and in design.

Soil properties, site features, and observed performance were considered in determining the ratings in this section. During the fieldwork for this soil survey, determinations were made about particle-size distribution, liquid limit, plasticity index, soil reaction, depth to bedrock, hardness of bedrock within 5 to 7 feet of the surface, soil wetness, depth to a water table, ponding, slope, likelihood of flooding, natural soil structure aggregation, and soil density. Data were collected about kinds of clay minerals, mineralogy of the sand and silt fractions, and the kinds of adsorbed cations. Estimates were made for erodibility, permeability, corrosivity, shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, and other behavioral characteristics affecting engineering uses.

This information can be used to evaluate the potential of areas for residential, commercial, and recreational uses; make preliminary estimates of construction conditions; evaluate alternative routes for roads, streets, highways, pipelines, and underground cables; evaluate alternative sites for septic tank absorption fields and sewage lagoons; plan detailed onsite investigations of soils and geology; locate potential sources of gravel, sand, earthfill, and topsoil; plan drainage systems, ponds, and other structures for soil and water conservation; and predict performance of proposed small structures and pavements by comparing the performance of existing similar structures on the same or similar soils.

The information in the tables, along with the soil map, the soil descriptions, and other data provided in this survey, can be used to make additional interpretations.

Some of the terms used in this soil survey have a special meaning in soil science and are defined in the Glossary.

Dwellings and Small Commercial Buildings

Soil properties influence the development of building sites, including the selection of the site, the design of the structure, construction, performance after construction, and maintenance. Table 8 shows the degree and kind of soil limitations that affect dwellings and small commercial buildings.

The ratings in the table are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect building site development. *Not limited* indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. *Somewhat limited* indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. *Very limited* indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

Dwellings are single-family houses of three stories or less. For dwellings without basements, the foundation is assumed to consist of spread footings of reinforced concrete built on undisturbed soil at a depth of 2 feet or at the depth of maximum frost penetration, whichever is deeper. For dwellings with basements, the foundation is assumed to consist of spread footings of reinforced concrete built on undisturbed soil at a depth of about 7 feet. The ratings for dwellings are based on the soil properties that affect the capacity of the soil to support a load without movement and on the properties that affect excavation and construction costs. The properties that affect the load-supporting capacity include depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, subsidence, linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential), and compressibility. Compressibility is

inferred from the Unified classification. The properties that affect the ease and amount of excavation include depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, slope, depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, hardness of bedrock or a cemented pan, and the amount and size of rock fragments.

Small commercial buildings are structures that are less than three stories high and do not have basements. The foundation is assumed to consist of spread footings of reinforced concrete built on undisturbed soil at a depth of 2 feet or at the depth of maximum frost penetration, whichever is deeper. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect the capacity of the soil to support a load without movement and on the properties that affect excavation and construction costs. The properties that affect the load-supporting capacity include depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, subsidence, linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential), and compressibility (which is inferred from the Unified classification). The properties that affect the ease and amount of excavation include flooding, depth to a water table, ponding, slope, depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, hardness of bedrock or a cemented pan, and the amount and size of rock fragments.

Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Landscaping

Soil properties influence the development of building sites, including the selection of the site, the design of the structure, construction, performance after construction, and maintenance. Table 9 shows the degree and kind of soil limitations that affect local roads and streets, shallow excavations, and landscaping.

The ratings in the table are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect building site development. *Not limited* indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. *Somewhat limited* indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. *Very limited* indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

Local roads and streets have an all-weather surface and carry automobile and light truck traffic all year. They have a subgrade of cut or fill soil material; a base of gravel, crushed rock, or soil material stabilized by lime or cement; and a surface of flexible material (asphalt), rigid material (concrete), or gravel with a binder. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect the ease of excavation and grading and the traffic-supporting capacity. The properties that affect the ease of excavation and grading are depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, hardness of bedrock or a cemented pan, depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, the amount of large stones, and slope. The properties that affect the traffic-supporting capacity are soil strength (as inferred from the AASHTO group index number), subsidence, linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential), the potential for frost action, depth to a water table, and ponding.

Shallow excavations are trenches or holes dug to a maximum depth of 5 or 6 feet for graves, utility lines, open ditches, or other purposes. The ratings are based on the soil properties that influence the ease of digging and the resistance to sloughing. Depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, hardness of bedrock or a cemented pan, the amount of large stones, and dense layers influence the ease of digging, filling, and compacting. Depth to the seasonal high water table, flooding, and ponding may

restrict the period when excavations can be made. Slope influences the ease of using machinery. Soil texture, depth to the water table, and linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential) influence the resistance to sloughing.

Landscaping requires soils on which turf, trees, and shrubs can be established and maintained. Irrigation is not considered in the ratings. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect plant growth and trafficability after vegetation is established. The properties that affect plant growth are reaction; depth to a water table; ponding; depth to bedrock or a cemented pan; the available water capacity in the upper 40 inches; the content of salts, sodium, or calcium carbonate; and sulfidic materials. The properties that affect trafficability are flooding, depth to a water table, ponding, slope, stoniness, and the amount of sand, clay, or organic matter in the surface layer.

Sewage Disposal

Table 10 shows the degree and kind of soil limitations that affect septic tank absorption fields and sewage lagoons. The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect these uses. *Not limited* indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. *Somewhat limited* indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. *Very limited* indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

Septic tank absorption fields are areas in which effluent from a septic tank is distributed into the soil through subsurface tiles or perforated pipe. Only that part of the soil between depths of 24 and 72 inches or between a depth of 24 inches and a restrictive layer is evaluated. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect absorption of the effluent, construction and maintenance of the system, and public health. Saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}), depth to a water table, ponding, depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, and flooding affect absorption of the effluent. Stones and boulders, ice, and bedrock or a cemented pan interfere with installation. Subsidence interferes with installation and maintenance. Excessive slope may cause lateral seepage and surfacing of the effluent in downslope areas.

Some soils are underlain by loose sand and gravel or fractured bedrock at a depth of less than 4 feet below the distribution lines. In these soils the absorption field may not adequately filter the effluent, particularly when the system is new. As a result, the ground water may become contaminated.

Sewage lagoons are shallow ponds constructed to hold sewage while aerobic bacteria decompose the solid and liquid wastes. Lagoons should have a nearly level floor surrounded by cut slopes or embankments of compacted soil. Nearly impervious soil material for the lagoon floor and sides is required to minimize seepage and contamination of ground water. Considered in the ratings are slope, saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}), depth to a water table, ponding, depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, flooding, large stones, and content of organic matter.

Saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}) is a critical property affecting the suitability for sewage lagoons. Most porous soils eventually become sealed when they are used as sites for sewage lagoons. Until sealing occurs, however, the hazard of pollution is severe. Soils that have a K_{sat} rate of more than 14 micrometers per second are too

porous for the proper functioning of sewage lagoons. In these soils, seepage of the effluent can result in contamination of the ground water. Ground-water contamination is also a hazard if fractured bedrock is within a depth of 40 inches, if the water table is high enough to raise the level of sewage in the lagoon, or if floodwater overtops the lagoon.

A high content of organic matter is detrimental to proper functioning of the lagoon because it inhibits aerobic activity. Slope, bedrock, and cemented pans can cause construction problems, and large stones can hinder compaction of the lagoon floor. If the lagoon is to be uniformly deep throughout, the slope must be gentle enough and the soil material must be thick enough over bedrock or a cemented pan to make land smoothing practical.

Source of Gravel and Sand

Table 11 gives information about the soils as potential sources of gravel and sand. Normal compaction, minor processing, and other standard construction practices are assumed.

Gravel and *sand* are natural aggregates suitable for commercial use with a minimum of processing. They are used in many kinds of construction. Specifications for each use vary widely. Only the likelihood of finding material in suitable quantity is evaluated. The suitability of the material for specific purposes is not evaluated, nor are factors that affect excavation of the material. The properties used to evaluate the soil as a source of sand or gravel are gradation of grain sizes (as indicated by the Unified classification of the soil), the thickness of suitable material, and the content of rock fragments. If the bottom layer of the soil contains sand or gravel, the soil is considered a likely source regardless of thickness. The assumption is that the sand or gravel layer below the depth of observation exceeds the minimum thickness. The ratings are for the whole soil, from the surface to a depth of about 6 feet.

The soils are rated *good*, *fair*, or *poor* as potential sources of sand and gravel. A rating of *good* or *fair* means that the source material is likely to be in or below the soil. The bottom layer and the thickest layer of the soils are assigned numerical ratings. These ratings indicate the likelihood that the layer is a source of sand or gravel. The number 0.00 indicates that the layer is a poor source. The number 1.00 indicates that the layer is a good source. A number between 0.00 and 1.00 indicates the degree to which the layer is a likely source.

Source of Reclamation Material, Roadfill, and Topsoil

Table 12 gives information about the soils as potential sources of reclamation material, roadfill, and topsoil. Normal compaction, minor processing, and other standard construction practices are assumed.

The soils are rated *good*, *fair*, or *poor* as potential sources of reclamation material, roadfill, and topsoil. The features that limit the soils as sources of these materials are specified in the table. Numerical ratings between 0.00 and 0.99 are given after the specified features. These numbers indicate the degree to which the features limit the soils as sources of topsoil, reclamation material, or roadfill. The lower the number, the greater the limitation.

Reclamation material is used in areas that have been drastically disturbed by surface mining or similar activities. When these areas are reclaimed, layers of soil material or unconsolidated geological material, or both, are replaced in a vertical sequence. The reconstructed soil favors plant growth. The ratings in the table do not apply to quarries and other mined areas that require an offsite source of reconstruction material. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect erosion and stability of the surface and the productive potential of the reconstructed soil. These properties include the content of sodium, salts, and calcium carbonate; reaction; available water

capacity; erodibility; texture; content of rock fragments; and content of organic matter and other features that affect fertility.

Roadfill is soil material that is excavated in one place and used in road embankments in another place. In this table, the soils are rated as a source of roadfill for low embankments, generally less than 6 feet high and less exacting in design than higher embankments. The ratings are for the whole soil, from the surface to a depth of about 5 feet. It is assumed that soil layers will be mixed when the soil material is excavated and spread.

The ratings are based on the amount of suitable material and on soil properties that affect the ease of excavation and the performance of the material after it is in place. The thickness of the suitable material is a major consideration. The ease of excavation is affected by large stones, depth to a water table, and slope. How well the soil performs in place after it has been compacted and drained is determined by its strength (as inferred from the AASHTO classification of the soil) and linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential).

Topsoil is used to cover an area so that vegetation can be established and maintained. The upper 40 inches of a soil is evaluated for use as topsoil. Also evaluated is the reclamation potential of the borrow area. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect plant growth; the ease of excavating, loading, and spreading the material; and reclamation of the borrow area. Toxic substances, soil reaction, and the properties that are inferred from soil texture, such as available water capacity and fertility, affect plant growth. The ease of excavating, loading, and spreading is affected by rock fragments, slope, depth to a water table, soil texture, and thickness of suitable material. Reclamation of the borrow area is affected by slope, depth to a water table, rock fragments, depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, and toxic material.

The surface layer of most soils is generally preferred for topsoil because of its organic matter content. Organic matter greatly increases the absorption and retention of moisture and nutrients for plant growth.

Ponds and Embankments

Table 13 gives information on the soil properties and site features that affect water management. The degree and kind of soil limitations are given for pond reservoir areas; embankments, dikes, and levees; and aquifer-fed excavated ponds. The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect these uses. *Not limited* indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. *Somewhat limited* indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. *Very limited* indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

Pond reservoir areas hold water behind a dam or embankment. Soils best suited to this use have low seepage potential in the upper 60 inches. The seepage potential is determined by the saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}) of the soil and the depth to fractured bedrock or other permeable material. Excessive slope can affect the storage capacity of the reservoir area.

Embankments, dikes, and levees are raised structures of soil material, generally less than 20 feet high, constructed to impound water or to protect land against overflow. Embankments that have zoned construction (core and shell) are not considered. In this table, the soils are rated as a source of material for embankment fill. The ratings apply to the soil material below the surface layer to a depth of 5 or 6 feet. It is assumed that soil layers will be uniformly mixed and compacted during construction.

The ratings do not indicate the ability of the natural soil to support an embankment. Soil properties to a depth even greater than the height of the embankment can affect performance and safety of the embankment. Generally, deeper onsite investigation is needed to determine these properties.

Soil material in embankments must be resistant to seepage, piping, and erosion and have favorable compaction characteristics. Unfavorable features include less than 5 feet of suitable material and a high content of stones or boulders, organic matter, or salts or sodium. A high water table affects the amount of usable material. It also affects trafficability.

Aquifer-fed excavated ponds are pits or dugouts that extend to a ground-water aquifer or to a depth below a permanent water table. Excluded are ponds that are fed only by surface runoff and embankment ponds that impound water 3 feet or more above the original surface. Excavated ponds are affected by depth to a permanent water table, K_{sat} of the aquifer, and quality of the water as inferred from the salinity of the soil. Depth to bedrock and the content of large stones affect the ease of excavation.

Soil Properties

Data relating to soil properties are collected during the course of the soil survey.

Soil properties are ascertained by field examination of the soils and by laboratory index testing of some benchmark soils. Established standard procedures are followed. During the survey, many shallow borings are made and examined to identify and classify the soils and to delineate them on the soil maps. Samples are taken from some typical profiles and tested in the laboratory to determine particle-size distribution, plasticity, and compaction characteristics.

Estimates of soil properties are based on field examinations, on laboratory tests of samples from the survey area, and on laboratory tests of samples of similar soils in nearby areas. Tests verify field observations, verify properties that cannot be estimated accurately by field observation, and help to characterize key soils.

The estimates of soil properties are shown in tables. They include engineering properties, physical and chemical properties, and pertinent soil and water features.

Engineering Properties

Table 14 gives the engineering classifications and the range of engineering properties for the layers of each soil in the park.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Texture is given in the standard terms used by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. These terms are defined according to percentages of sand, silt, and clay in the fraction of the soil that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. "Loam," for example, is soil that is 7 to 27 percent clay, 28 to 50 percent silt, and less than 52 percent sand. If the content of particles coarser than sand is 15 percent or more, an appropriate modifier is added, for example, "gravelly."

Classification of the soils is determined according to the Unified soil classification system (ASTM, 2005) and the system adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO, 2004).

The Unified system classifies soils according to properties that affect their use as construction material. Soils are classified according to particle-size distribution of the fraction less than 3 inches in diameter and according to plasticity index, liquid limit, and organic matter content. Sandy and gravelly soils are identified as GW, GP, GM, GC, SW, SP, SM, and SC; silty and clayey soils as ML, CL, OL, MH, CH, and OH; and highly organic soils as PT. Soils exhibiting engineering properties of two groups can have a dual classification, for example, CL-ML.

The AASHTO system classifies soils according to those properties that affect roadway construction and maintenance. In this system, the fraction of a mineral soil that is less than 3 inches in diameter is classified in one of seven groups from A-1 through A-7 on the basis of particle-size distribution, liquid limit, and plasticity index. Soils in group A-1 are coarse grained and low in content of fines (silt and clay). At the other extreme, soils in group A-7 are fine grained. Highly organic soils are classified in group A-8 on the basis of visual inspection.

If laboratory data are available, the A-1, A-2, and A-7 groups are further classified as A-1-a, A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-5, A-2-6, A-2-7, A-7-5, or A-7-6. As an additional refinement,

the suitability of a soil as subgrade material can be indicated by a group index number. Group index numbers range from 0 for the best subgrade material to 20 or higher for the poorest.

Rock fragments larger than 10 inches in diameter and 3 to 10 inches in diameter are indicated as a percentage of the total soil on a dry-weight basis. The percentages are estimates determined mainly by converting volume percentage in the field to weight percentage.

Percentage (of soil particles) passing designated sieves is the percentage of the soil fraction less than 3 inches in diameter based on an oven-dry weight. The sieves, numbers 4, 10, 40, and 200 (USA Standard Series), have openings of 4.76, 2.00, 0.420, and 0.074 millimeters, respectively. Estimates are based on laboratory tests of soils sampled in the survey area and in nearby areas and on estimates made in the field.

Liquid limit and *plasticity index* (Atterberg limits) indicate the plasticity characteristics of a soil. The estimates are based on test data from the survey area or from nearby areas and on field examination.

Physical Soil Properties

Table 15 shows estimates of some physical characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the layers of each soil in the park. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Particle size is the effective diameter of a soil particle as measured by sedimentation, sieving, or micrometric methods. Particle sizes are expressed as classes with specific effective diameter class limits. The broad classes are sand, silt, and clay, ranging from the larger to the smaller.

Sand as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.05 millimeter to 2 millimeters in diameter. In this table, the estimated sand content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

Silt as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.002 to 0.05 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated silt content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

Clay as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated clay content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of sand, silt, and clay affects the physical behavior of a soil. Particle size is important for engineering and agronomic interpretations, for determination of soil hydrologic qualities, and for soil classification.

The amount and kind of clay affect the fertility and physical condition of the soil and the ability of the soil to adsorb cations and to retain moisture. They influence shrink-swell potential, saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}), plasticity, the ease of soil dispersion, and other soil properties. The amount and kind of clay in a soil also affect tillage and earthmoving operations.

Moist bulk density is the weight of soil (oven-dry) per unit volume. Volume is measured when the soil is at field moisture capacity, that is, the moisture content at $1/3$ - or $1/10$ -bar (33-kPa or 10-kPa) moisture tension. Weight is determined after the soil is dried at 105 degrees C. In the table, the estimated moist bulk density of each soil horizon is expressed in grams per cubic centimeter of soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. Bulk density data are used to compute linear extensibility, shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, total pore space, and other soil properties. The moist bulk density of a soil indicates the pore space available for water

and roots. Depending on soil texture, a bulk density of more than 1.4 can restrict water storage and root penetration. Moist bulk density is influenced by texture, kind of clay, content of organic matter, and soil structure.

Permeability (K_{sat}) refers to the ability of a soil to transmit water or air. The term “permeability,” as used in soil surveys, indicates saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}). The estimates in the table indicate the rate of water movement, in inches per hour, when the soil is saturated. They are based on soil characteristics observed in the field, particularly structure, porosity, and texture. Permeability is considered in the design of soil drainage systems and septic tank absorption fields.

Available water capacity refers to the quantity of water that the soil is capable of storing for use by plants. The capacity for water storage is given in inches of water per inch of soil for each soil layer. The capacity varies, depending on soil properties that affect retention of water. The most important properties are the content of organic matter, soil texture, bulk density, and soil structure. Available water capacity is an important factor in the choice of plants or crops to be grown and in the design and management of irrigation systems. Available water capacity is not an estimate of the quantity of water actually available to plants at any given time.

Shrink-swell potential is the potential for volume change in a soil with a loss or gain in moisture. Volume change occurs mainly because of the interaction of clay minerals with water and varies with the amount and type of clay minerals in the soil. The size of the load on the soil and the magnitude of the change in soil moisture content influence the amount of swelling of soils in place. Laboratory measurements of swelling of undisturbed clods were made for many soils. For others, swelling was estimated on the basis of the kind and amount of clay minerals in the soil and on the basis of measurements of similar soils.

If the shrink-swell potential is rated moderate to very high, shrinking and swelling can cause damage to buildings, roads, and other structures. Special design is often needed.

Shrink-swell potential classes are based on the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is increased from air-dry to field capacity. The classes are *low*, a change of less than 3 percent; *moderate*, 3 to 6 percent; *high*, 6 to 9 percent; and *very high*, greater than 9 percent.

Organic matter is the plant and animal residue in the soil at various stages of decomposition. In this table, the estimated content of organic matter is expressed as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of organic matter in a soil can be maintained by returning crop residue to the soil. Organic matter has a positive effect on available water capacity, water infiltration, soil organism activity, and tilth. It is a source of nitrogen and other nutrients for crops and soil organisms.

Erosion Properties

Table 16 shows estimates of some erosion factors that affect a soil's potential for different uses. These estimates are given for each layer of every soil for K factors and are given as one rating for the entire soil for the T factor, the wind erodibility group, and the wind erodibility index. Values are reported for each soil in the park. Estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Erosion factors are shown in the table as the K factor (K_w and K_f) and the T factor. Soil erosion factors K_w and K_f quantify soil detachment by runoff and raindrop impact. These erosion factors are indexes used to predict the long-term average soil loss from sheet and rill erosion under crop systems and conservation techniques. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The estimates are based primarily on percentage of silt, sand, and organic matter and on soil structure and K_{sat} . Values

of K range from 0.02 to 0.69. Other factors being equal, the higher the value, the more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion by water.

The procedure for determining the Kf factor is outlined in Agriculture Handbook 703, "Predicting Soil Erosion by Water: A Guide to Conservation Planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE)," USDA, Agricultural Research Service, 1997.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Erosion factor Kw indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments. In horizons where total rock fragments are 15 percent or more, by volume, the Kw factor is always less than the Kf factor.

Erosion factor Kf indicates the erodibility of the fine-earth fraction, or the material less than 2 millimeters in size. Soil horizons that do not have rock fragments are assigned equal Kw and Kf factors.

Erosion factor T is an estimate of the maximum average annual rate of soil erosion by wind and/or water that can occur without affecting crop productivity over a sustained period. The rate is in tons per acre per year.

Wind erodibility groups are made up of soils that have similar properties affecting their susceptibility to wind erosion in cultivated areas. The soils assigned to group 1 are the most susceptible to wind erosion, and those assigned to group 8 are the least susceptible. The groups are described in the "National Soil Survey Handbook."

Wind erodibility index is a numerical value indicating the susceptibility of soil to wind erosion, or the tons per acre per year that can be expected to be lost to wind erosion. There is a close correlation between wind erosion and the texture of the surface layer, the size and durability of surface clods, rock fragments, organic matter, and a calcareous reaction. Soil moisture and frozen soil layers also influence wind erosion.

Total Soil Carbon

Table 17 gives estimates of total soil carbon. Soil carbon occurs as organic and inorganic carbon.

Soil organic carbon (SOC) is carbon (C) in soil that originated from a biological source, such as plants, animals, or micro-organisms. SOC is found in both organic and mineral soil layers. The term "soil organic carbon" refers only to the carbon occurring in soil organic matter (SOM). Soil organic carbon makes up about one-half the weight of soil organic matter. The rest of SOM is mostly oxygen, nitrogen, and hydrogen.

Soil inorganic carbon (SIC) is carbon found in soil carbonates, typically as calcium carbonate layers in the soil or as clay-sized fractions throughout the soil. Carbonates in soils are most common in areas where evaporation rates exceed precipitation, as is the case in most desert environments. Typically, the carbonates accumulated from carbonatic dust or from solution during periods of wetter climates. Soil inorganic carbon also occurs in soils that formed in marl in all regions of the country.

The SOC and SIC contents are reported in kilograms per square meter to a depth of 2 meters or to a representative depth of either hard bedrock or a cemented horizon. The SOC and SIC values are on a whole soil basis, corrected for rock fragments.

SOC can be an indicator of overall soil fertility and soil quality that affects ecosystem function. SOM is the main reservoir for most plant nutrients, such as phosphorus and nitrogen. Managing for SOC by managing for SOM increases the content of these elements and improves soil resiliency.

Soil organic matter binds soil particles together and thus increases soil porosity and water infiltration and allows better root penetration and waterflow into the soil. Greater inflow of water reduces the hazard of erosion and the rate of surface water runoff.

Greater SOC levels improve not only soil quality but also the quality of air and water. Soil acts as a filter and improves water quality. Fertile soils that support plant life remove CO₂ from the atmosphere and increase oxygen levels through photosynthesis. Maintaining the level of soil organic carbon reduces C release into the atmosphere and thus can lessen the effects of global warming.

SIC influences the types of plants that will grow. High SIC levels are commonly associated with a higher soil pH, which limits the types of plants that will thrive.

Like SOM, soil carbonates, the source of SIC, also bind soil particles together. They fill voids in the soil and thus can reduce soil porosity. Compacted soil carbonates may restrict root penetration and waterflow into the soil.

Chemical Soil Properties

Table 18 shows estimates of some chemical characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the layers of each soil in the park. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Cation-exchange capacity is the total amount of extractable cations that can be held by the soil, expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil at neutrality (pH 7.0) or at some other stated pH value. Soils having a low cation-exchange capacity hold fewer cations and may require more frequent applications of fertilizer than soils having a high cation-exchange capacity. The ability to retain cations reduces the hazard of ground-water pollution.

Effective cation-exchange capacity refers to the sum of extractable cations plus aluminum expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil. It is determined for soils that have pH of less than 5.5.

Soil reaction is a measure of acidity or alkalinity. The pH of each soil horizon is based on many field tests. For many soils, values have been verified by laboratory analyses. Soil reaction is important in selecting crops and other plants, in evaluating soil amendments for fertility and stabilization, and in determining the risk of corrosion.

Water Features

Table 19 gives estimates of various soil water features. The estimates are used in land use planning that involves engineering considerations.

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The four hydrologic soil groups are:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas.

The *months* in the table indicate the portion of the year in which a water table, ponding, and/or flooding is most likely to be a concern.

Water table refers to a saturated zone in the soil. Table 19 indicates, by month, depth to the top (*upper limit*) and base (*lower limit*) of the saturated zone in most years. Estimates of the upper and lower limits are based mainly on observations of the water table at selected sites and on evidence of a saturated zone, namely grayish colors or mottles (redoximorphic features) in the soil. A saturated zone that lasts for less than a month is not considered a water table.

Ponding is standing water in a closed depression. Unless a drainage system is installed, the water is removed only by percolation, transpiration, or evaporation. The table indicates *surface water depth* and the *duration* and *frequency* of ponding. Duration is expressed as *very brief* if less than 2 days, *brief* if 2 to 7 days, *long* if 7 to 30 days, and *very long* if more than 30 days. Frequency is expressed as none, rare, occasional, and frequent. *None* means that ponding is not probable; *rare* that it is unlikely but possible under unusual weather conditions (the chance of ponding is nearly 0 percent to 5 percent in any year); *occasional* that it occurs, on the average, once or less in 2 years (the chance of ponding is 5 to 50 percent in any year); and *frequent* that it occurs, on the average, more than once in 2 years (the chance of ponding is more than 50 percent in any year).

Flooding is the temporary inundation of an area caused by overflowing streams, by runoff from adjacent slopes, or by tides. Water standing for short periods after rainfall or snowmelt is not considered flooding, and water standing in swamps and marshes is considered ponding rather than flooding.

Duration and *frequency* are estimated. Duration is expressed as *extremely brief* if 0.1 hour to 4 hours, *very brief* if 4 hours to 2 days, *brief* if 2 to 7 days, *long* if 7 to 30 days, and *very long* if more than 30 days. Frequency is expressed as none, very rare, rare, occasional, frequent, and very frequent. *None* means that flooding is not probable; *very rare* that it is very unlikely but possible under extremely unusual weather conditions (the chance of flooding is less than 1 percent in any year); *rare* that it is unlikely but possible under unusual weather conditions (the chance of flooding is 1 to 5 percent in any year); *occasional* that it occurs infrequently under normal weather conditions (the chance of flooding is 5 to 50 percent in any year); *frequent* that it is likely to occur often under normal weather conditions (the chance of flooding is more than 50 percent in any year but is less than 50 percent in all months in any year); and *very frequent* that it is likely to occur very often under normal weather conditions (the chance of flooding is more than 50 percent in all months of any year).

The information is based on evidence in the soil profile, namely thin strata of gravel, sand, silt, or clay deposited by floodwater; irregular decrease in organic matter content with increasing depth; and little or no horizon development.

Also considered are local information about the extent and levels of flooding and the relation of each soil on the landscape to historic floods. Information on the extent of flooding based on soil data is less specific than that provided by detailed engineering surveys that delineate flood-prone areas at specific flood frequency levels.

Soil Features

Table 20 gives estimates of various soil features. The estimates are used in land use planning that involves engineering considerations.

A *restrictive layer* is a nearly continuous layer that has one or more physical, chemical, or thermal properties that significantly impede the movement of water and air through the soil or that restrict roots or otherwise provide an unfavorable root environment. Examples are bedrock, cemented layers, dense layers, and frozen layers. The table indicates the thickness and hardness of the restrictive layer, both of which significantly affect the ease of excavation. *Depth to top* is the vertical distance from the soil surface to the upper boundary of the restrictive layer.

Potential for frost action is the likelihood of upward or lateral expansion of the soil caused by the formation of segregated ice lenses (frost heave) and the subsequent

collapse of the soil and loss of strength on thawing. Frost action occurs when moisture moves into the freezing zone of the soil. Temperature, texture, density, saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}), content of organic matter, and depth to the water table are the most important factors considered in evaluating the potential for frost action. It is assumed that the soil is not insulated by vegetation or snow and is not artificially drained. Silty and highly structured, clayey soils that have a high water table in winter are the most susceptible to frost action. Well drained, very gravelly, or very sandy soils are the least susceptible. Frost heave and low soil strength during thawing cause damage to pavements and other rigid structures.

Risk of corrosion pertains to potential soil-induced electrochemical or chemical action that corrodes or weakens uncoated steel or concrete. The rate of corrosion of uncoated steel is related to such factors as soil moisture, particle-size distribution, acidity, and electrical conductivity of the soil. The rate of corrosion of concrete is based mainly on the sulfate and sodium content, texture, moisture content, and acidity of the soil. Special site examination and design may be needed if the combination of factors results in a severe hazard of corrosion. The steel or concrete in installations that intersect soil boundaries or soil layers is more susceptible to corrosion than the steel or concrete in installations that are entirely within one kind of soil or within one soil layer.

For uncoated steel, the risk of corrosion, expressed as *low*, *moderate*, or *high*, is based on soil drainage class, total acidity, electrical resistivity near field capacity, and electrical conductivity of the saturation extract.

For concrete, the risk of corrosion also is expressed as *low*, *moderate*, or *high*. It is based on soil texture, acidity, and amount of sulfates in the saturation extract.

Formation and Classification of the Soils

This section relates the soils in Fort Necessity National Battlefield to the major factors of soil formation and describes the system of soil classification.

Factors of Soil Formation

By Susan Burlew Southard, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Soil covers the surface of the earth as a three-dimensional body of varying thickness and is made up of different proportions of organic and mineral material, pore space with gases, and water. Soils differ in their appearance, productivity, and management requirements due to their chemical and physical properties. The characteristics and properties of soils are determined by physical and chemical processes that result from the interaction of five soil-forming factors. These factors of soil formation are interdependent, and few generalizations can be made regarding any one factor unless the effects of the other factors are known. The term “pedogenesis” is often used to connote the processes of soil formation.

The interacting soil-forming factors are parent material, climate, organisms, time, and relief or topography (Jenny, 1941). *Parent material* is the source material in which soils formed. Soils are influenced by the texture and structure of the parent material and its mineralogical and chemical composition. *Climate* is predominantly the temperature and kind and amount of precipitation. It refers to the seasonal distribution of temperature and precipitation. *Organisms* are the plants and other organisms living in and on the soil, including humans. *Time* refers to how long the soil-forming factors have been operating on a particular landscape. *Relief* or *topography* is the shape and elevation of the landscape and affects internal and external soil properties, such as soil drainage, aeration, susceptibility to erosion, and the soil’s exposure to the sun and wind.

The processes of soil formation are sequences of events, involving biogeochemical reactions that are energized by climate and spatially related to relief or topography (Buol et al., 2011). The physical and chemical properties of a soil are altered by these reactions over time. The influence of any one of these factors varies among all parks and within localities of a particular park. Soils may differ significantly from place to place in a park and within very short distances as a result of complex interaction among the five factors. In some cases, however, parks may have vast stretches of the same type of soil because of uniform soil-forming factors.

Setting of Fort Necessity National Battlefield

Understanding the setting and geology of a park helps in understanding the parent materials contributing to the types of soils within it. Understanding the soils of the park also enhances the understanding of the unique relationship between soils and the environment. Soil-forming processes are influenced by rock type, topographic expression, surface, and the hydrologic properties of the area. Soil formation influences soil properties and behaviors, which are used to determine best management practices.

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania




Figure 1.—Physiographic setting of Fort Necessity National Battlefield. The green circle marks the location of the park and historic site. Red lines indicate boundaries between major physiographic provinces. The black arrow locates the northern terminus of the Blue Ridge province. Graphic is by Trista L. Thornberry-Ehrlich, Colorado State University (2009) after Sevon (2000).

Fort Necessity National Battlefield (Fort Necessity NB) preserves land near the junction of three sections of the Appalachian Plateaus physiographic province: the Waynesburg Hills Section, the Pittsburgh Low Plateau Section, and the Allegheny Mountain Section (Thornberry-Ehrlich, 2009) (fig. 1). Nearby streams include Indian Run, Great Meadows Run, Chaney Run, McIntire Run, Stony Fork, Mill Run, Meadow Run, Beaver Creek, Cucumber Run, and several seasonal unnamed courses and springs. Most local streams are tributaries to the Youghiogheny River, which joins the Monongahela River as it flows northward to the Ohio River. All are part of the Mississippi watershed.

The rocks in the Appalachian Plateaus province are relatively flat lying and undeformed in contrast to the rocks of the Valley and Ridge province just east of Fort Necessity NB. Whether the parent rocks are undeformed or not is significant to the type of soils that form from the rock's weathering products. Deformation can result in different rock types that influence the size and composition of the rock material and minerals weathered from them. The products of rock weathering in turn influence the morphology and composition of the soils that formed from them.

In the park, Pennsylvanian-aged through Permian-aged geologic formations consist primarily of sedimentary rocks. Surface variations result from the erosion and down-cutting by rivers. This is the typical stratigraphic pattern in the Appalachian Plateaus physiographic province. These formations include sandstone, limestone, claystone, dolomite, shale, commercially viable coals, and some iron- and sulfide-rich minerals (Thornberry-Ehrlich, 2009).

Historical Importance of Soils in the Park

In 1754, George Washington was a newly commissioned lieutenant colonel under Governor Robert Dinwiddie of Virginia. Washington built a fort in the Great Meadow during this early part of the French and Indian War, in what he described as



Figure 2.—Fort Necessity is in an area of Philo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, that has a minor inclusion of Atkins soils in depressions. Atkins soils are hydric, having saturation near the surface during the growing season. In the 1930s, the area was filled considerably with non-native soils, thus altering the soil hydrologic regime.

“a charming field for an encounter.” Based on analysis of terrain maps and satellite imagery, it appears the Fort Necessity white oak log palisade that Washington built was in a depression, on Atkins soils (fig. 2). Because these soils became inundated during summer thunderstorms, the water table would be near or at the surface, where ramparts were excavated.

In May 1754, during a skirmish in Jumonville Glen, about 6 miles northwest of the Great Meadows at Fort Necessity NB, Washington’s forces captured 21 French soldiers and killed 10, including the French commander Ensign Joseph Coulon de Villiers de Jumonville.

On July 3, 1754, Louis Coulon de Villiers, a French captain and the brother of Joseph Coulon de Villiers de Jumonville, arrived at Washington’s Great Meadows fort to avenge the Battle of Jumonville Glen. The French quickly surrounded the fort. Taking advantage of Washington’s mistake of locating it on open low ground, de Villiers occupied the well drained high ground along a tree line and the soldiers were able to fire into the exposed, swampy fort from all angles. Heavy rain aided de Villiers’ attack as Washington’s fort became inundated and ammunition became wet and useless. There was no place to divert the water from the hydric area given its depressional landscape position. Thus, the first battle of the French and Indian War ended with Washington’s one and only surrender.

Captain Louis de Villiers had a strategic advantage with his location on hillslopes on Gilpin, Wharton, and Weikert soils, all of which formed dominantly in residuum. These soils are not hydric, are well drained or moderately well drained, and are forested. Figure 3 is a stylized representation of the soils and landscape around the fort illustrating the relative positions of the soils.

Washington purchased the Great Meadows 16 years after the Fort Necessity battle. He owned it until his death in 1799. His heirs sold the property, whereupon the timber




Figure 3.—Relative landscape positions of the soils near Washington's log fort. The fort was located in a small area of Atkins soils, included in the mapping of the Great Meadows. Diagram is not to scale.

was harvested from the hills and the meadow was ditched, tile-drained, and filled. The hydrologic regimes of the upland and meadow soils were considerably altered. In the 1930s, the previously forested hillslopes were planted by the Civilian Conservation Corps with non-native pines to help control soil erosion. Non-native soil was used as fill in the wetland areas. Today, restoration of the meadow area by the National Park Service has been facilitated by learning which soils occurred on the natural landscape. Additionally, pollen studies of soil cores from the wetland area were used to guide restoration for grasses and sedges.

Parent Material

The unconsolidated mass in which soils form is called parent material. Mineral soil parent material is a product of the weathering of underlying bedrock in place or the weathering of material that has been transported. Organic soils form in place from the accumulation and decomposition of plant material, such as wood, leaves, and aquatic plants. Weathering refers to the chemical and physical disintegration and decomposition of parent material. Few soils weather directly from the underlying rocks. Soils more commonly form in materials that have moved in from elsewhere. Soils generally have one dominant kind of parent material but are often influenced by other types of parent material. Material may have been moved only a few feet by gravity (colluvial parent material) or transported long distances by wind (eolian parent material) or water (alluvial parent material).

Alluvium is the type of parent material deposited by running water. It can have different textures, depending on whether the water moves quickly or slowly. The type of rocks occurring in the source region of the streams and rivers also determine the

characteristics of alluvium. Fast-moving water deposits gravel, rocks, and sand. Slow-moving water and lakes leave fine textured deposits (clay and silt) when sediments in the water settle out.

Philo and Atkins soils formed from active alluvium deposited along Great Meadows Run, Meadow Run, and other minor draws. Philo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is mapped extensively in the Great Meadows area and has an inclusion of Atkins soils. Both Philo and Atkins soils flood for brief periods during rainstorms. Atkins soils are lower on the landscape and wetter than Philo soils. Atkins soils qualify as hydric based on saturation that occurs at a depth of 1.0 foot or less during the growing season (see tables 4 and 19). These soils formed in alluvium washed mainly from upland soils derived from interbedded acid sandstones and shales. The mechanism of flooding on Atkins soils usually involves shallow standing or slowly moving water on the soil surface.

Soils that formed in residuum developed directly from underlying rocks. Soils that formed in rock residuum may have the same general chemistry as the original rocks, depending on the degree of weathering that has occurred. Most of the soils on hillslopes in Fort Necessity NB formed partially in residuum. These soils have also been influenced by colluvium as soils erode and move down slope. Shelocta soils formed in both colluvium and residuum.

Some parent materials are soft and break down into smaller rocks easily, while others are much harder and resist weathering processes. In the park, all of the bedrock beneath the soils is mapped as cemented lithic bedrock. Table 20 lists the restrictions to soil depth for the soils in the park.

The majority of the soils in Fort Necessity NB developed in residuum derived from acid siltstone and shale. Table 18 shows the typical pH ranges of the soils.

Some parent materials favor the development of certain profile characteristics or diagnostic horizons. Ginat, Buchanan, Andover, Brinkerton, Cookport, Ernest, and Laidig soils all have a dense horizon called a fragipan. Fragipans are common in this area of Pennsylvania. The fragipan has low content of organic matter and high bulk density in relation to the horizons above it. These soils have restricted water movement due to the fragipan. Restricted water movement can be identified in soil profiles by grey colors (fig. 4). The soils with fragipans have: 1) evidence of pedogenesis usually as clay movement downward in the profile, 2) a higher content of silt and/or very fine sand than geographically associated soils without fragipans, 3) brittleness, and 4) root restrictions (Soil Survey Staff, 1999).

Eolian parent material, such as windblown sand, is a type of parent material. Windblown loess, which has been blown for long distances, consists mainly of silt-sized particles. It is common in parks of the Midwest. Fort Necessity NB has no obvious influence of loess or eolian material.

Table 5 lists the dominant parent material type of each soil and its associated landform in the park.

Climate

Differences in climate can result in differences in soils. Temperature and moisture influence soil formation and are the two most commonly measured features of climate. Weathering is most active when soils are moist and warm because these soil conditions are conducive to rapid chemical reactions and increased biological activity in the soil. Cooler temperatures result in slower chemical reactions. While average temperatures and amounts of precipitation are important in determining soil properties, the extremes of climate in any given locale also play a major role in soil formation.

During periods of rainfall or snowmelt, water carrying dissolved or suspended solids moves through the soil in a process called leaching. Leaching starts with the onset of rainfall or snowmelt. Different temperatures and moisture amounts cause different patterns of weathering and leaching in the soil. Seasonal and daily changes




Figure 4.—Profile of a Buchanan soil. Restricted water movement is evidenced by grey colors below a depth of 60 centimeters. Scale is in centimeters. (Image is from the soil survey of New River Gorge National River.)

in temperature affect moisture effectiveness, biological activity, rates of chemical reactions, and kinds of vegetation.

The area of the Appalachian Plateau in which Fort Necessity NB is located receives about 34 to 45 inches of precipitation per year. The rainfall occurs mostly in midsummer, and the rest in autumn and early winter. In summer the rainfall occurs during high-intensity storms. Annual snowfall averages less than 35 inches to more than 50 inches. The average annual temperature is 48 to 56 degrees F. Soils are

usually moist (have an udic soil moisture regime) and have a mesic soil temperature regime. Some soils in low-lying positions, such as Brinkerton and Holly, have an aquic (usually wet) soil moisture regime. Some soils, such as Ginat, also have seasonal wetness due to restricted water movement within the profile. Table 19 lists water features of the soils in the park.

Climate and Frost Heave

Many of the soils in the park have a moderate or high susceptibility to frost heave. Frost heave is a natural pedogenic process that mixes and breaks up the soil surface. Table 20 gives the potential for frost heave, or frost action, as low, moderate, or high. Atkins, Brinkerton, Wharton, Cavode, and Lobdell soils have a high potential for frost action. Silty and loamy soils in mesic or frigid soil temperature regimes are susceptible to frost. Many of the soils in the park are affected by frost action. Many have fragipans that supply the source of water above the pan that can freeze and cause frost action.

Frost heave can result in road potholes and cracked pavements and foundations. Table 9 identifies the soils that are limited for roads and streets due to frost action. This limitation results in higher maintenance costs for park roads and parking lots. Frost heave results from ice forming beneath the surface of soil during atmospheric freezing conditions. The ice grows in the direction of heat loss, which is vertically toward the surface, starting at the freezing boundary in the soil. A water supply is needed to keep the ice crystals growing. The growing ice is restrained by overlying soil, which applies a load that limits its vertical growth and promotes the formation of a lens-shaped area of ice within the soil (fig. 5). The processes of frost heave were more intense during past glacial times than they are today.




Figure 5.—Diagram illustrating ice lens formation in soils that results in frost heave or frost action. Image is from Williamborg (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Freezing_air_ice_lens_formation.jpg).

Present-day climate variations are related to topography and relief. In most areas of the United States, temperature generally decreases with elevation and precipitation generally increases with elevation. As the amount of precipitation increases, the extent of leaching and the amount of vegetation generally increase to a point where they then decrease because of decreasing temperatures. Colder temperatures result in less leaching because of decreased microbial growth, decreased vegetation, and possibly frozen soil. Fluctuations in temperature and moisture affect the rate of organic matter production, decomposition, and accumulation and the weathering of minerals.

Organisms

Plants, animals, micro-organisms, and humans affect the formation and shape of soils. Plants capture solar energy via photosynthesis and transfer that energy to the soil, energy that is a fundamental driver of many soil processes. Fungi and bacteria are the primary organisms that decompose organic matter and add nutrients to the soil. Animals and micro-organisms mix soils and form burrows and pores. Plant roots open channels in the soils. Abandoned animal burrows commonly are filled with loose material from the overlying horizons and transmit water more readily than the surrounding undisturbed soil material.

Different types of roots have different effects on soils. Grass roots are fibrous and decompose easily, adding organic matter to the soil. Fine grass roots can extend below the surface for many feet. Plant roots help to develop soil structure and aggregate stability. Vegetation increases soil stability by protecting the surface against wind and water erosion. Taproots open pathways through dense layers. Micro-organisms affect chemical exchanges between roots and soil. Humans also can mix the soil extensively. Forest types growing in the soils at Fort Necessity NB include typical hardwood oak forest (second growth and mixed), mixed hardwoods, pine and spruce groves, and old-growth white oak on mostly well drained or moderately drained soils.

The native vegetation of any park depends on climate, topography, and biological factors plus many soil factors, such as soil density, depth, chemistry, temperature, and moisture. Leaves from plants fall to the surface and decompose on the soil. Organisms decompose these leaves and mix them with the upper part of the soil, resulting in cycling of nutrients and energy back to vegetation. The leaf litter, whether leaves or needles, helps prevent nutrient loss, conserves soil moisture, reduces raindrop impact, and limits frost penetration.

Forests

According to vegetation mapping, there are seven forested vegetation associations that occur within Fort Necessity NB: Northern Red Oak – Mixed Hardwood Forest, White Oak – Mixed Hardwood Forest, Sugar Maple – Basswood Forest, Tuliptree Forest, Red Maple – Black Cherry Successional Forest, Conifer Plantation, and Successional Old Field. The Sugar Maple – Basswood Forest is restricted to a small area of the Jumonville Glen (Perles et al., 2006).

The large plants of the forested ecosystem in the park affect soil formation. Trees help break up rocks with their growing roots, resulting in channels that increase water penetration. Trees that are blown down help mix the soil when their roots are exposed. Besides the mechanical breaking of rocks by large tree roots, the trees capture energy and substance through photosynthesis, by the decomposition of plant residue, and by forming organic-mineral complexes that are recycled many times within the ecosystem (Buol et al., 2011).

Wetlands

The wetlands area along the Great Meadow Run is a small flood plain. It is underlain by the Pennsylvanian Glenshaw Formation. This formation is rich in clay and



Figure 6.—Profile of a Philo soil. Philo soils formed in recent alluvium derived mainly from sandstone and shale. These soils have a seasonal high water table below a depth of 2 feet. They are very deep, moderately well drained, and on flood plains. Scale is in feet. (Image is from the soil survey of New River Gorge National River.)

thin limestone layers (Thornberry-Ehrlich, 2009). The weathered clay and limestone layers are almost impermeable and contribute physical and chemical properties to the lower subsoil horizons of Atkins and Philo soils that occur in small draws and on the main flood plain (fig. 6). Atkins and Philo soils are mapped in the vegetation survey as the Successional Old Field and Wet Meadow associations in the Main Unit of Fort Necessity National Battlefield.

The wetness of the soils results in a unique ecological site. Woody species are sparse or absent in this area, with low shrubs typically covering less than 30 percent

of the area. White meadowsweet (*Spiraea alba*) and bristly dewberry (*Rubus hispidus*) are common shrubs. The ecosystem supports dominantly hydrophilic species that form a thick herbaceous layer covering nearly 100 percent of the area. Tussock sedge (*Carex stricta*), wrinkleleaf goldenrod (*Solidago rugosa*), creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera*), sedges (*Carex* spp.), spikerush (*Eleocharis* spp.), flat-top goldenrod (*Euthamia graminifolia*), rice cutgrass (*Leersia oryzoides*), and commonrush (*Juncus effuses*) are the dominant species (Perles et al., 2006). Table 4 lists the named and unnamed hydric soil components of map units in the park.

Human Influences

Humans have significantly altered the landscape within and surrounding Fort Necessity NB. Mining activities have effectively leveled preexisting topographic expression in the surrounding area (USDI-NPS, 2005). Associated with local mining is the potential collapse of underground workings. Nearby abandoned mines outside of the park pose risks to natural and cultural resources at the park because of acid mine drainage. Slopes and meadows have been logged and cleared for agricultural use.

Time

Time for parent material, climate, organisms, and topography to interact with the soil also is a soil-forming factor. Over time, soils exhibit features that reflect the interaction of other soil-forming factors. Recently deposited material, such as material deposited by a flood or eroded from a hillslope, exhibits no features from soil development activities and its properties are mostly inherited from the new material. The previous soil surface and underlying horizons become buried. The time clock resets for these soils. The different horizons in a soil profile and the degree of development can be directly related to time. Terraces above the active flood plain, while similar in origin to the flood plain, are older land surfaces of old abandoned flood plains and thus have soils exhibiting more horizon development.

A model of how time has acted as a soil-forming factor and the resultant degree of horizonation in the soils of Fort Necessity NB can be developed by looking at specific soils. The youngest soils in the park in terms of time or increasing soil age are Atkins, Weikert, Philo, Dekalb, and Hazelton. These soils have minimal soil horizonation and different parent materials. Philo and Atkins soils are on the flood plain of Great Meadows Run. Weikert, Dekalb, and Hazelton soils formed in residuum and colluvium on hillslopes.

Dekalb soils (fig. 7) are mapped as an inclusion for Laidig gravelly loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes, extremely stony, near the Jumonville unit of Fort Necessity NB. Because of their active landscape positions, Dekalb, Atkins, and Weikert soils are not strongly developed. Atkins soils are in an active alluvial setting, while Weikert and Dekalb soils on hillslopes are subject to soil erosion. These soils have a simple A-B-C profile. The B horizon is a subsoil zone with an accumulation of materials moved from O, A, or E horizons or of soil material that formed in place. Color plays an important part in distinguishing B horizons. The B horizon is the horizon of maximum accumulation of dissolved or suspended materials, such as iron, clay, and calcium carbonate. Not all soils have a B horizon. The B horizon in Weikert and Dekalb soils shows some color change and weak structure. These soils are classified as Inceptisols.

The next oldest soils in the park in terms of degree of development or age are Brinkerton soils that formed in colluvium and residuum derived from acid gray shale and siltstone. These soils are nearly level to sloping and on concave footslopes and around the head of drainageways (fig. 8). They have A-B-C horizonation and greater development than the Inceptisols discussed above. They differ in having stronger



Figure 7.—Profile of a Dekalb soil. Dekalb soils are moderately deep and formed in residuum derived from acid sandstone with interbedded shale. Scale is in centimeters. (Image is from the soil survey of New River Gorge National River.)

structure and a zone of clay accumulation in the B horizon, having a moderately slow permeability in the upper part of the subsoil, and having slow permeability in a dense layer (fragipan) in the lower part of the subsoil. These soils are seasonally wet. Brinkerton soils are classified as Alfisols.

The oldest soils in the park in terms of soil profile development are Andover, Cavode, Laidig (fig. 9), Ernest, Gilpin, and Shelocta. They have different subsoil chemistry than the Alfisols due to leaching. They are older due to a surface that is more stable than that of the Alfisols or, in some cases, are the most developed because of parent material differences (the chemistry of the parent material may have had less to leach initially than the parent materials of the Alfisols). As mentioned earlier, the other soil-forming factors influence how we perceive time or soil age. The oldest or most developed soils in the park are classified as Ultisols.




Figure 8.—Landscape positions of some of the major soils in Fort Necessity National Battlefield.

Topography and Relief

Topography refers to the shape of the landscape, and relief refers to differences in elevation. The overall landscape in a park, whether it consists of flood plains, rolling hills, or plateaus, is the result of erosion and depositional processes. These processes may have occurred in response to changes in climate, fluctuating sea levels, and/or periglacial environments. Cyclic periods of landscape stability and instability influence the types of soils that form on the landscape.

Slope and aspect of the overall landscape can affect the moisture and temperature of the soil. Steep slopes facing the sun are warmer, like the south-facing side of a house. Steep soils may be eroded and lose their surface horizons as they form. Thus, these soils may be thinner than the more nearly level ones that receive deposits from areas upslope. Deeper, darker soils may be expected on the bottom land. Soil-forming factors continue to affect soils even on stable landscapes. Materials are deposited on the surface, and materials are blown or washed away from the surface. Additions, removals, and alterations are slow or rapid, depending on climate, landscape position, and biological activity.

Soil types vary by slope. In Fort Necessity NB, soils in areas of steep slopes are typically shallow, rocky, and weakly developed and have a high erosion potential whereas soils on gentle slopes or flat ground are generally very deep and free of rocks. Very deep, rock-free soils with a high water-holding capacity are often classified as prime farmland (see table 3).

The geologic units underlying the slopes of Fort Necessity NB contain a heterogeneous mix of shale, sandstone, siltstone, limestone, dolomite, conglomerate, and mudstone. Clay-rich units (e.g., shale and mudstone) may disintegrate when they become saturated with water and are prone to fail when exposed on a slope. When more resistant rock units, such as sandstone and limestone, are located above weaker



Figure 9.—Profile of a Laidig soil. Laidig soils are gently sloping to very steep, very deep, and well drained. They formed in colluvium from sandstone, siltstone, and some shale. These soils are on benches and footslopes. The slight color change at a depth of 1 meter is where the fragipan occurs. (Image is from the soil survey of New River Gorge National River.)

units, undercutting occurs from preferential erosion, causing rock fall hazards. Gilpin soils are an example of moderately deep, well drained soils that formed in residuum of nearly horizontal interbedded shale, siltstone, and some sandstone of the Allegheny Plateau. These soils are mapped on gently sloping to steep, convex, dissected

uplands. They are mapped extensively in the forested hillslopes surrounding the fort area. Figures 3 and 8 illustrate the typical distribution of soils across an idealized landscape in Fort Necessity NB.

Classification of the Soils

Soils are named and classified on the basis of physical and chemical properties in their horizons (layers). Color, texture, structure, and other properties of the soil to a depth of 2 meters are used to key the soil into a classification system. This system helps people to use soil information and also provides a common language for scientists.

Soils and their horizons differ from one another, depending on how and when they formed. Soil scientists use the five soil-forming factors to help predict where different soils may occur. The degree and expression of the soil horizons reflect the extent of interaction of the soil-forming factors with one or more of the soil-forming processes (Simonson, 1959).

When mapping soils, a soil scientist looks for areas with similar soil-forming factors to find similar soils. The properties of the soils are described. Soils with the same kind of properties are given taxonomic names. Soils are classified, mapped, and interpreted on the basis of various kinds of soil horizons and their arrangement. The distribution of soil orders corresponds with the general patterns of the soil-forming factors within the park.

The system of soil classification used by the National Cooperative Soil Survey has six categories (Soil Survey Staff, 1999 and 2010). Beginning with the broadest, these categories are the order, suborder, great group, subgroup, family, and series. Classification is based on soil properties observed in the field or inferred from those observations or from laboratory measurements. The categories are defined in the following paragraphs.

ORDER. Soil taxonomy at the highest hierarchical level identifies 12 soil orders. The names for the orders and taxonomic soil properties relate to Greek, Latin, or other root words that reveal something about the soil. The differences among orders reflect the dominant soil-forming processes and the degree of soil formation. Each order is identified by a word ending in *sol*. An example is Inceptisol.

SUBORDER. Each order is divided into suborders primarily on the basis of properties that influence soil genesis and are important to plant growth or properties that reflect the most important variables within the orders. Sixty-four suborders are recognized at the next level of classification. The last syllable in the name of a suborder indicates the order. An example is Udepts (*Ud*, meaning humid, plus *ept*, from Inceptisol).

GREAT GROUP. Each suborder is divided into great groups on the basis of close similarities in kind, arrangement, and degree of development of pedogenic horizons; soil moisture and temperature regimes; type of saturation; and base status. There are about 300 great groups. Each great group is identified by the name of a suborder and by a prefix that indicates a property of the soil. An example is Dystrudepts (*Dystr*, meaning low bases in the soil, plus *Udept*, the suborder of the Inceptisols that has a udic moisture regime).

SUBGROUP. There are more than 2,400 subgroups. Each great group has a typic subgroup. The typic subgroup is the central concept of the great group; it is not necessarily the most extensive. Other subgroups are intergrades or extragrades. Intergrades are transitions to other orders, suborders, or great groups. Extragrades have some properties that are not representative of the great group but do not indicate transitions to any other taxonomic class. Each subgroup is identified by one or more adjectives preceding the name of the great group. The adjective *Typic* identifies the subgroup that typifies the great group. An example is Typic Dystrudepts.

FAMILY. Families are established within a subgroup on the basis of physical and chemical properties and other characteristics that affect management. Generally, the properties for family placement are those of horizons below a traditional agronomic plow depth. Among the properties and characteristics considered are particle-size class, mineralogy class, cation-exchange activity class, soil temperature regime, soil depth, and reaction class. A family name consists of the name of a subgroup preceded by terms that indicate soil properties. An example is loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts.

SERIES. The soil series is the lowest category in the soil classification system. The series consists of soils within a family that have horizons similar in color, texture, structure, reaction, consistence, mineral and chemical composition, and arrangement in the profile.

Most parks are mapped to the series level. The names of soil series are selected by the soil scientists during the course of mapping. The series names are commonly geographic place names or are coined. Because of access limitations and soil variability, soils in some remote areas are classified at the great group or subgroup level.

Table 21 indicates the order, suborder, great group, subgroup, and family of the soil series in the park. Table 22 displays the classification as a key sorted by order.

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487–00.

Buol, S.W., R.J. Southard, R.C. Graham, and P.A. McDaniel. 2011. Soil genesis and classification. 6th edition.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Jenny, Hans. 1941. Factors of soil formation.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Perles, Stephanie J., Gregory S. Podniesinski, Ephraim A. Zimmerman, William A. Millinor, and Lesley A. Sneddon. 2006. Vegetation and mapping at Fort Necessity National Battlefield. Technical Report NPS/NER/NRTR--2006/038. (http://www.nps.gov/nero/science/FINAL/FONE_veg_map/FONE_veg_map.htm)

Simonson, Roy W. 1959. Outline of a generalized theory of soil genesis. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 23:152-156.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18.

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436.

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

- Thornberry-Ehrlich, T. 2009. Fort Necessity National Battlefield geologic resources inventory report. Natural Resource Report NPS/NRPC/GRD/NRR—2009/082. National Park Service, Denver, Colorado. (http://science.nature.nps.gov/im/units/ERMN/reports/FONE_662097_GeologicResourceEvaluation_Thornberry-Ehrlich_NPS_NRPC_GRD_NRR_2009_082.pdf)
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. <http://soils.usda.gov/technical/>
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. PLANTS database. National Plant Data Center. <http://plants.usda.gov>
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2010. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States, Version 7.0. L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and C.V. Noble, eds. USDA-NRCS in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210.
- United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service. 2005. Assessment of effect for fire management plan, Fort Necessity National Battlefield and Friendship Hill National Historic Site. Draft Environmental Assessment.

Glossary

- Aeration, soil.** The exchange of air in soil with air from the atmosphere. The air in a well aerated soil is similar to that in the atmosphere; the air in a poorly aerated soil is considerably higher in carbon dioxide and lower in oxygen.
- Aggregate, soil.** Many fine particles held in a single mass or cluster. Natural soil aggregates, such as granules, blocks, or prisms, are called peds. Clods are aggregates produced by tillage or logging.
- Alkali (sodic) soil.** A soil having so high a degree of alkalinity (pH 8.5 or higher) or so high a percentage of exchangeable sodium (15 percent or more of the total exchangeable bases), or both, that plant growth is restricted.
- Alluvial fan.** The fanlike deposit of a stream where it issues from a gorge upon a plain or of a tributary stream near or at its junction with its main stream.
- Alluvium.** Material, such as sand, silt, or clay, deposited on land by streams.
- Alpha,alpha-dipyridyl.** A dye that when dissolved in 1N ammonium acetate is used to detect the presence of reduced iron (Fe II) in the soil. A positive reaction indicates a type of redoximorphic feature.
- Aquic conditions.** Current soil wetness characterized by saturation, reduction, and redoximorphic features.
- Argillic horizon.** A subsoil horizon characterized by an accumulation of illuvial clay.
- Aspect.** The direction in which a slope faces.
- Available water capacity (available moisture capacity).** The capacity of soils to hold water available for use by most plants. It is commonly defined as the difference between the amount of soil water at field moisture capacity and the amount at wilting point. It is commonly expressed as inches of water per inch of soil. The capacity, in inches, in a 60-inch profile or to a limiting layer is expressed as:
- | | |
|----------------|--------------|
| Very low | 0 to 3 |
| Low | 3 to 6 |
| Moderate..... | 6 to 9 |
| High | 9 to 12 |
| Very high..... | more than 12 |
- Base saturation.** The degree to which material having cation-exchange properties is saturated with exchangeable bases (sum of Ca, Mg, Na, and K), expressed as a percentage of the total cation-exchange capacity.
- Bedrock.** The solid rock that underlies the soil and other unconsolidated material or that is exposed at the surface.
- Canopy.** The leafy crown of trees or shrubs. (See Crown.)
- Cation.** An ion carrying a positive charge of electricity. The common soil cations are calcium, potassium, magnesium, sodium, and hydrogen.
- Cation-exchange capacity.** The total amount of exchangeable cations that can be held by the soil, expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil at neutrality (pH 7.0) or at some other stated pH value. The term, as applied to soils, is synonymous with base-exchange capacity but is more precise in meaning.
- Clay.** As a soil separate, the mineral soil particles less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. As a soil textural class, soil material that is 40 percent or more clay, less than 45 percent sand, and less than 40 percent silt.

- Claypan.** A slowly permeable soil horizon that contains much more clay than the horizons above it. A claypan is commonly hard when dry and plastic or stiff when wet.
- Climax plant community.** The stabilized plant community on a particular site. The plant cover reproduces itself and does not change so long as the environment remains the same.
- Coarse textured soil.** Sand or loamy sand.
- Colluvium.** Soil material or rock fragments, or both, moved by creep, slide, or local wash and deposited at the base of steep slopes.
- Complex, soil.** A map unit of two or more kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or so small in area that it is not practical to map them separately at the selected scale of mapping. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas.
- Control section.** The part of the soil on which classification is based. The thickness varies among different kinds of soil, but for many it is that part of the soil profile between depths of 10 inches and 40 or 80 inches.
- Corrosion.** Soil-induced electrochemical or chemical action that dissolves or weakens concrete or uncoated steel.
- Crown.** The upper part of a tree or shrub, including the living branches and their foliage.
- Culmination of the mean annual increment (CMAI).** The average annual increase per acre in the volume of a stand. Computed by dividing the total volume of the stand by its age. As the stand increases in age, the mean annual increment continues to increase until mortality begins to reduce the rate of increase. The point where the stand reaches its maximum annual rate of growth is called the culmination of the mean annual increment.
- Depth, soil.** Generally, the thickness of the soil over bedrock. Very deep soils are more than 60 inches deep over bedrock; deep soils, 40 to 60 inches; moderately deep, 20 to 40 inches; shallow, 10 to 20 inches; and very shallow, less than 10 inches.
- Drainage class (natural).** Refers to the frequency and duration of wet periods under conditions similar to those under which the soil formed. Alterations of the water regime by human activities, either through drainage or irrigation, are not a consideration unless they have significantly changed the morphology of the soil. Seven classes of natural soil drainage are recognized—*excessively drained*, *somewhat excessively drained*, *well drained*, *moderately well drained*, *somewhat poorly drained*, *poorly drained*, and *very poorly drained*. These classes are defined in the “Soil Survey Manual.”
- Drainage, surface.** Runoff, or surface flow of water, from an area.
- Ecological site.** An area where climate, soil, and relief are sufficiently uniform to produce a distinct natural plant community. An ecological site is the product of all the environmental factors responsible for its development. It is typified by an association of species that differ from those on other ecological sites in kind and/or proportion of species or in total production.
- Eluviation.** The movement of material in true solution or colloidal suspension from one place to another within the soil. Soil horizons that have lost material through eluviation are eluvial; those that have received material are illuvial.
- Eolian soil material.** Earthy parent material accumulated through wind action; commonly refers to sandy material in dunes or to loess in blankets on the surface.
- Erosion.** The wearing away of the land surface by water, wind, ice, or other geologic agents and by such processes as gravitational creep.
Erosion (geologic). Erosion caused by geologic processes acting over long geologic periods and resulting in the wearing away of mountains and the building

up of such landscape features as flood plains and coastal plains. Synonym: natural erosion.

Erosion (accelerated). Erosion much more rapid than geologic erosion, mainly as a result of human or animal activities or of a catastrophe in nature, such as a fire, that exposes the surface.

Escarpment. A relatively continuous and steep slope or cliff breaking the general continuity of more gently sloping land surfaces and resulting from erosion or faulting. Synonym: scarp.

Fertility, soil. The quality that enables a soil to provide plant nutrients, in adequate amounts and in proper balance, for the growth of specified plants when light, moisture, temperature, tilth, and other growth factors are favorable.

Fill slope. A sloping surface consisting of excavated soil material from a road cut. It commonly is on the downhill side of the road.

Fine textured soil. Sandy clay, silty clay, or clay.

Flood plain. A nearly level alluvial plain that borders a stream and is subject to flooding unless protected artificially.

Fluvial. Of or pertaining to rivers; produced by river action, as a fluvial plain.

Forb. Any herbaceous plant not a grass or a sedge.

Forest cover. All trees and other woody plants (underbrush) covering the ground in a forest.

Forest type. A stand of trees similar in composition and development because of given physical and biological factors by which it may be differentiated from other stands.

Gravel. Rounded or angular fragments of rock as much as 3 inches (2 millimeters to 7.6 centimeters) in diameter. An individual piece is a pebble.

Gravelly soil material. Material that has 15 to 35 percent, by volume, rounded or angular rock fragments, not prominently flattened, as much as 3 inches (7.6 centimeters) in diameter.

Ground water. Water filling all the unblocked pores of the material below the water table.

Hard bedrock. Bedrock that cannot be excavated except by blasting or by the use of special equipment that is not commonly used in construction.

Hill. A natural elevation of the land surface, rising as much as 1,000 feet above surrounding lowlands, commonly of limited summit area and having a well defined outline; hillsides generally have slopes of more than 15 percent. The distinction between a hill and a mountain is arbitrary and is dependent on local usage.

Horizon, soil. A layer of soil, approximately parallel to the surface, having distinct characteristics produced by soil-forming processes. In the identification of soil horizons, an uppercase letter represents the major horizons. Numbers or lowercase letters that follow represent subdivisions of the major horizons. An explanation of the subdivisions is given in the "Soil Survey Manual." The major horizons of mineral soil are as follows:

O horizon.—An organic layer of fresh and decaying plant residue.

A horizon.—The mineral horizon at or near the surface in which an accumulation of humified organic matter is mixed with the mineral material. Also, a plowed surface horizon, most of which was originally part of a B horizon.

E horizon.—The mineral horizon in which the main feature is loss of silicate clay, iron, aluminum, or some combination of these.

B horizon.—The mineral horizon below an A horizon. The B horizon is in part a layer of transition from the overlying A to the underlying C horizon. The B horizon also has distinctive characteristics, such as (1) accumulation of clay, sesquioxides, humus, or a combination of these; (2) prismatic or blocky structure; (3) redder or browner colors than those in the A horizon; or (4) a combination of these.

C horizon.—The mineral horizon or layer, excluding indurated bedrock, that is little affected by soil-forming processes and does not have the properties typical of the overlying soil material. The material of a C horizon may be either like or unlike that in which the solum formed. If the material is known to differ from that in the solum, an Arabic numeral, commonly a 2, precedes the letter C.

Cr horizon.—Soft, consolidated bedrock beneath the soil.

R layer.—Consolidated bedrock beneath the soil. The bedrock commonly underlies a C horizon, but it can be directly below an A or a B horizon.

Hydrologic soil groups. Refers to soils grouped according to their runoff potential.

The soil properties that influence this potential are those that affect the minimum rate of water infiltration on a bare soil during periods after prolonged wetting when the soil is not frozen. These properties are depth to a seasonal high water table, the infiltration rate and permeability after prolonged wetting, and depth to a very slowly permeable layer. The slope and the kind of plant cover are not considered but are separate factors in predicting runoff.

Illuviation. The movement of soil material from one horizon to another in the soil profile. Generally, material is removed from an upper horizon and deposited in a lower horizon.

Infiltration. The downward entry of water into the immediate surface of soil or other material, as contrasted with percolation, which is movement of water through soil layers or material.

Infiltration capacity. The maximum rate at which water can infiltrate into a soil under a given set of conditions.

Infiltration rate. The rate at which water penetrates the surface of the soil at any given instant, usually expressed in inches per hour. The rate can be limited by the infiltration capacity of the soil or the rate at which water is applied at the surface.

Intake rate. The average rate of water entering the soil under irrigation. Most soils have a fast initial rate; the rate decreases with application time. Therefore, intake rate for design purposes is not a constant but is a variable depending on the net irrigation application. The rate of water intake, in inches per hour, is expressed as follows:

Less than 0.2	very low
0.2 to 0.4	low
0.4 to 0.75	moderately low
0.75 to 1.25	moderate
1.25 to 1.75	moderately high
1.75 to 2.5	high
More than 2.5	very high

Ksat. Saturated hydraulic conductivity. (See Permeability.)

Leaching. The removal of soluble material from soil or other material by percolating water.

LEP. See Linear extensibility percent.

Linear extensibility (LE). Refers to the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is decreased from a moist to a dry state. Linear extensibility is used to determine the shrink-swell potential of soils. It is an expression of the volume change between the water content of the clod at $\frac{1}{3}$ - or $\frac{1}{10}$ -bar tension (33kPa or 10kPa tension) and oven dryness. Volume change is influenced by the amount and type of clay minerals in the soil. The volume change is the percent change for the whole soil. If it is expressed as a fraction, the resulting value is COLE, coefficient of linear extensibility.

Linear extensibility percent. Refers to the percent change in linear extensibility.

Liquid limit. The moisture content at which the soil passes from a plastic to a liquid state.

Loam. Soil material that is 7 to 27 percent clay particles, 28 to 50 percent silt particles, and less than 52 percent sand particles.

Loess. Fine grained material, dominantly of silt-sized particles, deposited by wind.

Low strength. The soil is not strong enough to support loads.

Medium textured soil. Very fine sandy loam, loam, silt loam, or silt.

Mineral soil. Soil that is mainly mineral material and low in organic material. Its bulk density is more than that of organic soil.

Miscellaneous area. An area that has little or no natural soil and supports little or no vegetation.

Moderately coarse textured soil. Coarse sandy loam, sandy loam, or fine sandy loam.

Moderately fine textured soil. Clay loam, sandy clay loam, or silty clay loam.

Neutral soil. A soil having a pH value of 6.6 to 7.3. (See Reaction, soil.)

Nutrient, plant. Any element taken in by a plant essential to its growth. Plant nutrients are mainly nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, sulfur, iron, manganese, copper, boron, and zinc obtained from the soil and carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen obtained from the air and water.

Organic matter. Plant and animal residue in the soil in various stages of decomposition. The content of organic matter in the surface layer is described as follows:

Very low	less than 0.5 percent
Low	0.5 to 1.0 percent
Moderately low.....	1.0 to 2.0 percent
Moderate.....	2.0 to 4.0 percent
High	4.0 to 8.0 percent
Very high.....	more than 8.0 percent

Pan. A compact, dense layer in a soil that impedes the movement of water and the growth of roots. For example, *hardpan*, *fragipan*, *claypan*, *plowpan*, and *traffic pan*.

Parent material. The unconsolidated organic and mineral material in which soil forms.

Ped. An individual natural soil aggregate, such as a granule, a prism, or a block.

Pedon. The smallest volume that can be called “a soil.” A pedon is three dimensional and large enough to permit study of all horizons. Its area ranges from about 10 to 100 square feet (1 square meter to 10 square meters), depending on the variability of the soil.

Percolation. The movement of water through the soil.

Permeability. The quality of the soil that enables water or air to move downward through the profile. The rate at which a saturated soil transmits water is accepted as a measure of this quality. In soil physics, the rate is referred to as “saturated hydraulic conductivity,” which is defined in the “Soil Survey Manual.” In line with conventional usage in the engineering profession and with traditional usage in published soil surveys, this rate of flow continues to be expressed as “permeability.” Terms describing permeability, measured in inches per hour, are as follows:

Extremely slow.....	0.0 to 0.01 inch
Very slow	0.01 to 0.06 inch
Slow	0.06 to 0.2 inch
Moderately slow.....	0.2 to 0.6 inch
Moderate.....	0.6 inch to 2.0 inches
Moderately rapid.....	2.0 to 6.0 inches
Rapid	6.0 to 20 inches
Very rapid.....	more than 20 inches

Phase, soil. A subdivision of a soil series based on features that affect its use and management, such as slope, stoniness, and flooding.

pH value. A numerical designation of acidity and alkalinity in soil. (See Reaction, soil.)

Plasticity index. The numerical difference between the liquid limit and the plastic limit; the range of moisture content within which the soil remains plastic.

Plastic limit. The moisture content at which a soil changes from semisolid to plastic.

- Plowpan.** A compacted layer formed in the soil directly below the plowed layer.
- Ponding.** Standing water on soils in closed depressions. Unless the soils are artificially drained, the water can be removed only by percolation or evapotranspiration.
- Poorly graded.** Refers to a coarse grained soil or soil material consisting mainly of particles of nearly the same size. Because there is little difference in size of the particles, density can be increased only slightly by compaction.
- Potential native plant community.** See Climax plant community.
- Potential rooting depth (effective rooting depth).** Depth to which roots could penetrate if the content of moisture in the soil were adequate. The soil has no properties restricting the penetration of roots to this depth.
- Productivity, soil.** The capability of a soil for producing a specified plant or sequence of plants under specific management.
- Profile, soil.** A vertical section of the soil extending through all its horizons and into the parent material.
- Rangeland.** Land on which the potential natural vegetation is predominantly grasses, grasslike plants, forbs, or shrubs suitable for grazing or browsing. It includes natural grasslands, savannas, many wetlands, some deserts, tundras, and areas that support certain forb and shrub communities.
- Reaction, soil.** A measure of acidity or alkalinity of a soil, expressed in pH values. A soil that tests to pH 7.0 is described as precisely neutral in reaction because it is neither acid nor alkaline. The degrees of acidity or alkalinity, expressed as pH values, are:

Ultra acid.....	less than 3.5
Extremely acid	3.5 to 4.4
Very strongly acid	4.5 to 5.0
Strongly acid	5.1 to 5.5
Moderately acid	5.6 to 6.0
Slightly acid.....	6.1 to 6.5
Neutral	6.6 to 7.3
Slightly alkaline	7.4 to 7.8
Moderately alkaline.....	7.9 to 8.4
Strongly alkaline	8.5 to 9.0
Very strongly alkaline.....	9.1 and higher

- Redoximorphic concentrations.** Nodules, concretions, soft masses, pore linings, and other features resulting from the accumulation of iron or manganese oxide. An indication of chemical reduction and oxidation resulting from saturation.
- Redoximorphic depletions.** Low-chroma zones from which iron and manganese oxide or a combination of iron and manganese oxide and clay has been removed. These zones are indications of the chemical reduction of iron resulting from saturation.
- Redoximorphic features.** Redoximorphic concentrations, redoximorphic depletions, reduced matrices, a positive reaction to alpha,alpha-dipyridyl, and other features indicating the chemical reduction and oxidation of iron and manganese compounds resulting from saturation.
- Relief.** The elevations or inequalities of a land surface, considered collectively.
- Residuum (residual soil material).** Unconsolidated, weathered or partly weathered mineral material that accumulated as consolidated rock disintegrated in place.
- Rock fragments.** Rock or mineral fragments having a diameter of 2 millimeters or more; for example, pebbles, cobbles, stones, and boulders.
- Root zone.** The part of the soil that can be penetrated by plant roots.
- Runoff.** The precipitation discharged into stream channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil before reaching surface streams is called ground-water runoff or seepage flow from ground water.
- Saline soil.** A soil containing soluble salts in an amount that impairs growth of plants. A saline soil does not contain excess exchangeable sodium.

- Sand.** As a soil separate, individual rock or mineral fragments from 0.05 millimeter to 2.0 millimeters in diameter. Most sand grains consist of quartz. As a soil textural class, a soil that is 85 percent or more sand and not more than 10 percent clay.
- Sandstone.** Sedimentary rock containing dominantly sand-sized particles.
- Saprolite.** Unconsolidated residual material underlying the soil and grading to hard bedrock below.
- Saturation.** Wetness characterized by zero or positive pressure of the soil water. Under conditions of saturation, the water will flow from the soil matrix into an unlined auger hole.
- Sedimentary rock.** Rock made up of particles deposited from suspension in water. The chief kinds of sedimentary rock are conglomerate, formed from gravel; sandstone, formed from sand; shale, formed from clay; and limestone, formed from soft masses of calcium carbonate. There are many intermediate types. Some wind-deposited sand is consolidated into sandstone.
- Series, soil.** A group of soils that have profiles that are almost alike. All the soils of a series have horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.
- Shale.** Sedimentary rock formed by the hardening of a clay deposit.
- Silt.** As a soil separate, individual mineral particles that range in diameter from the upper limit of clay (0.002 millimeter) to the lower limit of very fine sand (0.05 millimeter). As a soil textural class, soil that is 80 percent or more silt and less than 12 percent clay.
- Siltstone.** Sedimentary rock made up of dominantly silt-sized particles.
- Similar soils.** Soils that share limits of diagnostic criteria, behave and perform in a similar manner, and have similar conservation needs or management requirements for the major land uses in the survey area.
- Site index.** A designation of the quality of a forest site based on the height of the dominant stand at an arbitrarily chosen age. For example, if the average height attained by dominant and codominant trees in a fully stocked stand at the age of 50 years is 75 feet, the site index is 75.
- Slope.** The inclination of the land surface from the horizontal. Percentage of slope is the vertical distance divided by horizontal distance, then multiplied by 100. Thus, a slope of 20 percent is a drop of 20 feet in 100 feet of horizontal distance.
- Sodic (alkali) soil.** A soil having so high a degree of alkalinity (pH 8.5 or higher) or so high a percentage of exchangeable sodium (15 percent or more of the total exchangeable bases), or both, that plant growth is restricted.
- Sodicity.** The degree to which a soil is affected by exchangeable sodium. Sodicity is expressed as a sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) of a saturation extract, or the ratio of Na^+ to $\text{Ca}^{++} + \text{Mg}^{++}$. The degrees of sodicity and their respective ratios are:
- | | |
|---------------|----------------|
| Slight..... | less than 13:1 |
| Moderate..... | 13-30:1 |
| Strong | more than 30:1 |
- Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR).** A measure of the amount of sodium (Na) relative to calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) in the water extract from saturated soil paste. It is the ratio of the Na concentration divided by the square root of one-half of the Ca + Mg concentration.
- Soft bedrock.** Bedrock that can be excavated with trenching machines, backhoes, small rippers, and other equipment commonly used in construction.
- Soil.** A natural, three-dimensional body at the earth's surface. It is capable of supporting plants and has properties resulting from the integrated effect of climate and living matter acting on earthy parent material, as conditioned by relief over periods of time.
- Soil separates.** Mineral particles less than 2 millimeters in equivalent diameter and ranging between specified size limits. The names and sizes, in millimeters, of separates recognized in the United States are as follows:

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Very coarse sand	2.0 to 1.0
Coarse sand	1.0 to 0.5
Medium sand	0.5 to 0.25
Fine sand	0.25 to 0.10
Very fine sand	0.10 to 0.05
Silt	0.05 to 0.002
Clay	less than 0.002

Solum. The upper part of a soil profile, above the C horizon, in which the processes of soil formation are active. The solum in soil consists of the A, E, and B horizons. Generally, the characteristics of the material in these horizons are unlike those of the material below the solum. The living roots and plant and animal activities are largely confined to the solum.

Stone line. A concentration of coarse fragments in a soil. Generally, it is indicative of an old weathered surface. In a cross section, the line may be one fragment or more thick. It generally overlies material that weathered in place and is overlain by recent sediment of variable thickness.

Stones. Rock fragments 10 to 24 inches (25 to 60 centimeters) in diameter if rounded or 15 to 24 inches (38 to 60 centimeters) in length if flat.

Stony. Refers to a soil containing stones in numbers that interfere with or prevent tillage.

Structure, soil. The arrangement of primary soil particles into compound particles or aggregates. The principal forms of soil structure are—*platy* (laminated), *prismatic* (vertical axis of aggregates longer than horizontal), *columnar* (prisms with rounded tops), *blocky* (angular or subangular), and *granular*. *Structureless* soils are either *single grained* (each grain by itself, as in dune sand) or *massive* (the particles adhering without any regular cleavage, as in many hardpans).

Subsoil. Technically, the B horizon; roughly, the part of the solum below plow depth.

Substratum. The part of the soil below the solum.

Subsurface layer. Any surface soil horizon (A, E, AB, or EB) below the surface layer.

Surface layer. The soil ordinarily moved in tillage, or its equivalent in uncultivated soil, ranging in depth from 4 to 10 inches (10 to 25 centimeters). Frequently designated as the “plow layer,” or the “Ap horizon.”

Surface soil. The A, E, AB, and EB horizons, considered collectively. It includes all subdivisions of these horizons.

Terrace. An embankment, or ridge, constructed across sloping soils on the contour or at a slight angle to the contour. The terrace intercepts surface runoff so that water soaks into the soil or flows slowly to a prepared outlet. A terrace in a field generally is built so that the field can be farmed. A terrace intended mainly for drainage has a deep channel that is maintained in permanent sod.

Terrace (geologic). An old alluvial plain, ordinarily flat or undulating, bordering a river, a lake, or the sea.

Texture, soil. The relative proportions of sand, silt, and clay particles in a mass of soil. The basic textural classes, in order of increasing proportion of fine particles, are *sand*, *loamy sand*, *sandy loam*, *loam*, *silt loam*, *silt*, *sandy clay loam*, *clay loam*, *silty clay loam*, *sandy clay*, *silty clay*, and *clay*. The sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam classes may be further divided by specifying “coarse,” “fine,” or “very fine.”

Tilth, soil. The physical condition of the soil as related to tillage, seedbed preparation, seedling emergence, and root penetration.

Topsoil. The upper part of the soil, which is the most favorable material for plant growth. It is ordinarily rich in organic matter and is used to topdress roadbanks, lawns, and land affected by mining.

Upland. Land at a higher elevation, in general, than the alluvial plain or stream terrace; land above the lowlands along streams.

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Weathering. All physical and chemical changes produced in rocks or other deposits at or near the earth's surface by atmospheric agents. These changes result in disintegration and decomposition of the material.

Well graded. Refers to soil material consisting of coarse grained particles that are well distributed over a wide range in size or diameter. Such soil normally can be easily increased in density and bearing properties by compaction. Contrasts with poorly graded soil.

Tables

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 1.—Soil Legend

Map unit symbol and map unit name	Components in map unit	Percent of map unit
1591024: Philo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes-----	Philo	85
	Atkins	5
	Ernest	5
	Wharton	5
1591025: Atkins silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes-----	Atkins	75
	Philo	15
	Ernest	10
1591026: Ernest silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes-----	Ernest	85
	Brinkerton	5
	Gilpin	5
	Lobdell	5
1591027: Ernest silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes-----	Ernest	85
	Brinkerton	5
	Gilpin	5
	Lobdell	5
1591030: Gilpin channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes-----	Gilpin	85
	Wharton	10
	Weikert	5
1591031: Gilpin channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes-----	Gilpin	85
	Wharton	10
	Weikert	5
1591032: Gilpin channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes-----	Gilpin	85
	Weikert	10
	Wharton	5
1591036: Shelocta-Gilpin channery silt loams, 25 to 75 percent slopes--	Shelocta	50
	Gilpin	40
	Ernest	8
	Rock outcrop	2

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 1.—Soil Legend—Continued

Map unit symbol and map unit name	Components in map unit	Percent of map unit
1591066: Cavode silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes-----	Cavode	85
	Gilpin	10
	Brinkerton	5
1591067: Cavode silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes-----	Cavode	85
	Gilpin	10
	Brinkerton	5
1591068: Cavode silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes-----	Cavode	80
	Gilpin	10
	Wharton	10
1591072: Wharton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes-----	Wharton	80
	Gilpin	10
	Brinkerton	5
	Cavode	5
1591073: Wharton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes-----	Wharton	80
	Gilpin	7
	Cavode	5
	Ernest	5
	Brinkerton	3
1591074: Wharton silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes-----	Wharton	75
	Gilpin	12
	Cavode	5
	Ernest	5
	Brinkerton	3
1591075: Wharton silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes-----	Wharton	75
	Gilpin	15
	Cavode	5
	Ernest	5

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 1.—Soil Legend—Continued

Map unit symbol and map unit name	Components in map unit	Percent of map unit
1591084: Gilpin-Weikert channery silt loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes-----	Gilpin	60
	Weikert	30
	Wharton	10
1591087: Gilpin-Weikert channery silt loams, 25 to 60 percent slopes---	Gilpin	60
	Weikert	25
	Shelocta	10
	Wharton	5
1591095: Hazleton channery sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes-----	Hazleton	80
	Clymer	10
	Cookport	10
1591097: Hazleton channery sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes-----	Hazleton	85
	Dekalb	15
1591110: Brinkerton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes-----	Brinkerton	85
	Ernest	10
	Lobdell	5
1591111: Brinkerton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes-----	Brinkerton	80
	Ernest	15
	Lobdell	5
1591133: Laidig gravelly loam, 25 to 35 percent slopes, extremely stony	Laidig	75
	Dekalb	15
	Buchanan	10
1591152: Macove-Gilpin channery silt loams, 35 to 70 percent slopes, extremely stony-----	Macove	65
	Gilpin	25
	Buchanan	10
1591154: Rayne channery silt loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes, very stony--	Rayne	70
	Gilpin	20
	Ernest	5
	Wharton	5

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 1.—Soil Legend—Continued

Map unit symbol and map unit name	Components in map unit	Percent of map unit
1591168: Hazleton-Clymer complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes, extremely stony-----	Hazleton	50
	Clymer	35
	Cookport	15
1591169: Hazleton-Clymer complex, 8 to 25 percent slopes, extremely stony-----	Hazleton	45
	Clymer	40
	Cookport	15
1591181: Andover gravelly loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes, very stony-----	Andover	90
	Buchanan	10

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 2.-Land Capability Classification

(Land capability classification is a system of grouping soils primarily on the basis of their capability to produce common cultivated crops and pasture plants without deteriorating over a long period of time. Only the soils suitable for cultivation are listed. Capability is given for nonirrigated areas)

Map unit symbol and component name	Land capability
1591024: Philo-----	2w
1591025: Atkins-----	4w
1591026: Ernest-----	2e
1591027: Ernest-----	3e
1591030: Gilpin-----	2e
1591031: Gilpin-----	3e
1591032: Gilpin-----	4e
1591036: Shelocta-----	7e
Gilpin-----	7e
1591066: Cavode-----	3w
1591067: Cavode-----	3e
1591068: Cavode-----	4e
1591072: Wharton-----	2w
1591073: Wharton-----	2e
1591074: Wharton-----	3e
1591075: Wharton-----	4e
1591084: Gilpin-----	2e
Weikert-----	3e
1591087: Gilpin-----	7e
Weikert-----	7e

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 2.-Land Capability Classification-Continued

Map unit symbol and component name	Land capability
1591095: Hazleton-----	2e
1591097: Hazleton-----	4e
1591110: Brinkerton-----	4w
1591111: Brinkerton-----	4w
1591133: Laidig-----	7s
1591152: Macove-----	7s
Gilpin-----	7s
1591154: Rayne-----	6s
Gilpin-----	6s
1591168: Hazleton-----	7s
Clymer-----	6s
1591169: Hazleton-----	7s
Clymer-----	6s
1591181: Andover-----	7s

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 3.-Prime and Other Important Farmland

(Only the soils considered prime or important farmland are listed. Urban or built-up areas of the soils listed are not considered prime or important farmland)

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Farmland classification
1591024	Philo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland
1591026	Ernest silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Farmland of statewide importance
1591027	Ernest silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Farmland of statewide importance
1591030	Gilpin channery silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland
1591031	Gilpin channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Farmland of statewide importance
1591066	Cavode silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Farmland of statewide importance
1591067	Cavode silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Farmland of statewide importance
1591072	Wharton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland
1591073	Wharton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland
1591074	Wharton silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Farmland of statewide importance
1591084	Gilpin-Weikert channery silt loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Farmland of statewide importance
1591095	Hazleton channery sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 4.-Hydric Soils

(This report lists only those map unit components that are rated as hydric. Definitions of hydric criteria codes are included at the end of the report)

Map unit symbol and map unit name	Component	Percent of map unit	Landform	Hydric soils criteria			
				Hydric criteria code	Meets saturation criteria	Meets flooding criteria	Meets ponding criteria
1591024: Philo loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Atkins	5	flood plains	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591025: Atkins silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Atkins	75	flood plains	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591026: Ernest silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Brinkerton	5	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591027: Ernest silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Brinkerton	5	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591066: Cavode silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Brinkerton	5	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591067: Cavode silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Brinkerton	5	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591072: Wharton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Brinkerton	5	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591073: Wharton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Brinkerton	3	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591074: Wharton silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	Brinkerton	3	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591110: Brinkerton silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Brinkerton	85	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No
1591111: Brinkerton silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	Brinkerton	80	hills, draws	2B3	Yes	No	No

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 4.—Hydric Soils—Continued

Map unit symbol and map unit name	Component	Percent of map unit	Landform	Hydric soils criteria			
				Hydric criteria code	Meets saturation criteria	Meets flooding criteria	Meets ponding criteria
1591181: Andover gravelly loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	Andover	90	mountain slopes	2B3	Yes	No	No

Explanation of hydric criteria codes:

1. All Histels (except for Folistels), and Histosols (except for Folistels), which are, by definition, saturated.
2. Soils in Aquic suborders, great groups, or subgroups, Albolls suborder, Historthels great group, Histoturbels great group, Pachic subgroups, or Cumulic subgroups that:
 - A. are somewhat poorly drained and have a water table at the surface (0.0 feet) during the growing season, or
 - B. are poorly drained or very poorly drained and have either:
 - 1.) a water table at the surface (0.0 feet) during the growing season if textures are coarse sand, sand, or fine sand in all layers within a depth of 20 inches, or
 - 2.) a water table at a depth of 0.5 foot or less during the growing season if permeability is equal to or greater than 6.0 in/hr in all layers within a depth of 20 inches, or
 - 3.) a water table at a depth of 1.0 foot or less during the growing season if permeability is less than 6.0 in/hr in any layer within a depth of 20 inches.
3. Soils that are frequently ponded for periods of long or very long duration during the growing season.
4. Soils that are frequently flooded for periods of long or very long duration during the growing season.

Table 5.-Landscape, Landform, and Parent Material

(Only major components are displayed in this report. Miscellaneous nonsoil components may not be included. Component percents may not add up to 100. MAP is the mean annual precipitation)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Percent of map unit	Slope	Elevation	MAP	Landscape	Landform	Parent material
		<u>Pct</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>In</u>			
1591024: Philo-----	85	0-2	1099-1699	35-51	---	Flood plains	Recent coarse-loamy alluvium derived from sandstone and shale
1591025: Atkins-----	75	0-2	699-1201	44-54	---	Flood plains	Recent fine-loamy alluvium derived from sandstone and shale
1591026: Ernest-----	85	3-8	1001-1801	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy colluvium derived from shale and siltstone
1591027: Ernest-----	85	8-15	1001-1801	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy colluvium derived from shale and siltstone
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	3-8	1001-1699	36-46	Uplands	Hills	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	8-15	1001-1699	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	15-25	1001-1699	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Table 5.-Landscape, Landform, and Parent Material-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Percent of map unit	Slope	Elevation	MAP	Landscape	Landform	Parent material
		<u>Pct</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>In</u>			
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	25-75	1001-1699	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy colluvium derived from sandstone and siltstone
Gilpin-----	40	25-75	1001-1699	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591066: Cavode-----	85	3-8	1001-1499	36-46	Uplands	Hills	Acid clayey residuum weathered from clayey shale
1591067: Cavode-----	85	8-15	1001-1499	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid clayey residuum weathered from clayey shale
1591068: Cavode-----	80	15-25	None assigned	36-50	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid clayey residuum weathered from clayey shale
1591072: Wharton-----	80	0-3	801-1499	36-46	Uplands	Hills	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591073: Wharton-----	80	3-8	801-1499	36-46	Uplands	Hills	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591074: Wharton-----	75	8-15	801-1499	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone

Table 5.-Landscape, Landform, and Parent Material-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Percent of map unit	Slope	Elevation	MAP	Landscape	Landform	Parent material
		<u>Pct</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>In</u>			
1591075: Wharton-----	75	15-25	801-1499	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	3-8	1001-1699	36-46	Uplands	Hills	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
Weikert-----	30	3-8	1001-1601	36-46	Uplands	Hills	Acid loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	25-60	1001-1699	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
Weikert-----	25	25-65	1001-1601	36-46	Uplands	Hillslopes	Acid loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	3-8	1148-2799	35-51	---	Hillsides and mountainsides	Acid sandy residuum weathered from noncalcareous sandstone
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	15-25	1148-2799	35-51	---	Hillsides and mountainsides	Acid sandy residuum weathered from noncalcareous sandstone
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	0-3	1001-1499	36-46	---	Draws and hills	Acid fine-silty colluvium derived from shale and siltstone

Table 5.-Landscape, Landform, and Parent Material-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Percent of map unit	Slope	Elevation	MAP	Landscape	Landform	Parent material
		<u>Pct</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>In</u>			
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	3-8	1001-1499	36-46	---	Draws and hills	Acid fine-silty colluvium derived from shale and siltstone
1591133: Laidig-----	75	25-35	1165-2799	44-54	---	Mountainsides	Acid loamy colluvium derived from sandstone and siltstone
1591152: Macove-----	65	35-65	1401-2799	44-54	---	Mountainsides	Acid loamy colluvium derived from sandstone and shale
Gilpin-----	25	35-70	1001-1699	44-54	Hills and uplands	Hills	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591154: Rayne-----	70	8-25	1299-2500	44-54	Uplands	Hillsides and mountainsides	Acid fine-loamy residuum weathered from shale and siltstone
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	0-8	1148-2799	40-54	---	Mountains	Acid sandy residuum weathered from noncalcareous sandstone
Clymer-----	35	0-8	1066-2500	44-54	---	Mountains	Silty coarse-loamy residuum weathered from sandstone and siltstone
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	8-25	1148-2799	40-54	---	Mountain slopes	Acid sandy residuum weathered from noncalcareous sandstone

Table 5.—Landscape, Landform, and Parent Material—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Percent of map unit	Slope	Elevation	MAP	Landscape	Landform	Parent material
		<u>Pct</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>In</u>			
1591169: Clymer-----	40	8-25	1066-2500	44-54	---	Mountain slope	Acid coarse-loamy residuum weathered from sandstone and siltstone
1591181: Andover-----	90	0-8	699-1499	44-54	---	Mountain slope	Acid loamy colluvium derived from sandstone and shale

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.-Land Management, Part I (Planting)

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Suitability for hand planting		Suitability for mechanical planting		Soil rutting hazard	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Well suited		Well suited		Severe Low strength	1.00
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Well suited		Well suited		Severe Low strength	1.00
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Well suited		Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Well suited		Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Well suited		Moderately suited Rock fragments Slope	0.50 0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Well suited		Moderately suited Rock fragments Slope	0.50 0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Well suited		Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments	0.75 0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00 0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
Gilpin-----	40	Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00 0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Well suited		Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Well suited		Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Well suited		Poorly suited Slope	0.75	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Moderately suited Stickiness; high plasticity index	0.50	Moderately suited Stickiness; high plasticity index	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.-Land Management, Part I (Planting)-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Suitability for hand planting	Value	Suitability for mechanical planting	Value	Soil rutting hazard	
		Rating class and limiting features		Rating class and limiting features		Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Moderately suited Stickiness; high plasticity index	0.50	Moderately suited Slope Stickiness; high plasticity index	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Moderately suited Stickiness; high plasticity index	0.50	Moderately suited Slope Stickiness; high plasticity index	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Moderately suited Stickiness; high plasticity index	0.50	Poorly suited Slope Stickiness; high plasticity index	0.75	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Well suited		Moderately suited Rock fragments Slope	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
Weikert-----	30	Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments Slope	0.75	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00	Severe Low strength	1.00
Weikert-----	25	Moderately suited Slope Rock fragments	0.50	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments Slope	0.75	Moderate Low strength	0.50
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments Slope	0.75	Moderate Low strength	0.50
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Well suited		Well suited		Severe Low strength	1.00
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Well suited		Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00	Severe Low strength	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.—Land Management, Part I (Planting)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Suitability for hand planting		Suitability for mechanical planting		Soil rutting hazard	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591152: Macove-----	65	Moderately suited Slope Rock fragments	0.50 0.50	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00 0.75	Moderate Low strength	0.50
Gilpin-----	25	Moderately suited Slope	0.50	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00 0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Well suited		Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments	0.75 0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
Gilpin-----	20	Well suited		Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments	0.75 0.50	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments	0.75	Moderate Low strength	0.50
Clymer-----	35	Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments	0.75	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments Slope	0.75 0.75	Moderate Low strength	0.50
Clymer-----	40	Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments	0.75 0.75	Severe Low strength	1.00
1591181: Andover-----	90	Well suited		Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50	Moderate Low strength	0.50

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.—Land Management, Part II (Hazard of Erosion and Suitability for Roads)

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Hazard of erosion		Hazard of erosion on roads and trails		Suitability for roads (natural surface)	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Slight		Slight		Poorly suited Flooding Low strength	1.00 0.50
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Slight		Slight		Poorly suited Flooding Wetness Low strength	1.00 1.00 0.50
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Slight		Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderately suited Low strength Wetness Slope Landslides	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.01
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Moderately suited Slope Low strength Wetness Landslides	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.21
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Slight		Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderately suited Low strength Slope Landslides	0.50 0.50 0.01
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Slight		Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Moderately suited Slope Low strength Landslides	0.50 0.50 0.07
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Low strength Landslides	1.00 0.50 0.15
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Landslides Low strength	1.00 1.00 0.50
Gilpin-----	40	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.75	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Landslides Low strength	1.00 1.00 0.50

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.—Land Management, Part II (Hazard of Erosion and Suitability for Roads)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Hazard of erosion		Hazard of erosion on roads and trails		Suitability for roads (natural surface)	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Slight		Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderately suited Wetness Low strength	0.50 0.50
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Moderately suited Slope Wetness Low strength Landslides	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.35
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Landslides Wetness Low strength	1.00 0.75 0.50 0.50
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Slight		Slight		Moderately suited Low strength	0.50
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Slight		Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderately suited Low strength	0.50
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Moderately suited Slope Low strength Landslides	0.50 0.50 0.21
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Low strength Landslides	1.00 0.50 0.45
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Slight		Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderately suited Low strength Slope Landslides	0.50 0.50 0.01
Weikert-----	30	Slight		Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderately suited Low strength Slope Landslides	0.50 0.50 0.01
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.75	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Landslides Low strength	1.00 1.00 0.50
Weikert-----	25	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.75	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Landslides Low strength	1.00 1.00 0.50

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.—Land Management, Part II (Hazard of Erosion and Suitability for Roads)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Hazard of erosion		Hazard of erosion on roads and trails		Suitability for roads (natural surface)	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Slight		Slight		Well suited Landslides	0.01
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Poorly suited Slope Landslides	1.00 0.15
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Slight		Slight		Poorly suited Wetness Low strength	1.00 0.50
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Slight		Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Poorly suited Wetness Low strength	1.00 0.50
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Landslides Rock fragments Low strength	1.00 0.60 0.50 0.50
1591152: Macove-----	65	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.75	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Landslides Rock fragments	1.00 1.00 0.50
Gilpin-----	25	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.75	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Landslides Low strength	1.00 1.00 0.50
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Low strength Stickiness; high plasticity index Landslides	1.00 0.50 0.50 0.12
Gilpin-----	20	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Severe Slope/erodibility	0.95	Poorly suited Slope Low strength Landslides	1.00 0.50 0.15
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Slight		Slight		Moderately suited Rock fragments	0.50
Clymer-----	35	Slight		Slight		Moderately suited Rock fragments Low strength	0.50 0.50

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.—Land Management, Part II (Hazard of Erosion and Suitability for Roads)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Hazard of erosion		Hazard of erosion on roads and trails		Suitability for roads (natural surface)	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments Landslides	1.00 0.50 0.12
Clymer-----	40	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Moderate Slope/erodibility	0.50	Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments Low strength Landslides	1.00 0.50 0.50 0.12
1591181: Andover-----	90	Slight		Slight		Poorly suited Wetness	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.—Land Management, Part III (Site Preparation)

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Suitability for mechanical site preparation (deep)		Suitability for mechanical site preparation (surface)	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Well suited		Well suited	
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Well suited		Well suited	
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Well suited		Well suited	
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Well suited		Well suited	
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Poorly suited Restrictive layer	0.50	Well suited	
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Poorly suited Restrictive layer	0.50	Well suited	
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Poorly suited Slope Restrictive layer	0.50 0.50	Poorly suited Slope	0.50
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Poorly suited Slope	0.50	Poorly suited Slope	0.50
Gilpin-----	40	Unsuited Restrictive layer Slope	1.00 1.00	Unsuited Slope	1.00
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Well suited		Well suited	
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Well suited		Well suited	
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Poorly suited Slope	0.50	Poorly suited Slope	0.50
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Well suited		Well suited	
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Well suited		Well suited	
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Well suited		Well suited	
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Poorly suited Slope	0.50	Poorly suited Slope	0.50

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.—Land Management, Part III (Site Preparation)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Suitability for mechanical site preparation (deep)		Suitability for mechanical site preparation (surface)	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Unsuited Restrictive layer	1.00	Well suited	
Weikert-----	30	Unsuited Restrictive layer	1.00	Poorly suited Rock fragments	0.50
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Unsuited Restrictive layer Slope	1.00 1.00	Unsuited Slope	1.00
Weikert-----	25	Unsuited Restrictive layer Slope	1.00 1.00	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00 0.50
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Well suited		Poorly suited Rock fragments	0.50
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Poorly suited Slope	0.50	Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments	0.50 0.50
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Well suited		Well suited	
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Well suited		Well suited	
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments	0.50 0.50	Poorly suited Slope Rock fragments	0.50 0.50
1591152: Macove-----	65	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00 0.50	Unsuited Slope Rock fragments	1.00 0.50
Gilpin-----	25	Unsuited Restrictive layer Slope	1.00 1.00	Unsuited Slope	1.00
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Poorly suited Slope	0.50	Poorly suited Slope	0.50
Gilpin-----	20	Unsuited Restrictive layer Slope	1.00 0.50	Poorly suited Slope	0.50
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Poorly suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments	0.50
Clymer-----	35	Poorly suited Rock fragments	0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments	0.50

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.—Land Management, Part III (Site Preparation)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Suitability for mechanical site preparation (deep)		Suitability for mechanical site preparation (surface)	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Poorly suited Rock fragments Slope	0.50 0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments Slope	0.50 0.50
Clymer-----	40	Poorly suited Rock fragments Slope	0.50 0.50	Poorly suited Rock fragments Slope	0.50 0.50
1591181: Andover-----	90	Well suited		Well suited	

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.-Land Management, Part IV (Site Restoration)

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Potential for damage to soil by fire		Potential for seedling mortality	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Low	
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	High Wetness	1.00
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Low	
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Low	
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Low	
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Low	
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Low	
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Low		Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
Gilpin-----	40	Low		Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	High Wetness	1.00
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	High Wetness	1.00
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	High Wetness	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.-Land Management, Part IV (Site Restoration)-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Potential for damage to soil by fire	Potential for seedling mortality
		Rating class and limiting features	Rating class and limiting features
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Low Texture/rock fragments	Low
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Low Texture/rock fragments	Low
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Low Texture/rock fragments	Low
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Low Texture/rock fragments	Low
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Low Texture/rock fragments	Low
Weikert-----	30	Low Texture/rock fragments	Low
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Low	Moderate Soil reaction
Weikert-----	25	Low	Moderate Soil reaction
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Low Texture/rock fragments	Low
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	Low
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Low Texture/rock fragments	High Wetness
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Low Texture/rock fragments	High Wetness
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Low	Low

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 6.-Land Management, Part IV (Site Restoration)-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Potential for damage to soil by fire		Potential for seedling mortality	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591152: Macove-----	65	Moderate Texture/rock fragments	0.50	Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
Gilpin-----	25	Low		Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
Gilpin-----	20	Moderate Texture/surface depth/rock fragments	0.50	Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Moderate Texture/surface depth/rock fragments	0.50	Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
Clymer-----	35	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Moderate Texture/surface depth/rock fragments	0.50	Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
Clymer-----	40	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	Moderate Soil reaction	0.50
1591181: Andover-----	90	Low Texture/rock fragments	0.10	High Wetness Soil reaction	1.00 0.50

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 7.—Recreation, Part I (Camp and Picnic Areas)

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Camp areas		Picnic areas	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.24	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	0.12
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Flooding	1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Flooding	1.00 0.40
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	0.90	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	0.60
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Slope	0.90 0.63	Somewhat limited Slope Depth to saturated zone	0.63 0.60
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Somewhat limited Gravel	0.15	Somewhat limited Gravel	0.15
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Somewhat limited Slope Gravel	0.63 0.15	Somewhat limited Slope Gravel	0.63 0.15
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Very limited Slope Gravel	1.00 0.15	Very limited Slope Gravel	1.00 0.15
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00
Gilpin-----	40	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement	1.00 0.96	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement	0.98 0.96

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 7.—Recreation, Part I (Camp and Picnic Areas)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Camp areas		Picnic areas	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Very limited		Somewhat limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	0.98
		Slow water movement	0.96	Slow water movement	0.96
		Slope	0.63	Slope	0.63
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Slope	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.96	Slow water movement	0.96
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Slow water movement	0.43	Slow water movement	0.43
		Depth to saturated zone	0.07	Depth to saturated zone	0.03
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Slow water movement	0.43	Slow water movement	0.43
		Depth to saturated zone	0.07	Depth to saturated zone	0.03
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Slope	0.63	Slope	0.63
		Slow water movement	0.43	Slow water movement	0.43
		Depth to saturated zone	0.07	Depth to saturated zone	0.03
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Slow water movement	0.43	Slow water movement	0.43
		Depth to saturated zone	0.07	Depth to saturated zone	0.03
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Gravel	0.15	Gravel	0.15
Weikert-----	30	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to bedrock	1.00	Depth to bedrock	1.00
		Gravel	0.52	Gravel	0.52
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
Weikert-----	25	Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Depth to bedrock	1.00	Depth to bedrock	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 7.—Recreation, Part I (Camp and Picnic Areas)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Camp areas		Picnic areas	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Somewhat limited Gravel	0.12	Somewhat limited Gravel	0.12
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Very limited Slope Gravel	1.00 0.12	Very limited Slope Gravel	1.00 0.12
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Very limited Slope Large stones content	1.00 1.00	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00
1591152: Macove-----	65	Very limited Slope Large stones content	1.00 1.00	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00
Gilpin-----	25	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Very limited Slope Large stones content	1.00 0.76	Very limited Slope Large stones content	1.00 0.76
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Very limited Large stones content	1.00	Very limited Large stones content	1.00
Clymer-----	35	Very limited Large stones content	1.00	Very limited Large stones content	1.00
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00
Clymer-----	40	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 7.—Recreation, Part I (Camp and Picnic Areas)—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Camp areas		Picnic areas	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591181: Andover-----	90	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Depth to saturated zone	1.00
		Large stones content	0.53	Large stones content	0.53

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 7.-Recreation, Part II (Trail Management)

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Foot traffic and equestrian trails		Mountain bike and off-road vehicle trails	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Not limited		Not limited	
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to	1.00	Depth to	1.00
		saturated zone		saturated zone	
		Flooding	0.40	Flooding	0.40
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Depth to	0.22	Depth to	0.22
		saturated zone		saturated zone	
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Very limited		Very limited	
		Water erosion	1.00	Water erosion	1.00
		Depth to	0.22	Depth to	0.22
		saturated zone		saturated zone	
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Not limited		Not limited	
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Not limited		Not limited	
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Somewhat limited		Not limited	
		Slope	0.50		
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Very limited		Somewhat limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	0.78
Gilpin-----	40	Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Depth to	0.94	Depth to	0.94
		saturated zone		saturated zone	
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Very limited		Very limited	
		Water erosion	1.00	Water erosion	1.00
		Depth to	0.94	Depth to	0.94
		saturated zone		saturated zone	
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to	1.00	Depth to	1.00
		saturated zone		saturated zone	
		Water erosion	1.00	Water erosion	1.00
		Slope	0.50		

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 7.-Recreation, Part II (Trail Management)-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Foot traffic and equestrian trails	Value	Mountain bike and off-road vehicle trails	Value
		Rating class and limiting features		Rating class and limiting features	
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Not limited		Not limited	
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Not limited		Not limited	
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Very limited Water erosion	1.00	Very limited Water erosion	1.00
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Very limited Water erosion Slope	1.00 0.50	Very limited Water erosion	1.00
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Not limited		Not limited	
Weikert-----	30	Not limited		Not limited	
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00
Weikert-----	25	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Not limited		Not limited	
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Somewhat limited Slope	0.50	Not limited	
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 0.22
1591152: Macove-----	65	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 1.00
Gilpin-----	25	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 7.-Recreation, Part II (Trail Management)-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Foot traffic and equestrian trails	Value	Mountain bike and off-road vehicle trails	Value
		Rating class and limiting features		Rating class and limiting features	
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Somewhat limited Large stones content Slope	0.76 0.08	Somewhat limited Large stones content	0.76
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Very limited Large stones content	1.00	Very limited Large stones content	1.00
Clymer-----	35	Very limited Large stones content	1.00	Very limited Large stones content	1.00
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 0.08	Very limited Large stones content	1.00
Clymer-----	40	Very limited Large stones content Slope	1.00 0.08	Very limited Large stones content	1.00
1591181: Andover-----	90	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Large stones content	1.00 0.53	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Large stones content	1.00 0.53

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 8.—Dwellings and Small Commercial Buildings

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Dwellings without basements		Dwellings with basements		Small commercial buildings	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.24	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	1.00 1.00	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.24
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	1.00 1.00	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	1.00 1.00	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	1.00 1.00
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Depth to thin cemented pan	0.90 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Slope	0.90 0.50
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Depth to thin cemented pan	0.90 0.63 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slope	1.00 0.63	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.90
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Somewhat limited Depth to hard bedrock	0.42	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock	1.00	Somewhat limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	0.50 0.42
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Somewhat limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	0.63 0.42	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope	1.00 0.63	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.42
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00
Gilpin-----	40	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 8.—Dwellings and Small Commercial Buildings—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Dwellings without basements		Dwellings with basements		Small commercial buildings	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	1.00 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.50 0.02	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell Slope	1.00 0.50 0.12
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Shrink-swell	1.00 0.63 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Shrink-swell Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.63 0.50 0.02	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	1.00 1.00 0.50
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	1.00 1.00 0.50	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00 0.50 0.02	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	1.00 1.00 0.50
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Somewhat limited Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	0.50 0.07	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	1.00 0.50	Somewhat limited Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	0.50 0.07
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Somewhat limited Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	0.50 0.07	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	1.00 0.50	Somewhat limited Shrink-swell Slope Depth to saturated zone	0.50 0.12 0.07
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Somewhat limited Slope Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	0.63 0.50 0.07	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Shrink-swell	1.00 0.63 0.50	Very limited Slope Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.50 0.07
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Very limited Slope Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.50 0.07	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	1.00 1.00 0.50	Very limited Slope Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.50 0.07
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Somewhat limited Depth to hard bedrock	0.42	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock	1.00	Somewhat limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	0.50 0.42
Weikert-----	30	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock	1.00	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock	1.00	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope	1.00 0.50

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 8.—Dwellings and Small Commercial Buildings—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Dwellings without basements		Dwellings with basements		Small commercial buildings	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46
Weikert-----	25	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Not limited		Somewhat limited Depth to hard bedrock	0.01	Somewhat limited Slope	0.28
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.01	Very limited Slope	1.00
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell Depth to thin cemented pan	1.00 0.50 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	1.00 0.50
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell Depth to thin cemented pan	1.00 0.50 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell Slope	1.00 0.50 0.12
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Very limited Slope Depth to thin cemented pan Large stones	1.00 0.50 0.03	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Large stones	1.00 1.00 0.03	Very limited Slope Large stones	1.00 0.03
1591152: Macove-----	65	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope	1.00
Gilpin-----	25	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.08	Very limited Slope	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 8.—Dwellings and Small Commercial Buildings—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Dwellings without basements		Dwellings with basements		Small commercial buildings	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591154: Gilpin-----	20	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope	1.00 1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.46
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Not limited		Somewhat limited Depth to hard bedrock	0.01	Not limited	
Clymer-----	35	Not limited		Somewhat limited Depth to hard bedrock	0.32	Not limited	
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.01	Very limited Slope	1.00
Clymer-----	40	Very limited Slope	1.00	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.32	Very limited Slope	1.00
1591181: Andover-----	90	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Depth to thin cemented pan	1.00 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 9.—Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Landscaping

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Local roads and streets		Shallow excavations		Landscaping	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Very limited Flooding Frost action Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.50 0.12	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls Flooding	1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60	Somewhat limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	0.60 0.12
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Frost action Flooding	1.00 1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls Flooding	1.00 1.00 1.00 0.80	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone	1.00 1.00
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	0.60	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls	1.00 0.10	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	0.60
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Somewhat limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Frost action	0.63 0.60 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Unstable excavation walls	1.00 0.63 0.10	Somewhat limited Slope Depth to saturated zone	0.63 0.60
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Somewhat limited Frost action Depth to hard bedrock	0.50 0.42	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Unstable excavation walls	1.00 0.10	Somewhat limited Depth to bedrock Gravel Large stones	0.42 0.15 0.05
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Somewhat limited Slope Frost action Depth to hard bedrock	0.63 0.50 0.42	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Unstable excavation walls	1.00 0.63 0.10	Somewhat limited Slope Depth to bedrock Gravel Large stones	0.63 0.42 0.15 0.05
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Very limited Slope Frost action Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.50 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Unstable excavation walls	1.00 1.00 1.00 0.10	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Gravel Large stones	1.00 0.46 0.15 0.05

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 9.—Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Landscaping—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Local roads and streets		Shallow excavations		Landscaping	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Very limited Slope Frost action Low strength	 1.00 0.50 0.22	Very limited Slope Unstable excavation walls	 1.00 0.10	Not rated	
Gilpin-----	40	Very limited Slope Frost action Depth to hard bedrock	 1.00 0.50 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Unstable excavation walls	 1.00 1.00 0.10	Not rated	
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Very limited Frost action Low strength Depth to saturated zone Shrink-swell	 1.00 1.00 0.98 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls Depth to hard bedrock	 1.00 0.10 0.02	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Large stones	 0.98 0.05
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Very limited Frost action Low strength Depth to saturated zone Slope Shrink-swell	 1.00 1.00 0.98 0.63 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Unstable excavation walls Depth to hard bedrock	 1.00 0.63 0.10 0.02	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Large stones	 0.98 0.63 0.05
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Frost action Low strength Shrink-swell	 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.50	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls Depth to hard bedrock	 1.00 1.00 0.10 0.02	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone	 1.00 1.00
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Very limited Frost action Low strength Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	 1.00 1.00 0.50 0.03	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls	 1.00 0.10	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	 0.03
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Very limited Frost action Low strength Shrink-swell Depth to saturated zone	 1.00 1.00 0.50 0.03	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls	 1.00 0.10	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	 0.03

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 9.—Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Landscaping—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Local roads and streets		Shallow excavations		Landscaping	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Very limited		Very limited		Somewhat limited	
		Frost action	1.00	Depth to	1.00	Slope	0.63
		Low strength	1.00	saturated zone		Depth to	0.03
		Slope	0.63	Slope	0.63	saturated zone	
		Shrink-swell	0.50	Unstable	0.10	Large stones	0.01
		Depth to	0.03	excavation walls			
		saturated zone					
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Frost action	1.00	Depth to	1.00	Depth to	0.03
		Low strength	1.00	saturated zone		saturated zone	
		Shrink-swell	0.50	Unstable	0.10	Large stones	0.01
		Depth to	0.03	excavation walls			
		saturated zone					
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Somewhat limited		Very limited		Somewhat limited	
		Frost action	0.50	Depth to hard	1.00	Depth to bedrock	0.42
		Depth to hard	0.42	bedrock		Gravel	0.15
		bedrock		Unstable	0.10	Large stones	0.05
				excavation walls			
Weikert-----	30	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to hard	1.00	Depth to hard	1.00	Depth to bedrock	1.00
		bedrock		bedrock		Droughty	1.00
		Frost action	0.50	Unstable	0.10	Gravel	0.52
				excavation walls		Large stones	0.08
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Very limited		Very limited		Not rated	
		Slope	1.00	Depth to hard	1.00		
		Frost action	0.50	bedrock			
		Depth to hard	0.46	Slope	1.00		
		bedrock		Unstable	0.10		
				excavation walls			
Weikert-----	25	Very limited		Very limited		Not rated	
		Depth to hard	1.00	Depth to hard	1.00		
		bedrock		bedrock			
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00		
		Frost action	0.50	Unstable	0.50		
				excavation walls			
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Frost action	0.50	Unstable	0.10	Gravel	0.12
				excavation walls		Droughty	0.08
				Depth to hard	0.01		
				bedrock			
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Frost action	0.50	Unstable	0.10	Gravel	0.12
				excavation walls		Droughty	0.08
				Depth to hard	0.01		
				bedrock			

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 9.—Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Landscaping—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Local roads and streets	Value	Shallow excavations	Value	Landscaping	Value
		Rating class and limiting features		Rating class and limiting features		Rating class and limiting features	
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Frost action Low strength Shrink-swell	1.00 1.00 0.78 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls	1.00 1.00 0.10	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Frost action Low strength Shrink-swell	1.00 1.00 0.78 0.50	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls	1.00 1.00 0.10	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	1.00
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Very limited Slope Frost action Large stones	1.00 0.50 0.03	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls Large stones	1.00 1.00 0.10 0.03	Not rated	
1591152: Macove-----	65	Very limited Slope Frost action	1.00 0.50	Very limited Slope Unstable excavation walls	1.00 0.10	Not rated	
Gilpin-----	25	Very limited Slope Frost action Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.50 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Unstable excavation walls	1.00 1.00 0.10	Not rated	
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Very limited Slope Frost action	1.00 0.50	Very limited Slope Unstable excavation walls Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.10 0.08	Not rated	
Gilpin-----	20	Very limited Slope Frost action Depth to hard bedrock Low strength	1.00 0.50 0.46 0.22	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Unstable excavation walls	1.00 1.00 0.10	Not rated	
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Somewhat limited Frost action	0.50	Somewhat limited Unstable excavation walls Depth to hard bedrock	0.10 0.01	Not rated	

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 9.—Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Landscaping—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Local roads and streets		Shallow excavations		Landscaping	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591168: Clymer-----	35	Somewhat limited Frost action	0.50	Somewhat limited Depth to hard bedrock Unstable excavation walls	0.32 0.10	Not rated	
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Very limited Slope Frost action	1.00 0.50	Very limited Slope Unstable excavation walls Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.10 0.01	Not rated	
Clymer-----	40	Very limited Slope Frost action	1.00 0.50	Very limited Slope Depth to hard bedrock Unstable excavation walls	1.00 0.32 0.10	Not rated	
1591181: Andover-----	90	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Frost action	1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Unstable excavation walls	1.00 1.00	Not rated	

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 10.—Sewage Disposal

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Septic tank absorption fields		Sewage lagoons	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone Seepage, bottom layer Slow water movement	 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.46	Very limited Flooding Seepage Depth to saturated zone	 1.00 1.00 1.00
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone Seepage, bottom layer Slow water movement	 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.78	Very limited Flooding Depth to saturated zone Seepage	 1.00 1.00 1.00
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement	 1.00 1.00	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Seepage	 0.98 0.92 0.28
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Slope	 1.00 1.00 0.63	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Seepage	 1.00 0.98 0.28
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slow water movement	 1.00 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	 1.00 0.92 0.53
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slope Slow water movement	 1.00 0.63 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	 1.00 1.00 0.53
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slope Slow water movement	 1.00 1.00 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	 1.00 1.00 0.53

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 10.—Sewage Disposal—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Septic tank absorption fields		Sewage lagoons	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Very limited Slope Seepage, bottom layer Slow water movement	 1.00 1.00 0.46	Very limited Slope Seepage	 1.00 1.00
Gilpin-----	40	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slope Slow water movement	 1.00 1.00 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	 1.00 1.00 0.53
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Depth to bedrock	 1.00 1.00 0.38	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Depth to hard bedrock	 1.00 0.68 0.02
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Slope Depth to bedrock	 1.00 1.00 0.63 0.38	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Depth to hard bedrock	 1.00 1.00 0.02
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Slope Depth to bedrock	 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.38	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone Depth to hard bedrock	 1.00 1.00 0.02
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Depth to bedrock	 1.00 1.00 0.01	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	 0.44
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Depth to bedrock	 1.00 1.00 0.01	Somewhat limited Slope Depth to saturated zone	 0.68 0.44

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 10.—Sewage Disposal—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Septic tank absorption fields		Sewage lagoons	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Slope Depth to bedrock	1.00 1.00 0.63 0.01	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.44
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Slope Depth to bedrock	1.00 1.00 1.00 0.01	Very limited Slope Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.44
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slow water movement	1.00 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	1.00 0.92 0.53
Weikert-----	30	Very limited Depth to bedrock Seepage, bottom layer	1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Seepage Slope	1.00 1.00 0.92
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slope Slow water movement	1.00 1.00 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	1.00 1.00 0.53
Weikert-----	25	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slope Seepage, bottom layer	1.00 1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	1.00 1.00 1.00
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Very limited Seepage, bottom layer Filtering capacity Depth to bedrock	1.00 1.00 0.34	Very limited Seepage Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.82 0.01
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Very limited Slope Seepage, bottom layer Filtering capacity Depth to bedrock	1.00 1.00 1.00 0.34	Very limited Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 1.00 0.01

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 10.—Sewage Disposal—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Septic tank absorption fields		Sewage lagoons	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement	1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Seepage	1.00 0.28
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement	1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slope Seepage	1.00 0.68 0.28
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Slow water movement Slope Large stones	1.00 1.00 1.00 0.03	Very limited Slope Large stones Seepage Depth to saturated zone	1.00 0.80 0.28 0.19
1591152: Macove-----	65	Very limited Slope Seepage, bottom layer	1.00 1.00	Very limited Slope Seepage	1.00 1.00
Gilpin-----	25	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slope Slow water movement	1.00 1.00 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	1.00 1.00 0.53
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Slow water movement	1.00 0.50 0.46	Very limited Slope Seepage Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.53 0.08
Gilpin-----	20	Very limited Depth to bedrock Slope Slow water movement	1.00 1.00 0.46	Very limited Depth to hard bedrock Slope Seepage	1.00 1.00 0.53
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Very limited Seepage, bottom layer Filtering capacity Depth to bedrock	1.00 1.00 0.34	Very limited Seepage Slope Large stones Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.32 0.09 0.01
Clymer-----	35	Very limited Seepage, bottom layer Depth to bedrock	1.00 0.72	Very limited Seepage Slope Depth to hard bedrock	1.00 0.32 0.32

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 10.—Sewage Disposal—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Septic tank	Sewage lagoons		
		absorption fields	Rating class and	Rating class and	Value
		limiting features	Value	limiting features	
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Very limited		Very limited	
		Seepage, bottom	1.00	Slope	1.00
		layer		Seepage	1.00
		Filtering	1.00	Large stones	0.09
		capacity		Depth to hard	0.01
		Slope	1.00	bedrock	
		Depth to bedrock	0.34		
Clymer-----	40	Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Seepage, bottom	1.00	Seepage	1.00
		layer		Depth to hard	0.32
		Depth to bedrock	0.72	bedrock	
1591181: Andover-----	90	Very limited		Very limited	
		Depth to	1.00	Depth to	1.00
		saturated zone		saturated zone	
		Slow water	1.00	Seepage	0.53
		movement		Slope	0.32

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 11.—Source of Gravel and Sand

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The ratings given for the thickest layer are for the thickest layer above and excluding the bottom layer. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.00 to 0.99. The greater the value, the greater the likelihood that the bottom layer or thickest layer of the soil is a source of sand or gravel. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Gravel source		Sand source	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Fair		Fair	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.57	Bottom layer	0.08
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Fair		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.25	Thickest layer	0.00
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Fair		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.25	Thickest layer	0.00
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Fair		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.25	Thickest layer	0.00
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00
Gilpin-----	40	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.25	Organic matter content	0.00
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 11.—Source of Gravel and Sand—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Gravel source		Sand source	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Fair		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.25	Thickest layer	0.00
Weikert-----	30	Fair		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.12	Thickest layer	0.00
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.25	Organic matter content	0.00
Weikert-----	25	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.12	Organic matter content	0.00
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Poor		Fair	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.05
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Poor		Fair	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.05

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 11.—Source of Gravel and Sand—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Gravel source		Sand source	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00
1591152: Macove-----	65	Poor		Poor	
		Organic matter content	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00
Gilpin-----	25	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.25	Organic matter content	0.00
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00
Gilpin-----	20	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.25	Organic matter content	0.00
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Poor		Poor	
		Organic matter content	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.02
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.05
Clymer-----	35	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 11.—Source of Gravel and Sand—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Gravel source		Sand source	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Poor		Poor	
		Organic matter content	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.02
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.05
Clymer-----	40	Poor		Poor	
		Thickest layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Bottom layer	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00
1591181: Andover-----	90	Poor		Poor	
		Bottom layer	0.00	Bottom layer	0.00
		Thickest layer	0.00	Thickest layer	0.00
		Organic matter content	0.00	Organic matter content	0.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 12.—Source of Reclamation Material, Roadfill, and Topsoil

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.00 to 0.99. The smaller the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Source of reclamation material		Roadfill source		Topsoil source	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Fair		Fair		Poor	
		Too acid	0.50	Wetness	0.62	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Wetness	0.62
		Water erosion	0.99			Too acid	0.92
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.46	Wetness	0.00	Wetness	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.32
						Rock fragments	0.88
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Fair		Fair		Fair	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Wetness	0.22	Wetness	0.22
		Too acid	0.32			Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.39
		Water erosion	0.90			Too acid	0.88
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Fair		Fair		Fair	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Wetness	0.22	Wetness	0.22
		Too acid	0.32			Slope	0.37
		Water erosion	0.90			Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.39
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.50	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Depth to bedrock	0.58
		Droughty	0.56			Too acid	0.88
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.50	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Slope	0.37
		Droughty	0.56			Depth to bedrock	0.58
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Droughty	0.39	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Slope	0.00
		Too acid	0.50	Slope	0.50	Rock fragments	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Depth to bedrock	0.54
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.01	Slope	0.00	Slope	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.12			Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.02
						Rock fragments	0.03

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 12.—Source of Reclamation Material, Roadfill, and Topsoil—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Source of reclamation material		Roadfill source		Topsoil source	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591036: Gilpin-----	40	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Droughty	0.12	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Slope	0.00
		Too acid	0.50	Slope	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Depth to bedrock	0.54
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Fair		Poor		Fair	
		Too clayey	0.18	Low strength	0.00	Wetness	0.01
		Too acid	0.32	Wetness	0.01	Too clayey	0.12
		Low content of organic matter	0.50	Shrink-swell	0.87	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.24
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Fair		Poor		Fair	
		Too clayey	0.18	Low strength	0.00	Wetness	0.01
		Too acid	0.32	Wetness	0.01	Too clayey	0.12
		Low content of organic matter	0.50	Shrink-swell	0.87	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.24
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too clayey	0.18	Wetness	0.00	Wetness	0.00
		Too acid	0.32	Low strength	0.00	Slope	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50	Slope	0.50	Too clayey	0.12
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.20	Low strength	0.00	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50	Wetness	0.76	Too clayey	0.60
		Too clayey	0.92	Shrink-swell	0.87	Wetness	0.76
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.20	Low strength	0.00	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50	Wetness	0.76	Too clayey	0.60
		Too clayey	0.92	Shrink-swell	0.87	Wetness	0.76
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Fair		Poor		Fair	
		Too acid	0.20	Low strength	0.00	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.02
		Low content of organic matter	0.50	Wetness	0.76	Slope	0.37
		Too clayey	0.92	Shrink-swell	0.87	Too clayey	0.60
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.20	Low strength	0.00	Slope	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50	Slope	0.50	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.02
		Too clayey	0.92	Wetness	0.76	Rock fragments	0.50
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.50	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Depth to bedrock	0.58
		Droughty	0.56			Too acid	0.88

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 12.—Source of Reclamation Material, Roadfill, and Topsoil—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Source of reclamation material		Roadfill source		Topsoil source	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591084: Weikert-----	30	Poor		Poor		Poor	
		Droughty	0.00	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
		Depth to bedrock	0.00	Cobble content	0.95	Depth to bedrock	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.12			Too acid	0.88
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Droughty	0.15	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Slope	0.00
		Too acid	0.50	Slope	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Depth to bedrock	0.54
Weikert-----	25	Poor		Poor		Poor	
		Droughty	0.00	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
		Depth to bedrock	0.00	Slope	0.00	Depth to bedrock	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Cobble content	0.97	Slope	0.00
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Fair		Fair		Poor	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Cobble content	0.96	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.00
		Too acid	0.50	Depth to bedrock	0.99	Rock fragments	0.00
		Droughty	0.66			Too acid	0.59
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Fair		Fair		Poor	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Slope	0.50	Slope	0.00
		Too acid	0.50	Cobble content	0.96	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.00
		Droughty	0.66	Depth to bedrock	0.99	Rock fragments	0.00
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Wetness	0.00	Wetness	0.00
		Too acid	0.54	Shrink-swell	0.99	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.32
		Water erosion	0.99			Rock fragments	0.79
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Wetness	0.00	Wetness	0.00
		Too acid	0.54	Shrink-swell	0.99	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.24
		Water erosion	0.99			Rock fragments	0.97
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.08	Slope	0.00	Slope	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50	Stones	0.21	Rock fragments	0.00
		Stone content	0.89	Wetness	0.89	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.50
1591152: Macove-----	65	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Too acid	0.01	Slope	0.00	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Rock fragments	0.00
		Stone content	0.77			Slope	0.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 12.—Source of Reclamation Material, Roadfill, and Topsoil—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Source of reclamation material		Roadfill source		Topsoil source	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591152: Gilpin-----	25	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Droughty	0.17	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Slope	0.00
		Too acid	0.50	Slope	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.50			Depth to bedrock	0.54
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Fair		Fair		Poor	
		Too acid	0.01	Depth to bedrock	0.92	Rock fragments	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Slope	0.92	Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.00
						Slope	0.00
Gilpin-----	20	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Depth to bedrock	0.00	Rock fragments	0.00
				Slope	0.50	Slope	0.00
		Droughty	0.14	Low strength	0.78	Depth to bedrock	0.54
		Too acid	0.50				
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Fair		Fair		Poor	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Cobble content	0.85	Hard to reclaim	0.00
		Too acid	0.50	Depth to bedrock	0.99	(rock fragments)	
		Droughty	0.51	Stones	0.99	Rock fragments	0.00
						Too acid	0.59
Clymer-----	35	Fair		Fair		Poor	
		Too acid	0.01	Depth to bedrock	0.68	Hard to reclaim	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.12			(rock fragments)	
		Droughty	0.98			Rock fragments	0.03
						Too acid	0.50
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Fair		Fair		Poor	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Cobble content	0.85	Hard to reclaim	0.00
		Too acid	0.50	Slope	0.92	(rock fragments)	
		Droughty	0.51	Depth to bedrock	0.99	Rock fragments	0.00
						Slope	0.00
Clymer-----	40	Fair		Fair		Poor	
		Too acid	0.01	Depth to bedrock	0.68	Hard to reclaim	0.00
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Slope	0.92	(rock fragments)	0.00
		Droughty	0.98			Slope	
						Rock fragments	0.03
1591181: Andover-----	90	Fair		Poor		Poor	
		Low content of organic matter	0.12	Wetness	0.00	Wetness	0.00
		Too acid	0.50			Rock fragments	0.12
						Hard to reclaim (rock fragments)	0.68

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 13.—Ponds and Embankments

(Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the limitation. See text for further explanation of ratings in this table)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Pond reservoir areas		Embankments, dikes, and levees		Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591024: Philo-----	85	Very limited Seepage	1.00	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone	0.99	Very limited Unstable excavation walls	1.00
1591025: Atkins-----	75	Very limited Seepage	1.00	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	1.00 1.00	Very limited Unstable excavation walls	1.00
1591026: Ernest-----	85	Somewhat limited Slope Seepage	0.68 0.54	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	1.00 0.81	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591027: Ernest-----	85	Very limited Slope Seepage	1.00 0.54	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	1.00 0.81	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591030: Gilpin-----	85	Somewhat limited Depth to bedrock Seepage Slope	0.85 0.72 0.68	Somewhat limited Thin layer	0.85	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591031: Gilpin-----	85	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Seepage	1.00 0.85 0.72	Somewhat limited Thin layer	0.85	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591032: Gilpin-----	85	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Seepage	1.00 0.86 0.72	Somewhat limited Thin layer	0.86	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591036: Shelocta-----	50	Very limited Slope Seepage	1.00 1.00	Somewhat limited Piping	0.98	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
Gilpin-----	40	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Seepage	1.00 0.86 0.72	Somewhat limited Thin layer	0.86	Very limited Depth to water	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 13.—Ponds and Embankments—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Pond reservoir areas		Embankments, dikes, and levees		Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591066: Cavode-----	85	Somewhat limited Slope Seepage	0.32 0.07	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	1.00 0.94	Somewhat limited Slow refill Unstable excavation walls Depth to hard bedrock	0.93 0.50 0.02
1591067: Cavode-----	85	Very limited Slope Seepage	1.00 0.07	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	1.00 0.94	Somewhat limited Slow refill Unstable excavation walls Depth to hard bedrock	0.93 0.50 0.02
1591068: Cavode-----	80	Very limited Slope Seepage	1.00 0.07	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	1.00 0.94	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591072: Wharton-----	80	Somewhat limited Seepage	0.12	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	0.95 0.17	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591073: Wharton-----	80	Somewhat limited Slope Seepage	0.32 0.12	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	0.95 0.17	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591074: Wharton-----	75	Very limited Slope Seepage	1.00 0.12	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	0.95 0.17	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591075: Wharton-----	75	Very limited Slope Seepage	1.00 0.12	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	0.95 0.17	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
1591084: Gilpin-----	60	Somewhat limited Depth to bedrock Seepage Slope	0.85 0.72 0.68	Somewhat limited Thin layer	0.85	Very limited Depth to water	1.00
Weikert-----	30	Very limited Depth to bedrock Seepage Slope	1.00 1.00 0.68	Very limited Seepage Thin layer	1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to water	1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 13.—Ponds and Embankments—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Pond reservoir areas		Embankments, dikes, and levees		Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591087: Gilpin-----	60	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Seepage	 1.00 0.86 0.72	Somewhat limited Thin layer	 0.86	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
Weikert-----	25	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Seepage	 1.00 1.00 1.00	Very limited Seepage Thin layer	 1.00 1.00	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591095: Hazleton-----	80	Very limited Seepage Slope	 1.00 0.50	Somewhat limited Seepage	 0.25	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591097: Hazleton-----	85	Very limited Seepage Slope	 1.00 1.00	Somewhat limited Seepage	 0.25	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591110: Brinkerton-----	85	Somewhat limited Seepage	 0.54	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	 1.00 0.98	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591111: Brinkerton-----	80	Somewhat limited Seepage Slope	 0.54 0.32	Very limited Depth to saturated zone Piping	 1.00 0.98	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591133: Laidig-----	75	Very limited Slope Seepage	 1.00 0.54	Somewhat limited Depth to saturated zone Large stones	 0.86 0.03	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591152: Macove-----	65	Very limited Seepage Slope	 1.00 1.00	Somewhat limited Seepage	 0.78	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
Gilpin-----	25	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Seepage	 1.00 0.86 0.72	Somewhat limited Thin layer	 0.86	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591154: Rayne-----	70	Very limited Slope Seepage Depth to bedrock	 1.00 0.72 0.02	Somewhat limited Thin layer	 0.02	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
Gilpin-----	20	Very limited Slope Depth to bedrock Seepage	 1.00 0.86 0.72	Somewhat limited Thin layer	 0.86	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 13.—Ponds and Embankments—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Pond reservoir areas		Embankments, dikes, and levees		Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
1591168: Hazleton-----	50	Very limited Seepage Slope	 1.00 0.08	Somewhat limited Seepage	 0.26	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
Clymer-----	35	Very limited Seepage Slope Depth to bedrock	 1.00 0.08 0.08	Somewhat limited Thin layer	 0.08	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591169: Hazleton-----	45	Very limited Seepage Slope	 1.00 1.00	Somewhat limited Seepage	 0.26	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
Clymer-----	40	Very limited Seepage Slope Depth to bedrock	 1.00 1.00 0.08	Somewhat limited Thin layer	 0.08	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00
1591181: Andover-----	90	Somewhat limited Seepage Slope	 0.72 0.08	Very limited Depth to saturated zone	 1.00	Very limited Depth to water	 1.00

Table 14.-Engineering Properties

(Absence of an entry indicates that data were not estimated)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591024: Philo-----	0-7	Loam	ML, CL-ML	A-4	0	0-5	95-100	80-100	75-90	60-80	20-35	1-10
	7-38	Sandy loam, loam, silt loam	SM, ML, CL-ML	A-4	0	0-5	95-100	75-100	70-90	45-80	20-35	1-10
	38-62	Very gravelly sand, extremely gravelly loamy sand	ML, SM, CL-ML, GM	A-4, A-2	0-5	0-40	25-95	20-90	17-85	13-80	15-30	1-12
1591025: Atkins-----	0-7	Silt loam	ML, CL-ML, CL	A-6, A-4	0	0	89-100	74-100	65-100	55-87	20-40	3-20
	7-48	Sandy loam, silt loam, silty clay loam	ML, CL	A-6, A-4	0	0-5	89-100	74-100	65-100	57-94	20-40	3-20
	48-60	Sandy loam, stratified gravelly sandy loam to silty clay loam, gravelly loam	ML, SM, CL, GM	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-16	67-100	33-100	25-99	16-74	20-40	1-15
1591026: Ernest-----	0-8	Silt loam	CL-ML, CL	A-6, A-4	0	0-11	84-100	64-100	58-100	48-85	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Channery silt loam, silt loam, silty clay loam	CL-ML, CL	A-7, A-6, A-4	0-8	0-24	79-100	79-100	72-100	63-95	25-50	6-22
	24-50	Silty clay loam, channery loam, channery silt loam	ML, SC-SM, CL, GM	A-7, A-6, A-4	0-5	0-17	75-97	40-97	36-97	30-84	20-45	4-18
	50-74	Silty clay loam, silt loam, channery silt loam	SC-SM, CL-ML, CL, GC	A-7, A-6, A-4	0-5	0-18	75-96	33-96	30-96	25-89	25-45	6-22
1591027: Ernest-----	0-8	Silt loam	CL-ML, CL	A-6, A-4	0	0-11	84-100	64-100	58-100	48-85	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Channery silt loam, silt loam, silty clay loam	CL-ML, CL	A-7, A-6, A-4	0-8	0-24	79-100	79-100	72-100	63-95	25-50	6-22
	24-50	Silty clay loam, channery loam, channery silt loam	ML, SC-SM, CL, GM	A-7, A-6, A-4	0-5	0-17	75-97	40-97	36-97	30-84	20-45	4-18
	50-74	Silty clay loam, silt loam, channery silt loam	SC-SM, CL-ML, CL, GC	A-7, A-6, A-4	0-5	0-18	75-96	33-96	30-96	25-89	25-45	6-22

Table 14.-Engineering Properties-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591030: Gilpin-----	0-8	Channery silt loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-18	50-90	45-85	35-75	30-70	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Silty clay loam, channery silt loam, channery loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-30	50-95	45-90	35-85	30-80	20-40	4-15
	24-30	Very channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam, very channery loam	GC-GM, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1, A-2	0	0-35	25-55	20-50	15-45	15-40	24-40	4-25
	30-35	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591031: Gilpin-----	0-8	Channery silt loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-18	50-90	45-85	35-75	30-70	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Silty clay loam, channery silt loam, channery loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-30	50-95	45-90	35-85	30-80	20-40	4-15
	24-30	Very channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam, very channery loam	GC-GM, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1, A-2	0	0-35	25-55	20-50	15-45	15-40	24-40	4-25
	30-35	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591032: Gilpin-----	0-8	Channery silt loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-18	50-90	45-85	35-75	30-70	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Silty clay loam, channery silt loam, channery loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-30	50-95	45-90	35-85	30-80	20-40	4-15
	24-30	Very channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam, very channery loam	GC-GM, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1, A-2	0	0-35	25-55	20-50	15-45	15-40	24-40	4-25
	30-35	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 14.—Engineering Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	<u>In</u>				<u>Pct</u>	<u>Pct</u>					<u>Pct</u>	
1591036: Shelocta-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-8	Channery silt loam	CL-ML	A-4	0-5	0-10	55-95	50-80	40-70	36-65	15-35	NP-10
	8-14	Channery silty clay loam, silt loam, channery silt loam	CL	A-6, A-4	0-5	0-10	55-95	50-95	45-95	40-90	25-40	4-15
	14-40	Channery silty clay loam, channery silt loam, very channery silt loam	SC, CL, CL-ML, GC	A-6, A-4	0-5	0-10	55-95	50-95	45-95	40-90	20-40	3-20
	40-80	Very channery clay loam, extremely channery clay loam, very channery loam	GM, ML, SC, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1-b, A-2	0-10	0-15	40-85	35-70	25-70	20-65	20-40	3-20
Gilpin-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-8	Channery silt loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-18	50-90	45-85	35-75	30-70	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Silty clay loam, channery silt loam, channery loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-30	50-95	45-90	35-85	30-80	20-40	4-15
	24-30	Very channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam, very channery loam	GC-GM, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1, A-2	0	0-35	25-55	20-50	15-45	15-40	24-40	4-25
	30-35	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591066: Cavode-----	0-10	Silty clay loam, silt loam	CL-ML, CL	A-4	0	0-17	85-100	85-100	74-100	65-95	20-40	4-15
	10-47	Clay, silty clay, silty clay loam	ML, CL-ML	A-7, A-6, A-4	0	0-15	83-100	82-100	70-100	65-100	25-50	4-20
	47-57	Channery silt loam, silty clay, channery silty clay loam	ML, GM	A-6, A-4	0-7	0-41	51-100	50-100	44-100	38-100	25-45	2-15
	57-61	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 14.—Engineering Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591067: Cavode-----	0-10	Silty clay loam, silt loam	CL-ML, CL	A-4	0	0-17	85-100	85-100	74-100	65-95	20-40	4-15
	10-47	Clay, silty clay, silty clay loam	ML, CL-ML	A-7, A-6, A-4	0	0-15	83-100	82-100	70-100	65-100	25-50	4-20
	47-57	Channery silt loam, silty clay, channery silty clay loam	ML, GM	A-6, A-4	0-7	0-41	51-100	50-100	44-100	38-100	25-45	2-15
	57-61	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591068: Cavode-----	0-10	Silty clay loam, silt loam	CL-ML, CL	A-4	0	0-5	90-100	80-100	80-95	75-95	20-40	4-15
	10-47	Clay, silty clay, silty clay loam	ML, CL-ML	A-7, A-6, A-4	0	0-5	85-100	80-100	80-95	70-95	25-50	4-20
	47-57	Channery silt loam, silty clay, channery silty clay loam	ML, GM	A-2, A-6, A-4	0	0-45	50-100	35-100	30-80	25-75	25-45	2-15
	57-61	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591072: Wharton-----	0-9	Silt loam	CL	A-6, A-4	0	0-5	95-100	90-100	80-95	70-90	27-43	9-17
	9-46	Channery silt loam, silty clay loam, channery clay loam, channery silty clay loam	CL	A-7, A-6	0	0-25	75-100	70-100	65-95	60-90	27-47	10-25
	46-69	Channery silty clay loam, channery clay, silt loam	GC-GM, CH	A-6, A-7, A-2, A-4	0	0-50	45-100	30-100	25-95	25-90	25-55	5-30
	69-75	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591073: Wharton-----	0-9	Silt loam	CL	A-6, A-4	0	0-5	95-100	90-100	80-95	70-90	27-43	9-17
	9-46	Channery silt loam, silty clay loam, channery clay loam, channery silty clay loam	CL	A-7, A-6	0	0-25	75-100	70-100	65-95	60-90	27-47	10-25
	46-69	Channery silty clay loam, channery clay, silt loam	GC-GM, CH	A-6, A-7, A-2, A-4	0	0-50	45-100	30-100	25-95	25-90	25-55	5-30
	69-75	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 14.-Engineering Properties-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591074: Wharton-----	0-9	Silt loam	CL	A-6, A-4	0	0-11	87-100	87-100	78-100	67-87	27-43	9-17
	9-46	Channery silt loam, silty clay loam, channery clay loam, channery silty clay loam	CL	A-7, A-6	0	0-24	76-100	47-100	39-100	34-92	27-47	10-25
	46-69	Channery silty clay loam, channery clay, silt loam	GC-GM, CH	A-7, A-6, A-4	0-16	0-43	52-100	51-100	46-100	40-100	25-55	5-30
	69-75	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591075: Wharton-----	0-9	Silt loam	CL	A-6, A-4	0	0-11	87-100	87-100	78-100	67-87	27-43	9-17
	9-46	Channery silt loam, silty clay loam, channery clay loam, channery silty clay loam	CL	A-7, A-6	0	0-24	76-100	47-100	39-100	34-92	27-47	10-25
	46-69	Channery silty clay loam, channery clay, silt loam	GC-GM, CH	A-7, A-6, A-4	0-16	0-43	52-100	51-100	46-100	40-100	25-55	5-30
	69-75	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591084: Gilpin-----	0-8	Channery silt loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-18	50-90	45-85	35-75	30-70	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Silty clay loam, channery silt loam, channery loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-30	50-95	45-90	35-85	30-80	20-40	4-15
	24-30	Very channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam, very channery loam	GC-GM, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1, A-2	0	0-35	25-55	20-50	15-45	15-40	24-40	4-25
	30-35	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Weikert-----	0-5	Channery silt loam	SM, ML, GM	A-4, A-2, A-1	0	0-20	35-80	35-70	25-65	20-55	30-40	4-10
	5-15	Gravelly loam, very channery silt loam, channery loam	GM, GC-GM	A-2, A-1	0-1	0-30	20-60	15-55	10-45	5-35	28-36	3-9
	15-18	Extremely channery silt loam, very channery silt loam, channery loam	GC-GM	A-2	0-4	0-40	15-60	10-55	5-45	5-35	24-38	9-19
	18-28	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 14.-Engineering Properties-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591087: Gilpin-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-8	Channery silt loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-18	50-90	45-85	35-75	30-70	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Silty clay loam, channery silt loam, channery loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-30	50-95	45-90	35-85	30-80	20-40	4-15
	24-30	Very channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam, very channery loam	GC-GM, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1, A-2	0	0-35	25-55	20-50	15-45	15-40	24-40	4-25
	30-35	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Weikert-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-5	Channery silt loam	SM, ML, GM	A-4, A-2, A-1	0	0-20	35-80	35-70	25-65	20-55	30-40	4-10
	5-15	Gravelly loam, very channery silt loam, channery loam	GM, GC-GM	A-2, A-1	0-1	0-30	20-60	15-55	10-45	5-35	28-36	3-9
	15-18	Extremely channery silt loam, very channery silt loam, channery loam	GC-GM	A-2	0-4	0-40	15-60	10-55	5-45	5-35	24-38	9-19
	18-28	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591095: Hazleton-----	0-10	Channery sandy loam, channery loam	SM, ML, GM	A-4, A-2	0-1	0-5	45-90	40-85	40-80	25-50	15-25	NP-8
	10-34	Very channery sandy loam, channery loam	SM, SC, GM	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-4	0-5	10-50	45-90	40-85	20-75	15-45	15-30	NP-8
	34-58	Extremely channery sandy loam, very channery sandy loam, very channery loamy sand	SC, SM, GC, GM	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-1-a	1-30	15-60	35-80	30-75	25-65	10-40	15-30	NP-8
	58-60	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 14.-Engineering Properties-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591097: Hazleton-----	0-10	Channery sandy loam, channery loam	SM, ML, GM	A-4, A-2	0-1	0-5	45-90	40-85	40-80	25-50	15-25	NP-8
	10-34	Very channery sandy loam, channery loam	SM, SC, GM	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-4	0-5	10-50	45-90	40-85	20-75	15-45	15-30	NP-8
	34-58	Extremely channery sandy loam, very channery sandy loam, very channery loamy sand	SC, SM, GC, GM	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-1-a	1-30	15-60	35-80	30-75	25-65	10-40	15-30	NP-8
	58-60	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591110: Brinkerton-----	0-8	Silt loam	ML	A-7-6, A-6, A-4	0	0-10	90-100	85-100	85-100	75-100	30-45	5-15
	8-21	Silt loam, silty clay loam	ML	A-7, A-6, A-4	0	0-10	90-100	85-100	85-100	65-100	30-51	5-15
	21-42	Channery silty clay loam, channery loam, silt loam	ML	A-7, A-6, A-4	0	0-10	75-100	60-100	60-100	55-100	30-45	5-15
	42-65	Channery silt loam, channery loam, silt loam	SC, CL	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-50	70-90	25-85	25-85	20-75	24-36	9-17
1591111: Brinkerton-----	0-8	Silt loam	ML	A-7-6, A-6, A-4	0	0-12	86-100	86-100	79-100	73-100	30-45	5-15
	8-21	Silt loam, silty clay loam	ML	A-7, A-6, A-4	0	0-16	81-100	80-100	71-100	68-100	30-51	5-15
	21-42	Channery silty clay loam, channery loam, silt loam	ML	A-7, A-6, A-4	0	0-21	73-100	72-100	65-100	61-100	30-45	5-15
	42-65	Channery silt loam, channery loam, silt loam	SC, CL	A-6, A-4	0-10	2-44	50-97	49-97	46-97	42-95	24-36	9-17

Table 14.-Engineering Properties-Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591133: Laidig-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	10-30	15-40	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	10-30	15-40	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-9	Gravelly loam	SC-SM, SM, ML, GC-GM	A-4	5-25	15-30	65-90	50-80	45-80	35-70	15-30	1-10
	9-34	Channery sandy loam, stony clay loam, stony loam	SC, SM, CL, ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0-25	5-20	70-95	50-90	40-80	20-70	15-40	2-18
	34-57	Channery sandy loam, very channery loam, cobbly loam	GC, GC-GM, SC, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0-10	5-20	50-90	40-85	30-80	15-70	15-35	2-16
	57-80	Channery sandy loam, stony sandy loam, very channery loam	GC-GM, SC-SM	A-6, A-4, A-2	0-10	5-20	50-90	40-85	30-80	15-70	---	---
1591152: Macove-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0-10	0-15	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0-5	0-15	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-10	Flaggy silt loam, channery silt loam	SM, GM	A-4, A-2-4	1-5	5-10	45-65	25-60	20-50	15-40	20-30	NP-7
	10-48	Channery silt loam, channery loam, very flaggy silt loam	SM, GM	A-4, A-2-4	0-10	5-10	45-65	25-60	20-50	15-40	20-30	NP-7
	48-75	Very channery silt loam, extremely stony loam, very flaggy loam	SM, GW-GM, GM	A-2, A-1-b	10-25	25-70	35-60	25-50	20-45	5-25	20-30	NP-7
Gilpin-----	0-0	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	0-1	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-8	Channery silt loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-18	50-90	45-85	35-75	30-70	20-40	4-15
	8-24	Silty clay loam, channery silt loam, channery loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-30	50-95	45-90	35-85	30-80	20-40	4-15
	24-30	Very channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam, very channery loam	GC-GM, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1, A-2	0	0-35	25-55	20-50	15-45	15-40	24-40	4-25
	30-35	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 14.—Engineering Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591154: Rayne-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0	0	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-8	Channery silt loam	SM, ML, GM	A-4	0-5	5-10	60-85	55-80	50-80	45-65	---	---
	8-47	Loam, channery silty clay loam, channery clay loam	CL, GM, ML, SC	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-15	60-95	55-85	40-85	30-60	20-40	2-15
	47-55	Channery sandy loam, channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam	ML, SM, GM, GP-GM	A-4, A-2, A-1	0	0-35	40-90	15-80	15-75	10-60	20-35	NP-10
	55-59	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Gilpin-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0-5	0-10	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-5	Silt loam	GC, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0-5	10-40	50-90	45-85	35-75	30-70	20-40	4-15
	5-24	Channery silt loam, channery loam, silty clay loam	GC-GM, SC, CL, CL-ML	A-6, A-4, A-2	0	0-30	50-95	45-90	35-85	30-80	20-40	4-15
	24-30	Channery loam, very channery silt loam, very channery silty clay loam	GC-GM, GC	A-4, A-6, A-1, A-2	0	0-35	25-55	20-50	15-45	15-40	20-40	4-15
	30-34	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591168: Hazleton-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	1-15	1-25	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	1-15	1-24	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-6	Channery loam, channery sandy loam	SM, ML, GM	A-2, A-4	1-10	5-20	45-90	40-85	40-80	25-50	20-35	2-10
	6-34	Channery loam, very channery sandy loam	SM, SC, GM	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-4	0-10	10-50	45-90	40-85	20-75	15-45	16-27	2-10
	34-58	Very channery loamy sand, very channery sandy loam, extremely channery sandy loam	SC, SM, GC, GM	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-1-a	5-30	15-60	35-80	30-75	25-65	10-40	0-26	NP-8
	58-60	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 14.—Engineering Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	In				Pct	Pct					Pct	
1591168: Clymer-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	1-15	1-25	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	1-15	1-24	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-9	Channery loam	SM, ML, GM	A-4, A-2-4	1-5	5-20	60-100	50-95	45-90	30-85	0-35	NP-15
	9-40	Channery clay loam, channery loam, sandy loam	ML, SM, SC, GM	A-1, A-4, A-2-4	0-5	5-20	60-95	50-95	45-85	20-60	2-35	NP-15
	40-51	Channery sandy loam, very channery loam	GP-GM, SM, SC, GM	A-1-a, A-4, A-1-b, A-2-4	1-10	10-30	30-75	25-70	20-60	5-40	0-25	NP-10
	51-62	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
1591169: Hazleton-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	1-15	1-25	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	1-15	1-24	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-6	Channery loam, channery sandy loam	SM, ML, GM	A-2, A-4	1-10	5-20	45-90	40-85	40-80	25-50	20-35	2-10
	6-34	Channery loam, very channery sandy loam	SM, SC, GM	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-4	0-10	10-50	45-90	40-85	20-75	15-45	16-27	2-10
	34-58	Very channery loamy sand, very channery sandy loam, extremely channery sandy loam	SC, SM, GC, GM	A-2-4, A-1-b, A-1-a	5-30	15-60	35-80	30-75	25-65	10-40	0-26	NP-8
	58-60	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Clymer-----	0-1	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	1-15	1-25	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-2	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	1-15	1-24	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2-9	Channery loam	SM, ML, GM	A-4, A-2-4	1-5	5-20	60-100	50-95	45-90	30-85	0-35	NP-15
	9-40	Channery clay loam, channery loam, sandy loam	ML, SM, SC, GM	A-1, A-4, A-2-4	0-5	5-20	60-95	50-95	45-85	20-60	2-35	NP-15
	40-51	Channery sandy loam, very channery loam	GP-GM, SM, SC, GM	A-1-a, A-4, A-1-b, A-2-4	1-10	10-30	30-75	25-70	20-60	5-40	0-25	NP-10
	51-62	Bedrock	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 14.—Engineering Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number--				Liquid limit	Plas- ticity index
			Unified	AASHTO	>10 in	3-10 in	4	10	40	200		
	<u>In</u>				<u>Pct</u>	<u>Pct</u>					<u>Pct</u>	
1591181: Andover-----	0-0	Slightly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0-10	0-10	---	---	---	---	---	---
	0-1	Moderately decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0-10	0-10	---	---	---	---	---	---
	1-3	Highly decomposed plant material	PT	A-8	0-10	0-10	---	---	---	---	---	---
	3-10	Cobbly loam	SC, SM, CL, ML	A-4, A-2	0-5	3-10	70-100	65-95	60-90	30-85	20-35	NP-10
	10-25	Loam, gravelly clay loam, cobbly sandy clay loam	CL, ML, SC, SM	A-4, A-2	0	0-25	80-95	65-85	60-80	30-60	20-35	2-10
	25-88	Loam, gravelly sandy loam, cobbly sandy clay loam	SC-SM, SM, CL-ML, ML	A-4, A-2	0	0-25	80-95	65-85	60-85	30-60	20-35	2-9

Table 15.—Physical Soil Properties

(Sand, silt, and clay values are shown either as a range or as a representative value. Absence of an entry indicates that data were not estimated. Soil properties are measured or inferred from direct observations in the field or laboratory)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk density	Permeability (Ksat)	Available water capacity	Shrink- swell potential	Organic matter
	In	Pct	Pct	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	Pct	Pct
1591024: Philo-----	0-7	47	43	8-18	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.14-0.20	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	7-38	60	30	8-18	1.30-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.20	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	38-62	80	14	4-18	1.30-1.60	2.0-6.0	0.06-0.10	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
1591025: Atkins-----	0-7	24	52	18-30	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.14-0.22	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	7-48	20	54	18-35	1.20-1.50	0.1-2.0	0.14-0.18	0.0-2.9	0.2-1.0
	48-60	39	37	10-35	1.20-1.50	0.2-6.0	0.08-0.18	0.0-2.9	0.2-0.5
1591026: Ernest-----	0-8	27	55	15-25	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.14-0.20	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	8-24	18	54	20-35	1.30-1.50	0.2-2.0	0.12-0.16	3.0-5.9	0.1-1.0
	24-50	24	51	18-30	1.40-1.70	0.1-0.6	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	50-74	26	51	18-35	1.30-1.60	0.1-0.3	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
1591027: Ernest-----	0-8	27	55	15-25	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.14-0.20	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	8-24	18	54	20-35	1.30-1.50	0.2-2.0	0.12-0.16	3.0-5.9	0.1-1.0
	24-50	24	51	18-30	1.40-1.70	0.1-0.6	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	50-74	26	51	18-35	1.30-1.60	0.1-0.3	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
1591030: Gilpin-----	0-8	26	53	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.20	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	8-24	23	51	15-34	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.18	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	24-30	26	48	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	30-35	---	---	---	---	0.2-2.0	---	---	---
1591031: Gilpin-----	0-8	26	53	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.20	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	8-24	23	51	15-34	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.18	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	24-30	26	48	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	30-35	---	---	---	---	0.2-2.0	---	---	---
1591032: Gilpin-----	0-8	26	53	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.19	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	8-24	23	51	15-34	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.17	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	24-30	26	48	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	30-35	---	---	---	---	0.2-2.0	---	---	---
1591036: Shelocta-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-8	29	54	10-25	1.15-1.30	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.18	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	8-14	24	50	18-35	1.30-1.55	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.20	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	14-40	24	50	18-35	1.30-1.55	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.20	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	40-80	36	40	15-35	1.30-1.55	0.6-6.0	0.08-0.16	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5

Table 15.—Physical Soil Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk density	Permeability (Ksat)	Available water capacity	Shrink- swell potential	Organic matter
	In	Pct	Pct	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	Pct	Pct
1591036: Gilpin-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-8	26	53	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.16	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	8-24	23	51	15-34	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.16	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	24-30	26	48	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	30-35	---	---	---	---	0.2-2.0	---	---	---
1591066: Cavode-----	0-10	13-25	45-70	15-34	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.18-0.22	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	10-47	1-27	30-70	24-69	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.2	0.10-0.14	3.0-5.9	0.1-1.0
	47-57	2-45	35-65	18-50	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.2	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	57-61	---	---	---	---	0.1-2.0	---	---	---
1591067: Cavode-----	0-10	13-25	45-70	15-34	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.18-0.22	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	10-47	1-27	30-70	24-69	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.2	0.10-0.14	3.0-5.9	0.1-1.0
	47-57	2-45	35-65	18-50	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.2	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	57-61	---	---	---	---	0.1-2.0	---	---	---
1591068: Cavode-----	0-10	13-25	45-70	15-34	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.18-0.22	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	10-47	1-27	30-70	24-69	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.2	0.10-0.14	3.0-5.9	0.1-1.0
	47-57	2-45	35-65	18-50	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.2	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	57-61	---	---	---	---	0.1-2.0	---	---	---
1591072: Wharton-----	0-9	22	58	15-25	1.10-1.30	0.6-2.0	0.16-0.20	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	9-46	17	51	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.6	0.12-0.16	3.0-5.9	0.3-1.0
	46-69	18	52	20-50	1.20-1.60	0.1-0.6	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	69-75	---	---	---	---	0.0-2.0	---	---	---
1591073: Wharton-----	0-9	22	58	15-25	1.10-1.30	0.6-2.0	0.16-0.20	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	9-46	17	51	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.6	0.12-0.16	3.0-5.9	0.3-1.0
	46-69	18	52	20-50	1.20-1.60	0.1-0.6	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	69-75	---	---	---	---	0.0-2.0	---	---	---
1591074: Wharton-----	0-9	22	58	15-25	1.10-1.30	0.6-2.0	0.16-0.20	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	9-46	17	51	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.6	0.12-0.16	3.0-5.9	0.3-1.0
	46-69	18	52	20-50	1.20-1.60	0.1-0.6	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	69-75	---	---	---	---	0.0-2.0	---	---	---
1591075: Wharton-----	0-9	22	58	15-25	1.10-1.30	0.6-2.0	0.16-0.20	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	9-46	17	51	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.1-0.6	0.12-0.16	3.0-5.9	0.3-1.0
	46-69	18	52	20-50	1.20-1.60	0.1-0.6	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	69-75	---	---	---	---	0.0-2.0	---	---	---

Table 15.--Physical Soil Properties--Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk density	Permeability (Ksat)	Available water capacity	Shrink- swell potential	Organic matter
	In	Pct	Pct	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	Pct	Pct
1591084:									
Gilpin-----	0-8	26	53	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.20	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	8-24	23	51	15-34	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.18	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	24-30	26	48	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	30-35	---	---	---	---	0.2-2.0	---	---	---
Weikert-----	0-5	27	54	13-26	1.20-1.40	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.14	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	5-15	26	53	16-28	1.30-1.50	2.0-6.0	0.04-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.1-0.8
	15-18	26	54	15-27	1.35-1.55	2.0-6.0	0.04-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	18-28	---	---	---	---	0.6-20.0	---	---	---
1591087:									
Gilpin-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-8	26	53	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.16	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	8-24	23	51	15-34	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.16	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	24-30	26	48	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	30-35	---	---	---	---	0.2-2.0	---	---	---
Weikert-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-5	27	54	13-26	1.20-1.40	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.14	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	5-15	26	53	16-28	1.30-1.50	2.0-6.0	0.04-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.1-0.8
	15-18	26	54	15-27	1.35-1.55	2.0-6.0	0.04-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	18-28	---	---	---	---	0.6-20.0	---	---	---
1591095:									
Hazleton-----	0-10	50-80	36	5-15	1.20-1.40	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.16	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	10-34	50-85	20-30	5-15	1.30-1.55	2.0-20.0	0.04-0.13	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	34-58	60-85	19	3-13	1.30-1.60	2.0-20.0	0.04-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	58-60	---	---	---	---	0.4-6.0	---	---	---
1591097:									
Hazleton-----	0-10	50-80	36	5-15	1.20-1.40	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.16	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	10-34	50-85	20-30	5-15	1.30-1.55	2.0-20.0	0.04-0.13	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	34-58	60-85	19	3-13	1.30-1.60	2.0-20.0	0.04-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	58-60	---	---	---	---	0.4-6.0	---	---	---
1591110:									
Brinkerton-----	0-8	11	67	15-30	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.18-0.24	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	8-21	7	63	20-40	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.14-0.18	3.0-5.9	0.1-1.0
	21-42	9	66	15-35	1.60-1.80	0.1-0.3	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	42-65	11	69	15-25	1.40-1.55	0.1-0.6	0.14-0.18	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
1591111:									
Brinkerton-----	0-8	11	67	15-30	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.18-0.24	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	8-21	7	63	20-40	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.14-0.18	3.0-5.9	0.1-1.0
	21-42	9	66	15-35	1.60-1.80	0.1-0.3	0.08-0.12	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5
	42-65	11	69	15-25	1.40-1.55	0.1-0.6	0.14-0.18	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5

Table 15.—Physical Soil Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk density	Permeability (Ksat)	Available water capacity	Shrink- swell potential	Organic matter
	In	Pct	Pct	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	Pct	Pct
1591133: Laidig-----	0-1	15-52	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	48-84
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-9	43	40	7-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	9-34	36	38	18-35	1.30-1.50	0.3-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.1-0.8
	34-57	45	37	10-35	1.50-1.70	0.1-0.6	0.06-0.10	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	57-80	55	30	8-35	1.40-1.70	0.1-0.6	0.06-0.10	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
1591152: Macove-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-10	29	54	10-25	1.20-1.40	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.14	0.0-2.9	0.5-3.0
	10-48	27	51	10-35	1.30-1.50	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.14	0.0-2.9	0.3-0.8
	48-75	42	38	10-30	1.30-1.60	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
Gilpin-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-8	26	53	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.16	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	8-24	23	51	15-34	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.16	0.0-2.9	0.1-1.0
	24-30	26	48	15-35	1.20-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	30-35	---	---	---	---	0.2-2.0	---	---	---
1591154: Rayne-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-8	27	54	10-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.16	0.0-2.9	2.0-5.0
	8-47	19	52	18-35	1.40-1.60	0.6-2.0	0.12-0.16	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	47-55	55	30	10-30	1.40-1.60	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.16	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	55-59	---	---	---	---	0.1-2.0	---	---	---
1591168: Hazleton-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-6	50-80	36	5-15	1.20-1.40	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.16	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	6-34	50-85	20-30	5-15	1.30-1.55	2.0-20.0	0.04-0.13	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	34-58	60-85	19	3-13	1.30-1.60	2.0-20.0	0.04-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	58-60	---	---	---	---	0.4-6.0	---	---	---
Clymer-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-9	42	37	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.16	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	9-40	39	37	18-30	1.40-1.60	0.6-6.0	0.08-0.16	0.0-2.9	0.1-0.5
	40-51	42	37	15-27	1.30-1.60	0.6-6.0	0.06-0.10	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	51-62	---	---	---	---	2.0-6.0	---	---	---

Table 15.—Physical Soil Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk density	Permeability (Ksat)	Available water capacity	Shrink- swell potential	Organic matter
	In	Pct	Pct	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	Pct	Pct
1591169: Hazleton-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-6	50-80	36	5-15	1.20-1.40	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.16	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0
	6-34	50-85	20-30	5-15	1.30-1.55	2.0-20.0	0.04-0.13	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	34-58	60-85	19	3-13	1.30-1.60	2.0-20.0	0.04-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	58-60	---	---	---	---	0.4-6.0	---	---	---
Clymer-----	0-1	14-48	0	0-0	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	16-52	0	0-0	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-9	42	37	15-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.10-0.16	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	9-40	39	37	18-30	1.40-1.60	0.6-6.0	0.08-0.16	0.0-2.9	0.1-0.5
	40-51	42	37	15-27	1.30-1.60	0.6-6.0	0.06-0.10	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	51-62	---	---	---	---	2.0-6.0	---	---	---
1591181: Andover-----	0-1	43	38	10-27	0.05-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.00-0.03	---	52-86
	1-2	43	38	10-27	0.10-0.20	5.9-20.0	0.03-0.08	---	48-84
	2-10	43	38	10-27	1.20-1.40	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.20	0.0-2.9	1.0-4.0
	10-25	56	18	18-35	1.30-1.60	0.6-2.0	0.08-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5
	25-88	60	21	18-35	1.40-1.70	0.1-0.2	0.06-0.10	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 16.—Erosion Properties

(Entries under "Erosion factors" apply to the entire profile. Entries under "Wind erodibility group" and "Wind erodibility index" apply only to the surface layer)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth (inches)	Erosion factors			Wind erodi- bility group	Wind erodi- bility index
		Kw	Kf	T		
1591024: Philo-----	0-7	.37	.37	5	5	56
	7-38	.32	.32			
	38-62	.24	.28			
1591025: Atkins-----	0-7	.32	.32	5	6	48
	7-48	.32	.32			
	48-60	.28	.32			
1591026: Ernest-----	0-8	.43	.43	3	5	56
	8-24	.32	.32			
	24-50	.32	.37			
	50-74	.32	.37			
1591027: Ernest-----	0-8	.43	.43	3	5	56
	8-24	.32	.32			
	24-50	.32	.37			
	50-74	.32	.37			
1591030: Gilpin-----	0-8	.24	.32	3	7	38
	8-24	.24	.28			
	24-30	.24	.32			
	30-35	---	---			
1591031: Gilpin-----	0-8	.24	.32	3	7	38
	8-24	.24	.28			
	24-30	.24	.32			
	30-35	---	---			
1591032: Gilpin-----	0-8	.24	.32	3	7	38
	8-24	.24	.28			
	24-30	.24	.32			
	30-35	---	---			
1591036: Shelocta-----	0-1	---	---	5	6	48
	1-2	---	---			
	2-8	.28	.32			
	8-14	.28	.32			
	14-40	.28	.32			
	40-80	.17	.28			
Gilpin-----	0-1	---	---	3	7	38
	1-2	---	---			
	2-8	.24	.32			
	8-24	.24	.28			
	24-30	.24	.32			
	30-35	---	---			
1591066: Cavode-----	0-10	.37	.37	4	6	48
	10-47	.24	.32			
	47-57	.24	.32			
	57-61	---	---			

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 16.—Erosion Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth (inches)	Erosion factors			Wind erodi- bility group	Wind erodi- bility index
		Kw	Kf	T		
1591067: Cavode-----	0-10	.37	.37	4	6	48
	10-47	.24	.32			
	47-57	.24	.32			
	57-61	---	---			
1591068: Cavode-----	0-10	.37	.37	4	6	48
	10-47	.24	.32			
	47-57	.24	.32			
	57-61	---	---			
1591072: Wharton-----	0-9	.37	.37	4	6	48
	9-46	.24	.28			
	46-69	.17	.24			
	69-75	---	---			
1591073: Wharton-----	0-9	.37	.37	4	6	48
	9-46	.24	.28			
	46-69	.17	.24			
	69-75	---	---			
1591074: Wharton-----	0-9	.37	.37	4	6	48
	9-46	.24	.28			
	46-69	.17	.24			
	69-75	---	---			
1591075: Wharton-----	0-9	.37	.37	4	6	48
	9-46	.24	.28			
	46-69	.17	.24			
	69-75	---	---			
1591084: Gilpin-----	0-8	.24	.32	3	7	38
	8-24	.24	.28			
	24-30	.24	.32			
	30-35	---	---			
Weikert-----	0-5	.24	.32	2	6	48
	5-15	.24	.28			
	15-18	.24	.28			
	18-28	---	---			
1591087: Gilpin-----	0-1	---	---	3	7	38
	1-2	---	---			
	2-8	.24	.32			
	8-24	.24	.28			
	24-30	.24	.32			
	30-35	---	---			
Weikert-----	0-1	---	---	2	6	48
	1-5	.24	.32			
	5-15	.24	.28			
	15-18	.24	.28			
	18-28	---	---			

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 16.—Erosion Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth (inches)	Erosion factors			Wind erodi- bility group	Wind erodi- bility index
		Kw	Kf	T		
1591095: Hazleton-----	0-10	.15	.24	3	5	56
	10-34	.15	.24			
	34-58	.15	.24			
	58-60	---	---			
1591097: Hazleton-----	0-10	.15	.24	3	5	56
	10-34	.15	.24			
	34-58	.15	.24			
	58-60	---	---			
1591110: Brinkerton-----	0-8	.32	.32	3	6	48
	8-21	.37	.37			
	21-42	.32	.37			
	42-65	.20	.28			
1591111: Brinkerton-----	0-8	.32	.32	3	6	48
	8-21	.37	.37			
	21-42	.32	.37			
	42-65	.20	.28			
1591133: Laidig-----	0-1	---	---	4	6	48
	1-2	---	---			
	2-9	.24	.32			
	9-34	.24	.28			
	34-57	.17	.20			
	57-80	.17	.20			
1591152: Macove-----	0-1	---	---	4	6	48
	1-2	---	---			
	2-10	.17	.28			
	10-48	.20	.28			
	48-75	.20	.32			
Gilpin-----	0-0	---	---	3	7	38
	0-1	---	---			
	1-8	.24	.32			
	8-24	.24	.28			
	24-30	.24	.32			
	30-35	---	---			
1591154: Rayne-----	0-1	---	---	4	6	48
	1-2	---	---			
	2-8	.15	.28			
	8-47	.20	.24			
	47-55	.20	.24			
	55-59	---	---			
Gilpin-----	0-1	---	---	3	7	38
	1-5	.24	.32			
	5-24	.24	.28			
	24-30	.24	.28			
	30-34	---	---			

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 16.—Erosion Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth (inches)	Erosion factors			Wind erodi- bility group	Wind erodi- bility index
		Kw	Kf	T		
1591168: Hazleton-----	0-1	---	---	3	5	56
	1-2	---	---			
	2-6	.15	.24			
	6-34	.15	.24			
	34-58	.15	.24			
	58-60	---	---			
Clymer-----	0-1	---	---	4	7	38
	1-2	---	---			
	2-9	.20	.24			
	9-40	.15	.17			
	40-51	.15	.20			
	51-62	---	---			
1591169: Hazleton-----	0-1	---	---	3	5	56
	1-2	---	---			
	2-6	.15	.24			
	6-34	.15	.24			
	34-58	.15	.24			
	58-60	---	---			
Clymer-----	0-1	---	---	4	7	38
	1-2	---	---			
	2-9	.20	.24			
	9-40	.15	.17			
	40-51	.15	.20			
	51-62	---	---			
1591181: Andover-----	0-0	---	---	4	6	48
	0-1	---	---			
	1-3	---	---			
	3-10	.17	.24			
	10-25	.17	.24			
	25-88	.17	.24			

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 17.—Total Soil Carbon

(This table displays soil organic carbon (SOC) and soil inorganic carbon (SIC) in kilograms per square meter to a depth of 2 meters or to the representative top depth of any kind of bedrock or any cemented soil horizon. SOC and SIC are reported on a volumetric whole soil basis, corrected for representative rock fragments indicated in the database. SOC is converted from horizon soil organic matter of the fraction of the soil less than 2 mm in diameter. If soil organic matter indicated in the database is NULL, SOC is assumed to be zero. SIC is converted from horizon calcium carbonate content fraction of the soil less than 2 mm in diameter. If horizon calcium carbonate indicated in the database is NULL, SIC is assumed to be zero. A weighted average of all horizons is used in the calculations. Only major components of a map unit are displayed in this table)

Map unit symbol, component name, and component percent	SOC	SIC
	kg/m ²	kg/m ²
1591024: Philo (85%)-----	7	0
1591025: Atkins (75%)-----	8	0
1591026: Ernest (85%)-----	7	0
1591027: Ernest (85%)-----	7	0
1591030: Gilpin (85%)-----	4	0
1591031: Gilpin (85%)-----	4	0
1591032: Gilpin (85%)-----	4	0
1591036: Shelocta (50%)-----	12	0
Gilpin (40%)-----	9	0
1591066: Cavode (85%)-----	9	0
1591067: Cavode (85%)-----	9	0
1591068: Cavode (80%)-----	9	0
1591072: Wharton (80%)-----	8	0
1591073: Wharton (80%)-----	7	0
1591074: Wharton (75%)-----	8	0

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 17.—Total Soil Carbon—Continued

Map unit symbol, component name, and component percent	SOC	SIC
	kg/m ²	kg/m ²
1591075: Wharton (75%)-----	8	0
1591084: Gilpin (60%)-----	4	0
Weikert (30%)-----	2	0
1591087: Gilpin (60%)-----	7	0
Weikert (25%)-----	3	0
1591095: Hazleton (80%)-----	6	0
1591097: Hazleton (85%)-----	6	0
1591110: Brinkerton (85%)-----	7	0
1591111: Brinkerton (80%)-----	7	0
1591133: Laidig (75%)-----	10	0
1591152: Macove (65%)-----	9	0
Gilpin (25%)-----	6	0
1591154: Rayne (70%)-----	10	0
Gilpin (20%)-----	4	0
1591168: Hazleton (50%)-----	8	0
Clymer (35%)-----	9	0
1591169: Hazleton (45%)-----	8	0
Clymer (40%)-----	9	0
1591181: Andover (90%)-----	15	0

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 18.—Chemical Soil Properties

(Absence of an entry indicates that data were not estimated)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Cation- exchange capacity	Effective cation- exchange capacity	Soil reaction
	In	meq/100 g	meq/100 g	pH
1591024: Philo-----	0-7	4.4-9.9	---	5.1-6.5
	7-38	---	2.1-6.9	4.5-6.0
	38-62	---	1.0-8.4	4.5-6.0
1591025: Atkins-----	0-7	---	4.5-8.7	4.5-5.8
	7-48	---	5.3-13.6	4.5-5.8
	48-60	---	2.9-13.6	4.1-5.8
1591026: Ernest-----	0-8	13.2-21.4	---	5.1-6.5
	8-24	---	9.9-17.9	4.5-5.5
	24-50	---	8.5-15.2	4.5-5.5
	50-74	---	8.5-17.5	4.5-5.5
1591027: Ernest-----	0-8	13.2-21.4	---	5.1-6.5
	8-24	---	9.9-17.9	4.5-5.5
	24-50	---	8.5-15.2	4.5-5.5
	50-74	---	8.5-17.5	4.5-5.5
1591030: Gilpin-----	0-8	8.1-14.7	---	4.5-6.5
	8-24	---	4.3-14.3	4.5-5.5
	24-30	---	4.6-18.1	4.5-5.5
	30-35	---	---	---
1591031: Gilpin-----	0-8	8.1-14.7	---	4.5-6.5
	8-24	---	4.3-14.3	4.5-5.5
	24-30	---	4.6-18.1	4.5-5.5
	30-35	---	---	---
1591032: Gilpin-----	0-8	8.1-14.7	---	4.5-6.5
	8-24	---	4.3-14.3	4.5-5.5
	24-30	---	4.6-18.1	4.5-5.5
	30-35	---	---	---
1591036: Shelocta-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.3-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-8	---	2.3-7.1	4.5-6.0
	8-14	---	5.3-14.7	4.5-6.0
	14-40	---	5.7-18.1	4.5-6.0
	40-80	---	4.6-18.1	4.5-5.5
Gilpin-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.3-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-8	8.2-14.7	---	5.1-6.5
	8-24	---	4.3-14.3	4.5-5.5
	24-30	---	4.6-18.1	4.5-5.5
	30-35	---	---	---

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 18.—Chemical Soil Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Cation- exchange capacity	Effective cation- exchange capacity	Soil reaction
	In	meq/100 g	meq/100 g	pH
1591066: Cavode-----	0-10	8.2-18.5	---	4.8-6.2
	10-47	---	7.3-32.2	4.5-5.5
	47-57	---	5.7-27.2	4.5-5.5
	57-61	---	---	---
1591067: Cavode-----	0-10	8.2-18.5	---	4.8-6.2
	10-47	---	7.3-32.2	4.5-5.5
	47-57	---	5.7-27.2	4.5-5.5
	57-61	---	---	---
1591068: Cavode-----	0-10	8.2-18.5	---	4.8-6.2
	10-47	---	7.3-32.2	4.5-5.5
	47-57	---	5.7-27.2	4.5-5.5
	57-61	---	---	---
1591072: Wharton-----	0-9	8.1-13.6	---	5.1-6.2
	9-46	---	4.3-13.3	4.0-5.5
	46-69	---	6.4-27.2	4.5-5.5
	69-75	---	---	---
1591073: Wharton-----	0-9	8.1-13.6	---	5.1-6.2
	9-46	---	4.3-13.3	4.0-5.5
	46-69	---	6.4-27.2	4.5-5.5
	69-75	---	---	---
1591074: Wharton-----	0-9	8.1-13.6	---	5.1-6.2
	9-46	---	4.3-13.3	4.0-5.5
	46-69	---	6.4-27.2	4.5-5.5
	69-75	---	---	---
1591075: Wharton-----	0-9	8.1-13.6	---	5.1-6.2
	9-46	---	4.3-13.3	4.0-5.5
	46-69	---	6.4-27.2	4.5-5.5
	69-75	---	---	---
1591084: Gilpin-----	0-8	8.1-14.7	---	4.5-6.5
	8-24	---	4.3-14.3	4.5-5.5
	24-30	---	4.6-18.1	4.5-5.5
	30-35	---	---	---
Weikert-----	0-5	---	3.1-8.0	4.5-6.0
	5-15	---	4.8-11.4	4.5-6.0
	15-18	---	4.6-13.4	4.5-6.0
	18-28	---	---	---
1591087: Gilpin-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.3-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-8	8.2-14.7	---	5.1-6.5
	8-24	---	4.3-14.3	4.5-5.5
	24-30	---	4.6-18.1	4.5-5.5
	30-35	---	---	---

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 18.—Chemical Soil Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Cation- exchange capacity	Effective cation- exchange capacity	Soil reaction
	In	meq/100 g	meq/100 g	pH
1591087: Weikert-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-5	---	3.1-8.0	4.5-6.0
	5-15	---	4.8-11.4	4.5-6.0
	15-18	---	4.6-13.4	4.5-6.0
	18-28	---	---	---
1591095: Hazleton-----	0-10	2.8-8.2	---	4.2-6.0
	10-34	---	1.3-6.8	3.6-5.5
	34-58	---	0.7-5.8	3.6-5.5
	58-60	---	---	---
1591097: Hazleton-----	0-10	2.8-8.2	---	4.2-5.8
	10-34	---	1.3-6.8	3.6-5.5
	34-58	---	0.7-5.8	3.6-5.5
	58-60	---	---	---
1591110: Brinkerton-----	0-8	12.8-25.2	---	5.1-6.4
	8-21	---	9.9-20.2	4.5-6.0
	21-42	---	7.2-17.5	4.5-6.0
	42-65	10.9-19.7	---	5.1-6.5
1591111: Brinkerton-----	0-8	12.8-25.2	---	5.1-6.4
	8-21	---	9.9-20.2	4.5-6.0
	21-42	---	7.2-17.5	4.5-6.0
	42-65	10.9-19.7	---	5.1-6.5
1591133: Laidig-----	0-1	---	16.8-59.4	3.8-5.5
	1-2	---	15.9-58.5	3.8-5.5
	2-9	---	1.5-7.8	3.5-5.5
	9-34	---	5.4-14.7	3.5-5.5
	34-57	---	2.9-18.1	3.5-5.5
	57-80	---	2.2-18.1	3.5-5.5
1591152: Macove-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.3-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-10	---	2.4-8.3	4.5-6.0
	10-48	---	2.8-13.3	4.5-6.0
	48-75	---	2.9-15.1	4.5-6.0
Gilpin-----	0-0	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	0-1	---	12.3-28.0	3.8-4.6
	1-8	8.2-14.7	---	5.1-6.5
	8-24	---	4.3-14.3	4.5-5.5
	24-30	---	4.6-18.1	4.5-5.5
	30-35	---	---	---
1591154: Rayne-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.3-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-8	---	2.2-7.8	4.5-5.5
	8-47	---	5.7-18.1	4.5-5.5
	47-55	---	2.9-15.1	4.5-5.5
	55-59	---	---	---

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 18.—Chemical Soil Properties—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Depth	Cation- exchange capacity	Effective cation- exchange capacity	Soil reaction
	In	meq/100 g	meq/100 g	pH
1591154: Gilpin-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-5	---	3.6-7.8	3.6-5.5
	5-24	---	5.7-18.1	3.6-5.5
	24-30	---	4.6-18.1	3.6-5.5
	30-34	---	---	---
1591168: Hazleton-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.2-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-6	---	1.0-3.9	3.6-5.5
	6-34	---	1.3-6.8	3.6-5.5
	34-58	---	0.7-5.8	3.6-5.5
	58-60	---	---	---
Clymer-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.2-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-9	---	3.6-8.4	3.5-5.5
	9-40	---	5.7-12.3	3.5-5.5
	40-51	---	4.6-13.4	3.5-5.5
	51-62	---	---	---
1591169: Hazleton-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.2-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-6	---	1.0-3.9	3.6-5.5
	6-34	---	1.3-6.8	3.6-5.5
	34-58	---	0.7-5.8	3.6-5.5
	58-60	---	---	---
Clymer-----	0-1	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	1-2	---	12.2-28.0	3.8-4.6
	2-9	---	3.6-8.4	3.5-5.5
	9-40	---	5.7-12.3	3.5-5.5
	40-51	---	4.6-13.4	3.5-5.5
	51-62	---	---	---
1591181: Andover-----	0-0	---	15.2-31.9	3.8-4.7
	0-1	---	12.3-28.0	3.8-4.6
	1-3	---	12.5-24.7	3.6-4.6
	3-10	---	2.3-8.4	4.5-5.5
	10-25	---	5.7-18.1	4.5-5.5
	25-88	---	5.7-18.1	4.5-5.5

Table 19.—Water Features

(See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Estimates of the frequency of ponding and flooding apply to the whole year rather than to individual months. Absence of an entry indicates that the feature is not a concern or that data were not estimated)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Hydro- logic group	Months	Water table		Surface water depth	Ponding		Flooding	
			Upper limit	Lower limit		Duration	Frequency	Duration	Frequency
			<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>				
1591024: Philo-----	B	January	2.1	>6.0	---	---	None	Very brief	Occasional
		February	2.1	>6.0	---	---	None	Very brief	Occasional
		March	2.1	>6.0	---	---	None	Very brief	Occasional
		April	2.1	>6.0	---	---	None	Very brief	Occasional
		May	---	---	---	---	None	Very brief	Occasional
		December	2.1	>6.0	---	---	None	Very brief	Occasional
1591025: Atkins-----	D	January	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	Brief	Frequent
		February	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	Brief	Frequent
		March	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	Brief	Frequent
		April	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	Brief	Frequent
		May	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	Brief	Frequent
		June	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	---	None
		October	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	---	None
		November	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	Brief	Frequent
		December	0.5	>6.0	---	---	None	Brief	Frequent
1591026: Ernest-----	C/D	January	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
		February	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
		March	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
		April	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
		December	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
1591027: Ernest-----	C/D	January	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
		February	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
		March	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
		April	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
		December	1.6	2.0	---	---	None	---	None
1591030: Gilpin-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None

Table 19.—Water Features—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Hydro- logic group	Months	Water table		Ponding			Flooding	
			Upper limit	Lower limit	Surface water depth	Duration	Frequency	Duration	Frequency
			<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>				
1591031: Gilpin-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591032: Gilpin-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591036: Shelocta-----	B	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
Gilpin-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591066: Cavode-----	C/D	January	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		February	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		March	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		April	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		May	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		October	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		November	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		December	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
1591067: Cavode-----	C/D	January	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		February	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		March	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		April	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		May	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		October	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		November	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
		December	1.1	4.8	---	---	None	---	None
1591068: Cavode-----	C/D	January	1.0	5.0	---	---	None	---	None
		February	1.0	5.0	---	---	None	---	None
		March	1.0	5.0	---	---	None	---	None
		April	1.0	5.0	---	---	None	---	None
		May	1.0	5.0	---	---	None	---	None
		October	1.0	5.0	---	---	None	---	None
		November	1.0	5.0	---	---	None	---	None
		December	1.0	5.0	---	---	None	---	None

Table 19.—Water Features—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Hydro- logic group	Months	Water table		Ponding			Flooding	
			Upper limit	Lower limit	Surface water depth	Duration	Frequency	Duration	Frequency
			<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>				
1591072: Wharton-----	C	January	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		February	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		March	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		November	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		December	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
1591073: Wharton-----	C/D	January	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		February	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		March	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		November	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		December	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
1591074: Wharton-----	C/D	January	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		February	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		March	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		November	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
		December	2.3	5.8	---	---	None	---	None
1591075: Wharton-----	C	January	2.3	5.7	---	---	None	---	None
		February	2.3	5.7	---	---	None	---	None
		March	2.3	5.7	---	---	None	---	None
		November	2.3	5.7	---	---	None	---	None
		December	2.3	5.7	---	---	None	---	None
1591084: Gilpin-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
Weikert-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591087: Gilpin-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
Weikert-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None

Table 19.—Water Features—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Hydro- logic group	Months	Water table		Ponding			Flooding	
			Upper limit	Lower limit	Surface water depth	Duration	Frequency	Duration	Frequency
			Ft	Ft	Ft				
1591095: Hazleton-----	A	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591097: Hazleton-----	A	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591110: Brinkerton-----	C/D	January	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		February	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		March	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		April	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		May	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		October	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		November	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		December	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
1591111: Brinkerton-----	C/D	January	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		February	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		March	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		April	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		May	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		October	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		November	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
		December	0.3	1.7	---	---	None	---	None
1591133: Laidig-----	C	January	2.5	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		February	2.5	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		March	2.5	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
1591152: Macove-----	B	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
Gilpin-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None

Table 19.—Water Features—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Hydro- logic group	Months	Water table		Ponding			Flooding	
			Upper limit	Lower limit	Surface water depth	Duration	Frequency	Duration	Frequency
			<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>				
1591154: Rayne-----	B	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
Gilpin-----	C	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591168: Hazleton-----	B	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
Clymer-----	B	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591169: Hazleton-----	B	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
Clymer-----	B	Jan-Dec	---	---	---	---	None	---	None
1591181: Andover-----	D	January	0.3	2.3	---	---	None	---	None
		February	0.3	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		March	0.3	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		April	0.3	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		May	0.3	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		June	0.3	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		October	0.3	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		November	0.3	2.8	---	---	None	---	None
		December	0.3	2.8	---	---	None	---	None

Table 20.--Soil Features

(See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Absence of an entry indicates that data were not estimated)

Map unit symbol and soil name	Restrictive layer				Potential for frost action	Risk of corrosion	
	Kind	Depth	Thickness	Hardness		Uncoated steel	Concrete
		to top					
		In	In				
1591024: Philo-----	---	---	---	---	Moderate	Moderate	High
Atkins-----	---	---	---	---	High	High	Moderate
Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
1591025: Atkins-----	---	---	---	---	High	High	Moderate
Philo-----	---	---	---	---	Moderate	Moderate	High
Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Low	Moderate	Moderate
1591026: Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Lobdell-----	---	---	---	---	High	Moderate	Moderate
1591027: Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Lobdell-----	---	---	---	---	High	Moderate	Moderate
1591030: Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Weikert-----	Lithic bedrock	10-20	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate

Table 20.--Soil Features--Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Restrictive layer				Potential for frost action	Risk of corrosion	
	Kind	Depth to top	Thickness	Hardness		Uncoated steel	Concrete
		In	In				
1591031:							
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Weikert-----	Lithic bedrock	10-20	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
1591032:							
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Weikert-----	Lithic bedrock	10-20	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
1591036:							
Shelocta-----	---	---	---	---	Moderate	Low	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Ernest-----	Fragipan	18-36	---	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Rock outcrop.							
1591066:							
Cavode-----	Lithic bedrock	40-90	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	High
1591067:							
Cavode-----	Lithic bedrock	40-90	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	High

Table 20.--Soil Features--Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Restrictive layer				Potential for frost action	Risk of corrosion	
	Kind	Depth to top	Thickness	Hardness		Uncoated steel	Concrete
		In	In				
1591068:							
Cavode-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
1591072:							
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	Moderate
Cavode-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
1591073:							
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Cavode-----	Lithic bedrock	40-90	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	High
1591074:							
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Cavode-----	Lithic bedrock	40-90	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	High

Table 20.—Soil Features—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Restrictive layer				Potential for frost action	Risk of corrosion	
	Kind	Depth to top	Thickness	Hardness		Uncoated steel	Concrete
		In	In				
1591075:							
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Indurated	Moderate	Low	High
Cavode-----	Lithic bedrock	40-90	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
1591084:							
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Weikert-----	Lithic bedrock	10-20	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
1591087:							
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Weikert-----	Lithic bedrock	10-20	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Shelocta-----	---	---	---	---	Moderate	Low	High
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High
1591095:							
Hazleton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Clymer-----	Lithic bedrock	40-60	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Cookport-----	Fragipan	12-30	---	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
	Lithic bedrock	40-60		Very strongly cemented			

Table 20.—Soil Features—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Restrictive layer				Potential for frost action	Risk of corrosion	
	Kind	Depth to top	Thickness	Hardness		Uncoated steel	Concrete
		In	In				
1591097: Hazleton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Dekalb-----	Lithic bedrock	26-40	---	Very strongly cemented	Low	Low	High
1591110: Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	Moderate
Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Lobdell-----	---	---	---	---	High	Moderate	Moderate
1591111: Brinkerton-----	Fragipan	15-30	12-36	Noncemented	High	High	High
Ernest-----	Fragipan	20-36	14-40	Noncemented	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Lobdell-----	---	---	---	---	High	Moderate	Moderate
1591133: Laidig-----	Fragipan	30-50	16-50	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	High
Dekalb-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Very strongly cemented	Low	Low	High
Buchanan-----	Fragipan	20-36	---	Noncemented	Moderate	High	High
1591152: Macove-----	---	---	---	---	Moderate	Moderate	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	20-40	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Buchanan-----	Fragipan	20-36	---	Noncemented	Moderate	High	High
1591154: Rayne-----	Lithic bedrock	30-72	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Gilpin-----	Lithic bedrock	24-48	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Ernest-----	Fragipan	18-36	---	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Wharton-----	Lithic bedrock	50-72	---	Very strongly cemented	High	High	High

Table 20.—Soil Features—Continued

Map unit symbol and soil name	Restrictive layer				Potential for frost action	Risk of corrosion	
	Kind	Depth to top	Thickness	Hardness		Uncoated steel	Concrete
		In	In				
1591168: Hazleton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Clymer-----	Lithic bedrock	40-60	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Cookport-----	Fragipan	20-32	16-35	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	High
	Lithic bedrock	51-79	---	Very strongly cemented			
1591169: Hazleton-----	Lithic bedrock	40-72	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Clymer-----	Lithic bedrock	40-60	---	Very strongly cemented	Moderate	Low	High
Cookport-----	Fragipan	20-32	16-30	Noncemented	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
	Lithic bedrock	51-79	---	Very strongly cemented			
1591181: Andover-----	Fragipan	27	---	Noncemented	High	High	High
Buchanan-----	Fragipan	20-36	---	Noncemented	Moderate	High	High

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 21.—Taxonomic Classification of the Soils

Soil name	Family or higher taxonomic class
Andover-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Fragiagults
Atkins-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, acid, mesic Fluvaquentic Endoaquepts
Brinkerton-----	Fine-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Typic Fragiagults
Buchanan-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, semiactive, mesic Aquic Fragiudults
Cavode-----	Fine, mixed, active, mesic Aeric Endoaquults
Clymer-----	Coarse-loamy, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Cookport-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Aquic Fragiudults
Dekalb-----	Loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts
Ernest-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, superactive, mesic Aquic Fragiudults
Gilpin-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Hazleton-----	Loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts
Laidig-----	Fine-loamy, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Fragiudults
Lobdell-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Fluvaquentic Eutrudepts
Macove-----	Loamy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Philo-----	Coarse-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Fluvaquentic Dystrudepts
Rayne-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Shelocta-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Weikert-----	Loamy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Lithic Dystrudepts
Wharton-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Aquic Hapludults

Soil Survey of Fort Necessity National Battlefield, Pennsylvania

Table 22.—Soil Classification Key

ORDER	
Suborder	
Great Group	
Subgroup	
Series or Higher Category	
ALFISOLS	
Aqualfs	
Fragiaqualfs	
Typic Fragiaqualfs	
Brinkerton-----	Fine-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Typic Fragiaqualfs
INCEPTISOLS	
Aquepts	
Endoaquepts	
Fluvaquentic Endoaquepts	
Atkins-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, acid, mesic Fluvaquentic Endoaquepts
Udepts	
Dystrudepts	
Lithic Dystrudepts	
Weikert-----	Loamy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Lithic Dystrudepts
Fluvaquentic Dystrudepts	
Philo-----	Coarse-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Fluvaquentic Dystrudepts
Typic Dystrudepts	
Dekalb-----	Loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts
Hazleton-----	Loamy-skeletal, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts
Eutrudepts	
Fluvaquentic Eutrudepts	
Lobdell-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Fluvaquentic Eutrudepts
ULTISOLS	
Aquults	
Fragiaquults	
Typic Fragiaquults	
Andover-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Fragiaquults
Endoaquults	
Aeric Endoaquults	
Cavode-----	Fine, mixed, active, mesic Aeric Endoaquults
Udults	
Fragiudults	
Typic Fragiudults	
Laidig-----	Fine-loamy, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Fragiudults
Aquic Fragiudults	
Cookport-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Aquic Fragiudults
Buchanan-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, semiactive, mesic Aquic Fragiudults
Ernest-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, superactive, mesic Aquic Fragiudults
Hapludults	
Typic Hapludults	
Clymer-----	Coarse-loamy, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Gilpin-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Rayne-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Shelocta-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Macove-----	Loamy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludults
Aquic Hapludults	
Wharton-----	Fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Aquic Hapludults

NRCS Accessibility Statement

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is committed to making its information accessible to all of its customers and employees. If you are experiencing accessibility issues and need assistance, please contact our Helpdesk by phone at 1-800-457-3642 or by e-mail at ServiceDesk-FTC@ftc.usda.gov. For assistance with publications that include maps, graphs, or similar forms of information, you may also wish to contact our State or local office. You can locate the correct office and phone number at <http://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app>.