



Rocky Intertidal Monitoring

2021–2022 Results from Redwood National and State Parks



University of California, Santa Cruz, researchers and Redwood National and State Parks biologists sampling sea star plots at Enderts Beach, Redwood National and State Parks.

NPS / DANI NIZIOLEK

Rocky intertidal monitoring: 2021–2022 results from Redwood National and State Parks

Science Report NPS/SR—2025/256

Karah Cox-Amman ¹, Mirella L. Cortez¹, Eric C. Dinger², Alexis M. Necarsulmer¹

¹ University of California

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

Center for Ocean Health/Long Marine Lab

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

² National Park Service

Klamath Inventory and Monitoring Network

Southern Oregon University

1250 Siskiyou Blvd

Ashland, OR 97520

Please cite this publication as:

Cox-Amman, K., M. L. Cortez, E. C. Dinger, and A. M. Necarsulmer. 2025. Rocky intertidal monitoring: 2021–2022 results from Redwood National and State Parks. Science Report NPS/SR—2025/256. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.36967/2309092>

The National Park Service Science Report Series disseminates information, analysis, and results of scientific studies and related topics concerning resources and lands managed by the National Park Service. The series supports the advancement of science, informed decisions, and the achievement of the National Park Service mission.

All manuscripts in the series receive the appropriate level of peer review to ensure that the information is scientifically credible and technically accurate.

Views, statements, findings, conclusions, recommendations, and data in this report do not necessarily reflect views and policies of the National Park Service, US Department of the Interior. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the US Government.

The Department of the Interior protects and manages the nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors its special responsibilities to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated Island Communities.

This report is available in digital format from the [National Park Service DataStore](#) and the [Natural Resource Publications Management website](#). If you have difficulty accessing information in this publication, particularly if using assistive technology, please email irma@nps.gov.

Contents

	Page
Figures.....	v
Tables.....	vii
Abstract.....	viii
Acknowledgments.....	ix
Introduction.....	1
Study Area	4
Methods.....	6
Community Structure Surveys	6
Sample Design.....	6
Field Log	6
Photoplots.....	6
Sea Stars	8
Surfgrass and Sea Palm Abundance	8
Mussel Measurement.....	8
Sea Surface Temperature.....	9
Coastal Biodiversity Surveys	9
Sample Design.....	9
Point Contact Surveys	10
Quadrat Sampling.....	10
Swath Transects.....	11
Topography Surveys.....	11
Data Collection and Entry	11
Data Analysis	12
Results.....	13
Community Structure Surveys	13
Field Log	13
Photoplots.....	15
Sea Star Plots.....	29

Contents (continued)

	Page
Surfgrass and Sea Palm Transects.....	31
Mussel Measurements	31
Sea Surface Temperature.....	33
Coastal Biodiversity Surveys	34
Point Contact Surveys and Quadrat Sampling	34
Swath Transects.....	41
All Taxa Recorded.....	41
Conclusion	42
Literature Cited	43
Appendix: Species List	45

Figures

	Page
Figure 1. Map of northern California showing the locations of the study sites sampled in the summer of each year within Redwood National and State Parks.	4
Figure 2. Photographs depicting the 5 target species sampled in permanent photoplots in Redwood National and State Parks.	7
Figure 3. Example diagram of biodiversity grid set up (left) and photograph of grid set up (right).	9
Figure 4. Example schematic diagram of mobile invertebrate quadrat sampling (blue squares) along biodiversity transect lines.	11
Figure 5. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in the barnacle (<i>Balanus/Chthamalus</i>) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	16
Figure 6. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in the barnacle (<i>Balanus/Chthamalus</i>) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	17
Figure 7. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in turfweed (<i>Endocladia muricata</i>) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	19
Figure 8. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in turfweed (<i>Endocladia muricata</i>) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	20
Figure 9. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in the rockweed (<i>Fucus gardneri</i>) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	22
Figure 10. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in the rockweed (<i>Fucus gardneri</i>) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	23
Figure 11. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in dwarf rockweed (<i>Pelvetiopsis limitata</i>) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	24
Figure 12. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa in dwarf rockweed (<i>Pelvetiopsis limitata</i>) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	25
Figure 13. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in mussel (<i>Mytilus californianus</i>) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.	27

Figures (continued)

	Page
Figure 14. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in mussel (<i>Mytilus californianus</i>) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.....	28
Figure 15. Size distributions of ochre sea stars (<i>Pisaster ochraceus</i>) at three sites within the Redwood National and State Parks during the summer sampling period in 2021 and 2022.....	30
Figure 16. Size distributions of mussels (<i>Mytilus californianus</i>) at three sites within Redwood National and State Parks during the summer sampling period in 2021 and 2022.....	32
Figure 17. Sea surface temperatures from 3 Redwood National and State Parks sites in 2021–2022.....	34
Figure 18. Percent cover of the most common taxa and substrate recorded during biodiversity surveys at Enderts Beach in 2021 and 2022.	35
Figure 19. Percent cover of the most common taxa and substrate recorded during biodiversity surveys at Damnation Creek in 2022.	36
Figure 20. Percent cover of the most common taxa and substrate recorded during biodiversity surveys at False Klamath Cove in 2021.....	37
Figure 21. Density of the most common mobile invertebrate taxa recorded during biodiversity surveys at Enderts Beach in 2021.	39
Figure 22. Density of the most common mobile taxa recorded during biodiversity surveys at Damnation Creek in 2022.	40

Tables

	Page
Table 1. Species monitored in photoplots at Redwood National and State Park sites, including number of replicate plots.	7
Table 2. Sampling locations and dates for rocky intertidal monitoring at Redwood National and State Parks, 2021–2022.	12
Table 3. Field conditions for sampling trips in 2021 and 2022 at 3 intertidal sites within Redwood National and State Parks.	13
Table 4. Common shorebirds observed at Redwood National and State Parks monitoring sites during intertidal sampling trips in 2021–2022 (maximum seen at any one time).	14
Table 5. Number of total individuals (n) sampled and mean size for ochre sea stars (<i>Pisaster ochraceus</i>) at three sites within the Redwood National and State Parks during the summer sampling period in 2021 (SU21) and 2022 (SU22).	31
Table 6. Mean bed depth, individual length, and ratio of depth to length for mussels (<i>Mytilus californianus</i>) at 3 sites within the Redwood National and State Parks during the summer sampling period in 2021 (SU21) and 2022 (SU22).	33
Table 7. Presence or absence of all taxa found at Redwood National and State Parks sites during 2021 and 2022 biodiversity surveys.	45

Abstract

This report presents survey results from 2021 and 2022 for the rocky intertidal community at 3 sites at Redwood National and State Parks (RNSP) in Del Norte County, California. These sites are part of MARINe (Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network), a regional intertidal monitoring network sponsored by the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), with additional funding and support from local and state governments, universities, and private organizations (see www.marine.ucsc.edu). Funding for annual monitoring in RNSP is provided by the National Park Service (NPS) Klamath Network (KLMN) through a cooperative agreement with the University of California at Santa Cruz (UCSC). Field sampling is accomplished through a collaborative effort between UCSC and RNSP staff.

This KLMN rocky intertidal monitoring program, adapted from MARINe protocols, began in 2004 and was designed to identify and follow temporal trends in populations of the common and/or ecologically important organisms in the rocky intertidal community. Sites are sampled once a year. Data are collected from permanent plots established to monitor changes in sessile invertebrates, algae, and the ochre sea star (*Pisaster ochraceus*) and from permanent transects to monitor surfgrass (*Phyllospadix* spp.) and sea palm (*Postelsia palmaeformis*). These data document the annual status of the community and are archived for future use to explore broader spatial and temporal trends. All the monitored populations varied to some degree over the course of 2021–2022.

Procedures for data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting are regularly assessed and have undergone some revisions based on the evolution of the monitoring program (Ammann and Raimondi 2008; protocol revision in progress [Eric Dinger, National Park Service ecologist, pers. comm., Jan 23, 2023]). As such, this year's report follows the new reporting content for 2017–2018 results (Ammann et al. 2022). Ammann et al. (2022) and this report include broader scale biodiversity data collected through the Coastal Biodiversity Survey protocol. These biodiversity data are sampled at 2 of the 3 sites every sampling period. We also report on optional MARINe protocol data collected that are not part of the KLMN rocky intertidal protocol (e.g., mussel sizes and sea palm measurements). Some of these optional data measurements may be discontinued in future years. More comprehensive trend analyses of the data are available in the program's 5-year trend reports, the first of which included data through 2013 (Ammann et al. 2017). The second trend paper reported findings through 2018 (Lohse et al. 2023).

Acknowledgments

We thank the 2021–2022 field crew for their hard work and dedication to the project: Laura Anderson, Maya George, and Nathaniel Fletcher. We also appreciate the field assistance from Redwood National and State Parks staff, including David Anderson, Kyle Max, Heather Brown, Andrew Morin, Rylee Rawson, Max Morton, Kelly Breen and numerous seasonal staff and volunteers. Rani Gaddam from UCSC, Allison Snyder, Sonya Daw, and Alice Chung-MacCoubrey from the Klamath Network have provided editorial, technical, and data assistance. Dr. Pete Raimondi (UCSC) is the Principal Investigator for the cooperative agreement and intertidal surveys at Redwood National and State Parks.

Introduction

Among the many concerns of resource managers is the ability to detect and mitigate the effects of anthropogenic events, like oil spills and climate change, which can alter the structure of natural communities. Long-term monitoring programs are an important tool needed to accomplish this by establishing baseline data and following changes over time (Davis 2005). Such programs provide important information needed to distinguish natural changes in community structure from those caused by severe episodic (like disease) or anthropogenic events. As such, a consortium of monitoring programs has been established for rocky intertidal habitats, including protocols used in Redwood National and State Parks (RNSP) as part of the Klamath Inventory and Monitoring Network (KLMN) vital signs monitoring programs.

The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), part of the U.S. Department of Interior, and the Partnership for the Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans (PISCO) have been working in concert with several academic and government organizations to monitor intertidal zones along the western coast of North America, from Alaska to California. Community Structure Surveys of the rocky intertidal zone have been conducted by PISCO and members of the Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network (MARINe), a monitoring consortium including over 20 coastwide agencies. Several other coastal national parks, including Cabrillo National Monument, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Point Reyes National Seashore, and Channel Islands National Park, have intertidal monitoring programs to establish baseline datasets of their marine resources and to follow changes in these resources (Davis and Halvorson 1996; Davis 2005). Because such monitoring allows changes to be tracked within and between communities over yearly time scales, it provides critical information needed to make informed management decisions. Further, understanding these patterns is necessary for detecting changes resulting from disturbances such as oil spills or global climate change.

The Community Structure Surveys were initiated in the early 1980s to determine abundance and distribution patterns of intertidal species along the southern California coast (Ambrose et al. 1992). Since then, sites have been added in central and northern California, Oregon, Washington, and Alaska. The Klamath Network has adapted the protocols used in these surveys (Engle 2005, updated to Engle et al. 2022) to monitor the intertidal communities within RNSP (Ammann and Raimondi 2008). This facilitates comparisons between the RNSP sites and those elsewhere along the coast.

The specific monitoring objectives of the KLMN rocky intertidal monitoring program (“RNSP rocky intertidal monitoring program” in previous reports; Ammann et al. 2022) conducted at RNSP include the following:

- Monitor the temporal dynamics of target invertebrate, algal, and surfgrass species at accessible, representative, and historically sampled rocky intertidal sites at Redwood National and State Parks that can feasibly be monitored with the Klamath Inventory and Monitoring Network’s intertidal monitoring budget (\$30k/y) with the goal to 1) evaluate potential impacts of visitor use or other park-specific activities, and 2) provide monitoring

information to help assess level of impacts and changes outside normal limits of variation due to oil spills, nonpoint source pollution, or other anthropogenic stressors that may come from outside the parks.

- Determine status through time of morphology, disease/condition, and other key parameters describing population status (e.g., size, structure) of the selected intertidal organisms.
- Integrate with and contribute to a monitoring network spanning a broad geographic region in order to evaluate trends at multiple scales, from parkwide to regionwide.
- Detect and document invasions, changes in species ranges, the spread of diseases, and the rates and scales of processes affecting the structure and function of rocky intertidal populations and communities to better understand normal limits of variation.

The specific measurement and analysis objectives of the program are as follows:

- Provide a photographic record of sessile invertebrates and algae using fixed plots (photoplots) as reference.
- Determine the abundance (percent cover) of organisms within select fixed plots (interchangeably called photoplots or photoquadrats).
- Within fixed plots, determine the abundance of sea stars.
- Determine surfgrass abundance by measuring cover along fixed point-intercept transects.
- Identify changes that are inconsistent with the established baseline conditions, whether they are park-specific or broader in scale, and whether there are potential management actions needed to mitigate them.
- Prepare annual summary reports and 5-year, peer reviewed trend analysis reports showing data relevance following National Park Service reporting guidelines. Reports will display any major (>50%) changes in the abundance of target taxa between sampling intervals as a highlight for potential management actions.
- Under optional MARINe protocols that we will implement variously from year to year, additional measurement objectives include:
 - Measure sea palms using similar methods to surfgrass abundance. This is an optional MARINe parameter and was implemented at RNSP in 2014.
 - Measure mussel sizes and bed/patch thickness using an optional MARINe protocol implemented in 2011.
- Under protocol revisions currently in preparation, the additional measurement objectives are to quantify species distribution and abundance across sites using biodiversity surveys conducted by a team of taxonomic experts. We implemented these additional measurements during this report's study period.

The KLMN rocky intertidal monitoring program uses a park-based design with judgment selected sites that integrates with a long-term, spatially extensive program. The national parks are promoting

the importance of marine resources by establishing long-term monitoring programs supported by the public and the scientific community. This effort will translate into a greater appreciation of these resources and an awareness of the importance of maintaining them for future generations. See www.eeb.ucsc.edu/pacificrockyintertidal for more information.

This annual report documents the results of data collected in 2021–2022 for the KLMN rocky intertidal monitoring program at RNSP (Ammann and Raimondi 2008). It also documents data collected as part of MARINe optional measurements (methods used in short-term studies by the larger monitoring consortium), as well as community biodiversity data collected as investigations into protocol additions and revisions.

Study Area

Three rocky intertidal sites are monitored within RNSP: Enderts Beach, Damnation Creek, and False Klamath Cove (Figure 1). These index sites are approximately 5 km apart and nearly span the 20 km of rocky intertidal habitat present in RNSP. The sites are all located in an [Area of Special Biological Significance](#) (Redwood National Park ASBS).




Figure 1. Map of northern California showing the locations of the study sites sampled in the summer of each year within Redwood National and State Parks. NPS

Enderts Beach, located at the southern end of Crescent Beach, is at the northern edge of RNSP. The site consists of a large, gently sloping bench (approximately 100 m wide) and a series of 3 smaller benches separated by surge channels, a few scattered boulders, and cobble beds. Rocky intertidal monitoring occurs on the 3 smaller benches. Public visitation is relatively low due to obstructed access through a cave. Enderts Beach is dominated by consolidated bedrock (greywacke mudstone/sandstone with calcite intrusions), and the area surrounding the site comprises a mixture of consolidated bedrock, boulder fields, and sandy beach. The primary coastal orientation of this site is west.

Damnation Creek is 5 km south of Enderts Beach and 6.5 km north of False Klamath Cove. It is an extensive rocky bench cut by channels, with a few large sedentary boulders at its seaward edge. To access this site, a 45-minute steep hike is required, and then Damnation Creek must be crossed. This site receives very low visitation by hikers. Damnation Creek is dominated by a mixture of consolidated bedrock, boulders, and cobble beach. The area surrounding the site comprises a mixture of consolidated bedrock, boulder fields, and cobble beach. The primary coastal orientation of this site is south/southwest. Although the site is near the mouth of Damnation Creek, most of the monitoring plots are established far enough away from the creek's outflow to avoid direct freshwater input. The exception to this is a series of mussel plots established within the path of the creek's outflow.

False Klamath Cove is located just south of Wilson Creek, about 8 km north of the mouth of the Klamath River. This site has variable substrata ranging from coarse sand to large boulders. There is potential for temporal variation in sand scour and boulder movement. The intertidal study site is peninsula-like, with the ocean to the north and south and a sea stack (approximately 75 m tall and 100 m wide) at the west end. The primary coastal orientation of this site is west. The peninsula stretches approximately 250 m long with a width of approximately 100 m. The site consists of a gently sloping field of boulders and small benches dominated by a mixture of consolidated bedrock, boulder fields, and cobble beach, and the area surrounding the site comprises a mixture of consolidated bedrock, boulder fields, and sandy beach. The vast majority of the sampling takes place on variously sized boulders.

Methods

Community Structure Surveys

Sample Design

The methods used for monitoring algal and invertebrate species in RNSP during the 2021–2022 monitoring years, and since monitoring began in 2004, are based on protocols developed by MARINe (marine.ucsc.edu). Protocols are explained in detail in Ammann and Raimondi (2008), Engle et al. (2022), and the new MARINe Handbook (2023). In brief, the abundance of ecologically important organisms is measured in discrete, fixed plots that have been established in targeted assemblages. Using fixed plots allows the dynamics of species to be monitored with reasonable sampling effort and provides greater statistical power to detect changes over time but limits the statistical inference. Smaller (50 × 75 cm) plots are used to monitor sessile (or relatively nonmotile) algae and invertebrates. Larger, irregular plots are used to sample more mobile species. Transects (10 m) are used to sample surfgrass and sea palm. Data collection and reporting for 2021–2022 also include parameters measured as part of short-term MARINe monitoring (<https://marine.ucsc.edu/methods/index.html>) but that are not required by the KLMN protocol.

Field Log

Field logs provided a record of general observations made during the surveys at the monitoring sites. Recorded observations included weather conditions, participants, changes to or deviations from the protocols, and any unique or unusual occurrences. Physical and weather-related conditions were collected initially upon reaching the site, as were counts of birds, marine mammals, and humans. These data included tidal information, temperature, wind, levels of rain, sand scour, plant wrack, dead animals, and trash.

Photoplots

At each site, photoplots (50 × 75 cm) were used to record changes in abundance (measured as percent cover) of conspicuous, abundant, and ecologically important species, including mussels (*Mytilus californianus*), barnacles (*Chthamalus dalli*/*Balanus glandula*), and 3 species of algae: turfweed (*Endocladia muricata*), dwarf rockweed (*Pelvetiopsis limitata*), and rockweed (*Fucus gardneri*) (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Photographs depicting the 5 target species sampled in permanent photoplots in Redwood National and State Parks. NPS

Five photoplots were established for each species (Table 1), and the location of each plot was chosen to maximize the abundance of the targeted species. Because the rockweed (*Fucus*) was not abundant at Enderts Beach, and dwarf rockweed (*Pelvetiopsis*) was not abundant at Damnation Creek, no plots were set up to monitor these species at those sites. At Damnation Creek, 5 additional mussel plots were established in the outflow of Damnation Creek, where salinity is often much lower than in the other mussel plots (Cox and McGary 2006). At low tide the salinity of the water surrounding the mussel plots near Damnation Creek has been recorded to be as low as 22 ppt (parts per thousand) compared to the average ocean salinity that is between 32 and 35 ppt.

Table 1. Species monitored in photoplots at Redwood National and State Park sites, including number of replicate plots.

Site	Mussels	Barnacles	Rockweeds/Sessile algae species		
	<i>Mytilus</i> spp.	<i>Chthamalus</i> / <i>Balanus</i>	<i>Pelvetiopsis</i> <i>limitata</i>	<i>Endocladia</i> <i>muricata</i>	<i>Fucus</i> <i>gardneri</i>
Enderts Beach	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots	–
Damnation Creek	10 plots ^A	5 plots	–	5 plots	5 plots
False Klamath Cove	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots	5 plots

^A Five mussel plots are located away from creek outflow and 5 plots are located near the outflow of Damnation Creek.

The photoplots were marked by 3 permanent bolts and were photographed using a digital camera mounted on a PVC photo-framer. The abundances (percent cover) of all sessile species in the photoplots were determined using a rectangular grid of 100 uniformly spaced points. This grid was placed over the plot and the taxon under each point was identified. In 2014, a new layering Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) based on MARINe protocols was implemented. This allowed more than 1 taxon to be recorded per point whenever multiple layers were present. Apple iPads were used to record these data in the field.

Sea Stars

Irregularly shaped, large (greater than 1×10 m) permanent plots were used to monitor the size and abundance of the ochre sea star (*Pisaster ochraceus*). Three plots were monitored at Damnation Creek and False Klamath Cove, and 2 plots were sampled at Enderts Beach. These plots varied in size and were established in areas where sea stars were abundant (preferably >20 individuals per plot). Thus, they were not intended to quantify the overall density of sea stars at the site. Each ochre sea star encountered was counted and measured. Other rarer sea stars, such as the bat star (*Patiria miniata*), giant sea star (*Pisaster giganteus*), sunflower star (*Pycnopodia helianthoides*), six-armed star (*Leptasterias hexactis*), and leather sea star (*Dermasterias imbricata*), were also counted when encountered. *Katharina tunicata*, the leather or Katy chiton, was also monitored in these plots. Since the onset of Sea Star Wasting Syndrome (SSWS) in 2013, monitoring groups, including the KLMN rocky intertidal team, have been recording the disease category of each sea star noted. More information and detailed sampling protocols for tracking SSWS can be found at seastarwasting.org.

Surfgrass and Sea Palm Abundance

To measure the abundance (percent cover) of surfgrass (*Phyllospadix scouleri/torreyi*), 2 permanent line transects were established at Damnation Creek. Note that surfgrass is not abundant at the other sites. Each transect was 10 m long and was sampled by noting the species under each 10 cm mark. Thickness of the surfgrass bed was measured every meter along the transects.

Starting in 2014 at False Klamath Cove, 2 permanent line transects, each 6 meters long, were established to measure the abundance (counts) of sea palm (*Postelsia palmaeformis*). Note that sea palms are not present at the other sites. Each transect line was divided into 1 m segments, and numbers of *Postelsia* were recorded in 1×1 m segments along both sides of the line. However, due to certain wave conditions and specific habitat requirements, it may not be possible to sample the transects during every field survey. For example, *Postelsia* is found only in very wave-exposed habitats, and therefore may not have been counted during every survey trip. This measurement is an optional MARINe parameter and may be discontinued if time limitations make it necessary to prioritize other species.

Mussel Measurement

Starting in 2011, mussel sizes and bed/patch thickness were measured within each mussel photoplot. Ten length measurements (more if the mussel bed was multilayered) and 5 mussel bed/patch depth measurements were recorded in each plot. When combined with measures of mussel spatial cover and density (number of individuals per m^2), these measurements can provide estimates of site-wide

mussel biomass. This procedure is an optional MARINE parameter and may be discontinued if time limitations make it necessary to prioritize metrics.

Sea Surface Temperature

Sea surface temperature was measured at each site using small TidbiT temperature data loggers (Onset Computer Corporation). These units were attached to the rock below the mussel zone and set to record temperatures every 15 minutes. The units were changed out during each site visit, downloaded, and then reset for use again. Sea surface temperature was calculated only from those times of the day when the probe was underwater.

Coastal Biodiversity Surveys

Sample Design

Layered upon the above-described Community Structure Surveys are the additional goals of documenting species richness and changes in the distribution of species within and among sites over time. Coastal Biodiversity Surveys, designed to capture information about the rocky intertidal community as a whole (rather than targeted species), are used to address these goals. These surveys are much more intensive and require a high level of expertise and consistency in the identification of marine organisms.

We trialed Coastal Biodiversity Surveys for the first time in 2017. For 2021 and 2022, we followed the proposed schedule of conducting them at 2 of the 3 RNSP sites each year with a rotating schedule that allows for each site to be sampled twice every 3 years. These surveys are conducted along a series of parallel transect lines permanently established from the high to low intertidal zone at each site (see Figure 3). For this report, the trialed methods have been adapted from a published protocol (<https://marine.ucsc.edu/biodiversityprotocol.pdf>) available from the MARINE website. We present a simplified summary of the methods.




Figure 3. Example diagram of biodiversity grid set up (left) and photograph of grid set up (right). Baselines on the figure refer to monumented reference lines. NPS

Point Contact Surveys

Point contact surveys are used to estimate the abundance and diversity of sessile intertidal taxa (algae and invertebrates). Each transect is sampled using the point intercept method to record the taxa found directly underneath approximately 100 points on each transect. Point contact data are recorded in the field directly with handheld tablet computers (i.e., Apple iPad mini) into a specially designed application created by DiveNav, Inc.

As part of the forthcoming protocol revisions, 2 variations of this method were used at RNSP sites: 1) first point only, and 2) full point. The first point method only records the identity of the topmost taxa visible under each point. In contrast, the full point method identifies the first 3 taxa under each point. Thus, if there are multiple taxa under a point, such as when there is layering or epibionts on hosts, more than one taxon is recorded per point. In addition, if fewer than 3 taxa are recorded under a point, then data are collected on the identity of the next 1 or 2 taxa closest to that point. Both variations of point contact surveys have been used on an alternate schedule so that each site is sampled with full points every 3 years and first point every 3 years. In 2021, Enderts was sampled by the full point method and False Klamath Cove was sampled by the first point method; in 2022 Damnation Creek had a full point survey and Enderts had a first point survey. The purpose of alternating the methods is to maximize the data collected and reduce sampling time. The full point surveys require a full day more of field time. Comparisons of data from the 2 methods are part of protocol revisions currently in progress and are not included here. Sampling trips include days with optimal low tides as well as days with less optimal tides (thus fewer workable hours), so rotating the point contact methods at sites each year is a way to optimize our sampling efforts.

Quadrat Sampling

Quadrat sampling is used to estimate the density of mobile invertebrates. Quadrat sampling consists of recording the abundance of all mobile invertebrate species within 0.5×0.5 m quadrats randomly placed within each of the 3 biological intertidal zones (high, mid, and low) along each transect (Figure 4). Three quadrats are sampled along each transect, for a total of 33 quadrats per site.




Figure 4. Example schematic diagram of mobile invertebrate quadrat sampling (blue squares) along biodiversity transect lines. NPS

Swath Transects

Swath transects are used to estimate the density of sea stars, abalone (*Haliotis*), and other large mobile invertebrates. Swath sampling consists of recording the number of large invertebrates in a 2 m wide band centered over each transect. The location (to the nearest 0.5 m along the transect), number, and species names are recorded.

Topography Surveys

Topography surveys are used to create a 3D topographical representation of each survey area. Using a rotating laser level and a stadia rod, heights are measured along each transect at locations where there are major changes in elevation. These data are then converted to heights relative to mean sea level (MSL) by also measuring the height of a reference bolt whose elevation has been measured using a Trimble differential GPS unit. This survey is only performed once per site.

Data Collection and Entry

The intertidal sites at RNSP were sampled annually in the spring/summer when tides were sufficiently low to permit sampling. The surveys occurred in May or June. All data for this report were collected between May 26–30, 2021 (SU21) and May 17–21, 2022 (SU22) (Table 2). Each site required 1 full low tide sequence to conduct the long-term monitoring survey and 1 to 2 days for each biodiversity survey. Surveys required a team of 4 to 5 experienced intertidal field biologists with 2 to 4 extra field biologists and/or volunteers assisting. The summer 2021 and 2022 crew consisted of 4

UC Santa Cruz (UCSC) biologists (Nathaniel Fletcher, Karah Ammann, Maya George and Laura Anderson) with alternating RNSP crewmembers (Kyle Max, Heather Brown, Kelly Breen, Andrew Morin, Rylee Rawson, Terry Hines, Makayla Golden, Evelyn Wilhelm, Thomas Nolan, Skyler Wrigley, and David Anderson).

Table 2. Sampling locations and dates for rocky intertidal monitoring at Redwood National and State Parks, 2021–2022.

Site	Site Code	Latitude ^A	Longitude ^A	Summer 2021	Summer 2022
False Klamath Cove	FKC	41.59476	124.10643	26 and 30 May	17 May
Damnation Creek	DMN	41.65249	124.12784	29 May	18–19 May
Enderts Beach	END	41.69000	124.14257	27–28 May	20 May

^A Site coordinates are in decimal degrees, NAD83 datum (source Bureau of Land Management).

After completing each survey, researchers reviewed the data forms for missing or incorrectly recorded data. Once complete, the data from field logs, photoplots, sea star plots, and surfgrass transects were entered into the MARINe Data Management System (MDMS), a system that provides uniform data information storage and retrieval for all MARINe institutions. Detailed information about QA/QC procedures for data collection, verification, and validation can be found in the protocol narrative and SOP #14 Data Management (Ammann and Raimondi 2008).

Data Analysis

Basic summary statistics were used to examine variations in abundance for the key species found in the photoplots (e.g., barnacles [*Chthamulus*, *Balanus*, etc.], mussels [*Mytilus* spp.], and rockweed species [e.g., *Pelvetiopsis limitata*]) and in the line transects (e.g., surfgrass [*Phyllospadix* spp.]). Mean percent cover values are presented for each site (based on pooled values for all plots in each zone) in summer sampling periods. This report covers 2 years of data; any analysis of trends should be based on a longer time series (greater than 5 years). Trend graphs are available at <https://marine.ucsc.edu/sites/index.html>.

Results

Raw data used to create this report are available upon request through MARINe or the National Park Service. Please contact the authors with requests.

Community Structure Surveys

Field Log

Species List and Conditions

The general observations of conditions for each survey are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Field conditions for sampling trips in 2021 and 2022 at 3 intertidal sites within Redwood National and State Parks. Tide level is relative to Mean Lower Low Water.

Site ID ^A	Season Code ^B	Start Time	End Time	Low Tide Level (ft)	Low Tide Time	Swell Surge ^C	Wind ^C	Rain ^C	Recent Rain ^C	Sediment Level ^C	Scour ^C	Rock Movement ^C	Plant Wrack ^C	Drift wood ^C	Shell Debris ^C	Dead Animals ^C	Trash ^C
END	SU21	0530	1030	-2.3	7:09	L	L	L	0	L	L	L	L	L	L	0	L
END	SU22	0645	1245	-1.6	10:03	L	M	0	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	0	0
DMN	SU21	0630	1030	-2.1	8:50	L	L	0	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	0	0
DMN	SU22	0600	1230	-2.1	8:13	L	L	0	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	0
FKC	SU21	0515	1040	-1.5	7:53	L	L	0	L	L	L	M	L	L	L	L	L
FKC	SU22	0530	1030	-2.1	7:23	L	L	0	M	M	L	L	L	L	L	L	0

^A Site ID: END = Enderts Beach; DMN = Damnation Creek; FKC = False Klamath Cove.

^B Season Code: SU21 = summer 2021 (May 26–30, 2021); SU22 = summer 2022 (May 17–20, 2022).

^C Condition levels: 0 = zero; L = relatively few or low levels; M = Medium or moderate levels, H = High or severe levels.

Shorebirds and Mammals Species Lists

During 2021–2022 sampling surveys, 32 bird species and 1 marine mammal (harbor seal [*Phoca vitulina*]) were observed. Summaries of the common shorebirds observed during the RNSP surveys are presented in Table 4. These data represent the greatest number of each species observed at any one time on or near the sampled reef. They are not intended as census data, but rather as field observations. A list of additional bird species that were noted nearby or flying over the sampling sites on one or more occasions during 2021–2022 follows Table 4.

Table 4. Common shorebirds observed at Redwood National and State Parks monitoring sites during intertidal sampling trips in 2021–2022 (maximum seen at any one time).

Site ID ^A	Season ^B	Cormorant (<i>Phalacrocorax</i> spp.)	Gull (<i>Larus</i> spp.)	Oystercatcher (<i>Haematopus</i> <i>bachmani</i>)	Small Shorebirds (various species)
END	SU21	2	4	2	2
END	SU22	0	2	2	0
DMN	SU21	2	0	1	0
DMN	SU22	1	20	2	0
FKC	SU21	6	5	1	0
FKC	SU22	5	5	4	0
Totals	N/A	16	36	12	2

^A END = Enderts Beach; DMN = Damnation Creek; FKC = False Klamath Cove.

^B SU21 = summer 2021 (May 26–30, 2021); SU22 = summer 2022 (May 17–20, 2022).

This is a list of bird species (common name [scientific name]) from observations during intertidal surveys at Redwood National and State Parks in 2021–2022:

- American Crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*)
- Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*)
- Black Oystercatcher (*Haematopus bachmani*)
- Brandt's Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax penicillatus*)
- Brown Creeper (*Certhia americana*)
- Chestnut-backed Chickadee (*Poecile rufescens*)
- Common Murre (*Uria aalge*)
- Common Raven (*Corvus corax*)
- Golden-crowned Kinglet (*Regulus satrapa*)
- Hermit Warbler (*Setophaga occidentalis*)
- Loon (*Gavia* spp.)

- Marbled Murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*)
- Olive-sided Flycatcher (*Contopus cooperi*)
- Orange-crowned Warbler (*Leiothlypis celata*)
- Osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*)
- Pelagic Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax pelagicus*)
- Pelican (*Pelecanus* spp.)
- Pigeon Guillemot (*Cepphus columba*)
- Song Sparrow (*Melospiza melodia*)
- Steller's Jay (*Cyanocitta stelleri*)
- Surf Scoter (*Melanitta perspicillata*)
- Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*)
- Turkey Vulture (*Cathartes aura*)
- Varied Thrush (*Ixoreus naevius*)
- Vesper Sparrow (*Pooecetes gramineus*)
- Violet-green Swallow (*Tachycineta thalassina*)
- Western Grebe (*Aechmophorus occidentalis*)
- Western Gull (*Larus occidentalis*)
- White-crowned Sparrow (*Zonotrichia leucophrys*)
- Wilson's Warbler (*Cardellina pusilla*)
- Wrentit (*Chamaea fasciata*)
- Vaux's Swift (*Chaetura vauxi*)

Photoplots

Abundance (percent cover) of species found in the 5 types of photoplots is presented in the following 10 figures and will be discussed in detail below. The figures include the top 5 most abundant taxa within the photoplots. Note that the following summaries are from only 2 years of observation and, as such, are not intended to represent an analysis of any long-term changes. Graphs with the data can be created with the interactive map and graphing tool at <https://marine.ucsc.edu/explore-the-data/index.html> and can be recreated in various configurations.

Barnacle (*Chthamalus dalli* and *Balanus glandula*) Photoplots

Barnacles represented the dominant species in all these plots during 2021 and 2022 (Figures 5 and 6), except for plots at Enderts Beach in 2022, where mussel cover mildly exceeded barnacle cover. Cover of barnacles decreased at all sites in 2022, with a coinciding increase in rock cover at all sites.




Figure 5. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in the barnacle (*Balanus/Chthamalus*) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS




Figure 6. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in the barnacle (*Balanus/Chthamalus*) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS

Turfweed (*Endocladia muricata*) Photoplots

Turfweed represented the most dominant cover at all sites during both 2021 and 2022 surveys, except at Damnation Creek in 2022, where rock cover slightly exceeded *Endocladia* (Figures 7 and 8).

Turfweed cover in Damnation Creek plots decreased by more than 20% from 2021 to 2022, whereas turfweed cover increased slightly (less than 10%) at Enderts and False Klamath from 2021 to 2022.




Figure 7. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in turfweed (*Endocladia muricata*) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS




Figure 8. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in turfweed (*Endocladia muricata*) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS

Rockweed (*Fucus gardneri*) and Dwarf Rockweed (*Pelvetiopsis limitata*) Photoplots

In both sets of rockweed plots the dominant species was also the targeted species. Specifically, cover of *Fucus gardneri* was >70% in plots at False Klamath Cove and Damnation Creek during both 2021 and 2022 surveys (Figures 9 and 10). *Pelvetiopsis limitata* cover was over 60% within the plots at Enderts Beach during 2021 and 2022, while False Klamath Cove had slightly lower (~50%) cover (Figures 11 and 12).




Figure 9. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in the rockweed (*Fucus gardneri*) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS




Figure 10. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in the rockweed (*Fucus gardneri*) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS




Figure 11. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in dwarf rockweed (*Pelvetiopsis limitata*) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS




Figure 12. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa in dwarf rockweed (*Pelvetiopsis limitata*) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS

Mussel (*Mytilus californianus*) Photoplots

Mussel (*Mytilus californianus*) cover was high (>89%) in plots at all 3 sites during 2021 (Figure 13) and 2022 (Figure 14).




Figure 13. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in mussel (*Mytilus californianus*) photoplots during 2021 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS




Figure 14. Mean percent cover of the most common taxa or substrate in mussel (*Mytilus californianus*) photoplots during 2022 surveys at Redwood National and State Parks. NPS

Sea Star Plots

Because the size of the sea star plots varies among the sites, sea star abundances should not be compared across sites. At both Enderts Beach and False Klamath Cove, the majority of the ochre sea stars (*Pisaster ochraceus*) were ≤ 40 mm (Figure 15). This was due to a large increase in the number of small (< 20 mm) individuals within the past few years, which suggests that a recent recruitment event had occurred. Damnation Creek had far fewer sea stars than the other sites, with a greater proportion of larger individuals. In 2022, several small (≤ 20) individuals were seen at Damnation Creek compared to an absence of these size classes observed in plots in 2019.




Figure 15. Size distributions of ochre sea stars (*Pisaster ochraceus*) at three sites within the Redwood National and State Parks during the summer sampling period in 2021 and 2022. Note different scales on the y-axis. NPS

The size structure of the ochre sea star populations differed among the three sites (Figure 15). The range of sizes was greatest at Enderts Beach in both 2021 and 2022, and the size structure of *Pisaster* skewed toward smaller individuals at Enderts Beach and False Klamath Cove. Mean size was similar (<5% variation) between survey periods for each site but differed between sites (Table 5). Hundreds of small individuals (≤ 20 mm) were observed in plots at False Klamath Cove and Enderts Beach. In contrast, at Damnation Creek, no individuals measuring ≤ 20 mm were observed in the plots in 2021, and only 10 individuals were found in these plots in 2022.

Table 5. Number of total individuals (n) sampled and mean size for ochre sea stars (*Pisaster ochraceus*) at three sites within the Redwood National and State Parks during the summer sampling period in 2021 (SU21) and 2022 (SU22).

Site	Season	n	Mean Size (mm)
Enderts Beach	SU21	543	39.64
Enderts Beach	SU22	551	36.18
Damnation Creek	SU21	204	63.53
Damnation Creek	SU22	190	59.37
False Klamath Cove	SU21	738	23.21
False Klamath Cove	SU22	712	26.28

Surfgrass and Sea Palm Transects

During the 2021–2022 intertidal surveys, researchers sampled surfgrass (*Phyllospadix scouleri/torreyi*) cover at Damnation Creek and sea palm (*Postelsia palmaeformis*) cover at False Klamath Cove. In 2021, surfgrass cover at Damnation Creek was 84% in Transect 1 and 89% in Transect 2. By 2022, surfgrass cover decreased to 77% in Transect 1 and 85% in Transect 2. Simultaneously at False Klamath Cove, sea palm cover exhibited notable variations. For Transect 1, no sea palm individuals were recorded in either year. However, in Transect 2, sea palm counts totaled 1,397 individuals in 2021 and 1,211 individuals in 2022.

Mussel Measurements

Size distributions of the mussels (*Mytilus californianus*) within plots at Enderts Beach, Damnation Creek, and False Klamath Cove for 2021 and 2022 summer surveys are shown in Figure 16. The range of mussel sizes was similar at all 3 sites, but the overall size structure was slightly skewed toward the smaller size classes at False Klamath Cove in 2021 and 2022 compared to the other 2 sites. Conversely, at Enderts Beach, the size structure was skewed toward larger individuals. At all 3 sites, but especially at Damnation Creek, the thickness of the mussel bed was much greater than the average mussel length (Table 6), suggesting that the mussel beds at all 3 sites were multilayered (i.e., the visible surface layer hides additional layers of mussels below them).




Figure 16. Size distributions of mussels (*Mytilus californianus*) at three sites within Redwood National and State Parks during the summer sampling period in 2021 and 2022. Note different scales on the y-axis.

NPS

Table 6. Mean bed depth, individual length, and ratio of depth to length for mussels (*Mytilus californianus*) at 3 sites within the Redwood National and State Parks during the summer sampling period in 2021 (SU21) and 2022 (SU22). n= total number of thickness measurements.

Site	Season	n	Mean Bed Depth (mm)	Mean Individual Length (mm)	Depth/Length
Enderts Beach	SU21	25	123.2	54	2.28
Enderts Beach	SU22	25	107.2	58	1.85
Damnation Creek	SU21	25	88.8	45.2	1.96
Damnation Creek	SU22	25	95.2	38.6	2.47
False Klamath Cove	SU21	25	64.4	36.8	1.75
False Klamath Cove	SU22	25	82.4	33.6	2.45

Sea Surface Temperature

Throughout 2021–2022, sea surface temperature fluctuated between lows of 8.1 °C to highs of 16.8 °C (Figure 17). There was little temperature variation among the 3 sites. Data gaps occurred due to malfunctions in the temperature loggers. Specifically, in 2022, the temperature logger at Enderts Beach was discovered with a corroded battery. Also, the logger at False Klamath Cove was missing upon arrival, resulting in the loss of data from May 2021 to May 2022.




Figure 17. Sea surface temperatures from 3 Redwood National and State Parks sites in 2021–2022. Arrows denote the timing of community structure surveys. Continuous temperature data were collected with Onset TidbiT brand temperature loggers. Gaps indicate missing data. NPS

Coastal Biodiversity Surveys

In 2021, biodiversity surveys at Enderts Beach and False Klamath Cove were conducted using the full point and first point protocols, respectively (see Methods section for details). In 2022, they were conducted at Damnation Creek and Enderts Beach using the full point and first point protocols, respectively.

Point Contact Surveys and Quadrat Sampling

The percent cover for each of the most common species (>1%) and substrate types observed during the [point contact surveys](#) is shown in Figures 18–20.




Figure 18. Percent cover of the most common taxa and substrate recorded during biodiversity surveys at Enderts Beach in 2021 and 2022. NPS




Figure 19. Percent cover of the most common taxa and substrate recorded during biodiversity surveys at Damnation Creek in 2022. NPS




Figure 20. Percent cover of the most common taxa and substrate recorded during biodiversity surveys at False Klamath Cove in 2021. NPS

The total number of taxa found using the full point protocol ranged from 89 at Damnation to 93 at Enderts Beach. The first point survey at Enderts Beach found 49 species and False Klamath Cove found 51 species (see Appendix for full list). At all 3 sites, rock was the most common underlying category. While common taxa varied among sites, they included the mussel (*Mytilus californianus*), the algal genera *Endocladia*, *Pelvetiopsis*, *Mastocarpus*, *Mazzaella*, *Alaria*, *Cryptopleura*, and the barnacles *Balanus glandula* and *Chthamalus* spp. (Figures 18–20).

The densities of mobile invertebrate species observed during the quadrat surveys are shown in Figures 21–22. The most common mobile invertebrates found at the sites were the small periwinkle snails (*Littorina*), dog whelk snails (*Nucella*), and various limpet species in the genus *Lottia*.




Figure 21. Density of the most common mobile invertebrate taxa recorded during biodiversity surveys at Enderts Beach in 2021. Note the log scale x-axis. NPS




Figure 22. Density of the most common mobile taxa recorded during biodiversity surveys at Damnation Creek in 2022. Note the log scale x-axis. NPS

Swath Transects

The densities of *Pisaster ochraceus* (ochre sea star) ranged from a low of 0.35 per m² at Damnation Creek (2022) and a high of 1.4 per m² at False Klamath Cove. At Enderts Beach the ochre sea star densities were 1.2 and 0.7 per m² in 2021 and 2022, respectively. Very few other sea star species were found during the swath surveys at all sites; *Henricia* spp. (blood star) and *Dermasterias imbricata* (leather star) were also observed on swaths at very low densities.

All Taxa Recorded

The first point contact biodiversity surveys yielded a species count of 51 at False Klamath Cove in 2021 and 49 at Enderts Beach in 2022. The full point contact, quadrat, and swath surveys yielded a total of 93 species at Enderts Beach in 2021 and 89 species at Damnation Creek in 2022. A complete list of presence/absence data for all taxa found during the Redwood National and State Parks biodiversity surveys between 2021 and 2022 can be found in the Appendix.

Biodiversity point contact, quadrat, and swath data are available at www.pacificrockyintertidal.org.

Conclusion

A primary objective of the Klamath Inventory and Monitoring Network (KLMN) rocky intertidal monitoring program at Redwood National and State Parks (RNSP) is to track changes in the structure of the intertidal community over time. To accomplish this, data on the distribution, abundance, and sizes of species found at several sites within RNSP have been collected since 2004.

This report covers the sampling during 2021 and 2022 and coincides with a protocol review and revision process. Key elements incorporated into this protocol and report since 2017 are 1) discontinuing the winter sampling period, 2) removing fixed mobile invertebrate plots, and 3) incorporating community biodiversity sampling. These revisions were trialed in 2017/18 (Ammann et al. 2022) and continue to be part of the current data collection. Sampling was successful for all elements retained from the prior protocol and revisions were added to the upcoming revised protocol. A final change is annual reporting in a data series format, which serves as a timely release of data, but precludes in-depth analysis of the data. A trends report covering 2004 to 2013 is available and provides more in-depth analysis for that time period (Ammann et al. 2017). Lohse et al. (2023) covers trends from 2004 to 2018.

All data collected at RNSP through the KLMN rocky intertidal monitoring program contribute to a larger dataset for the MARINe network. This long-term monitoring can detect deviations from baseline conditions, such as disturbance or long-term changes (e.g., climate change). For RNSP and other members of the MARINe network, current trends and syntheses can be found on their website (<https://marine.ucsc.edu/explore-the-data/interactive-map/index.html>). This website provides access to interactive data from the long-term intertidal monitoring as well as the coastal biodiversity program. Data summaries are also available for both survey methods under the site info pages of the website (<https://marine.ucsc.edu/sites/index.html>).

The ongoing KLMN inventory and monitoring of the rocky intertidal community at RNSP continues to generate data vital to our understanding of the dynamics of rocky intertidal communities along the western coastline of North America. These findings will inform managers and policymakers and facilitate marine conservation through public outreach.

Literature Cited

- Ambrose, R. F., P. T. Raimondi, and J. M. Engle. 1992. Final study plan for inventory of intertidal resources in Santa Barbara County. U.S. Minerals Management Service, Pacific OCS Region.
- Ammann, K. N., E. C. Dinger, and D. P. Lohse. 2022. Rocky intertidal monitoring: 2017–2018 results from Redwood National and State Parks. Natural Resource Report NPS/KLMN/NRR—20222425. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado. <https://doi.org/10.36967/2293837>
- Ammann, K., and P. Raimondi. 2008. Final long-term monitoring protocol for rocky intertidal communities of Redwood National and State Parks, California. Natural Resource Report NPS/KLMN/NRR—2008/034. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Ammann, K. N., P. T. Raimondi, D. P. Lohse, and N. Fletcher. 2017. Inventory and monitoring of rocky intertidal communities of Redwood National and State Parks, California: 2004–2013 trends and synthesis report. Natural Resource Technical Report NPS/KLMN/NRR—2017/1469. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Cox, K., and C. McGary. 2006. Marine resources of Redwood National and State Parks: Comprehensive report (2004–2005) for Humboldt and Del Norte County, California. Humboldt State University, Departments of Fisheries Biology and Biological Sciences, Arcata, California. REDW-00008.
- Davis, G. E. 2005. National park stewardship and vital signs monitoring: a case study from Channel Islands National Park, California. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystems* 15:71–89.
- Davis, G. E., and W. L. Halvorson. 1996. Long-term research in national parks: From beliefs to knowledge. Pages 3–10 *in* W. L. Halvorson and G. E. Davis, editors, *Science and ecosystem management in the national parks*. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- Engle, J. M. 2005. Update. Unified monitoring protocols for the Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network. Minerals Management Services, Santa Barbara, California.
- Engle, J. M., L. Anderson, J. L. Burnaford, M. Douglas, D. P. Lohse, and A. Parsons-Field. 2022. Unified monitoring protocols for the Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. Pacific OCS Region. Camarillo, California. <https://marine.ucsc.edu/longtermprotocol.pdf>
- Lohse, D. P., K. N. Ammann, and E. C. Dinger. 2023. Long-term monitoring of rocky intertidal communities: Lessons and implications from the Redwood National and State Parks, Northern California. *Northwest Science* 96(3–4):147–163.

Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network (MARINe) Handbook. 2023. MARINe/PISCO: Intertidal: MARINe Coastal Biodiversity Surveys: Coastal Biodiversity Survey Protocols. PISCO MN.
https://doi.org/doi:10.6085/AA/marine_cbs.8.4

Appendix: Species List

Table 7 provides a summary presence or absence of intertidal taxa during 2021 and 2022 biodiversity surveys.

Table 7. Presence or absence of all taxa found at Redwood National and State Parks sites during 2021 and 2022 biodiversity surveys. The “X” in the table below indicates that the species was present. The “–” in the table indicates that it was not seen.

Category	Taxa	First Point Only Survey		Full Point Survey	
		False Klamath Cove 2021	Enderts Beach 2022	False Klamath Cove 2021	Enderts Beach 2022
Snails	<i>Alia</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
	<i>Amphissa versicolor</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Bittium eschrichtii</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Calliostoma ligatum</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Cyanoplax</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
	<i>Lacuna</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
	<i>Lirabuccinum dirum</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Lirularia</i> spp./ <i>Margarites</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
	<i>Littorina</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
	<i>Nucella canaliculata</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Nucella emarginata/ostrina</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Tegula funebris</i>	–	–	X	X
Chitons	<i>Cryptochiton stelleri</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Cyanoplax</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
	<i>Katharina tunicata</i>	–	–	X	–
	<i>Mopalia</i> spp.	–	–	–	X
	<i>Tonicella lineata/lokii</i>	–	–	X	X
Sea Slugs	<i>Onchidella borealis</i>	–	–	X	–
Bivalves	<i>Mytilus californianus</i>	X	X	X	X
Limpets	<i>Acmea mitra</i>	–	–	X	–
	<i>Lottia asmi</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Lottia austrodigitalis/digitalis</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Lottia fenestrata</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Lottia paradigitalis/strigatella</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Lottia pelta</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Lottia scabra/conus</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Lottia scutum</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Tectura paleacea</i>	–	–	–	X

Table 7 (continued). Presence or absence of all taxa found at Redwood National and State Parks sites during 2021 and 2022 biodiversity surveys. The “X” in the table below indicates that the species was present. The “–” in the table indicates that it was not seen.

Category	Taxa	First Point Only Survey		Full Point Survey	
		False Klamath Cove 2021	Enderts Beach 2022	False Klamath Cove 2021	Enderts Beach 2022
Crustaceans (isopods)	<i>Cirolana</i> spp.	–	–	X	–
	<i>Idotea</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
Crustaceans (crabs)	<i>Pachygrapsus crassipes</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Pagurus granosimanus</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Pagurus hirsutiusculus</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Pagurus samuelis</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Pugettia gracilis/richii</i>	–	–	X	–
	<i>Pugettia producta</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Petrolisthes</i> spp.	–	–	X	–
Crustaceans (barnacles)	<i>Balanus glandula</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Chthamalus dalli/fissus</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Pollicipes polymerus</i>	X	X	X	–
	<i>Semibalanus cariosus</i>	X	X	X	X
Worms	<i>Dodecacaeria</i> spp.	–	–	X	–
	<i>Phragmatopoma</i> spp./ <i>Sabellaria</i> spp.	–	–	–	X
Echinoderms (sea stars)	<i>Dermasterias imbricata</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Henricia</i> spp.	–	X	X	X
	<i>Leptasterias hexactis</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Pisaster ochraceus</i>	X	X	X	X
Porifera (sponges)	<i>Halichondria</i> spp.	X	–	–	X
	<i>Haliclona</i> spp.	–	–	–	X
	<i>Ophlitaspongia pennata</i>	–	–	–	X
Urochordota (tunicate)	<i>Aplidium</i> spp.	–	–	X	–
	<i>Eudistoma ritteri</i>	–	–	X	–
Cnidaria (sea anemone)	<i>Anthopleura elegantissima</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Anthopleura xanthogrammica</i>	–	X	X	X
Cnidaria (hydroids)	<i>Aglaophenia</i> spp.	–	–	X	–
Seagrass	<i>Phyllospadix scouleri</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Phyllospadix torreyi</i>	–	X	–	–
Brown Algae	<i>Alaria marginata</i>	–	X	X	–
	<i>Desmarestia ligulata</i>	X	X	X	X

Table 7 (continued). Presence or absence of all taxa found at Redwood National and State Parks sites during 2021 and 2022 biodiversity surveys. The “X” in the table below indicates that the species was present. The “–” in the table indicates that it was not seen.

Category	Taxa	First Point Only Survey		Full Point Survey	
		False Klamath Cove 2021	Enderts Beach 2022	False Klamath Cove 2021	Enderts Beach 2022
Brown Algae (continued)	<i>Egregia menziesii</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Endarachne</i> spp./ <i>Petalonia</i> spp./ <i>Phaeostrophion</i> spp.	X	X	X	–
	<i>Fucus</i> spp.	X	–	X	X
	<i>Laminaria setchellii</i>	X	X	X	–
	<i>Laminaria sinclairii</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Melanosiphon</i> spp./ <i>Scytosiphon</i> spp.	–	–	X	–
	<i>Pelvetiopsis</i> spp.	X	X	X	X
	<i>Saccharina</i> (<i>Hedophyllum</i>) <i>sessilis</i>	X	X	X	–
Green Algae	<i>Acrosiphonia</i> / <i>Cladophora</i> spp.	X	–	X	–
	<i>Cladophora columbiana</i>	–	X	X	X
	<i>Ulothrix</i> spp.	X	–	X	–
	<i>Ulva</i> spp./ <i>Kornmannia</i> spp./ <i>Monostroma</i> spp.	X	X	X	X
Red Algae	<i>Ahnfeltia fastigiata</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Ahnfeltiopsis linearis</i>	X	–	–	–
	<i>Callithamnion pikeanum</i>	X	X	X	–
	<i>Centroceras</i> spp./ <i>Ceramium</i> spp./ <i>Polysiphonia</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
	<i>Constantinea simplex</i>	X	–	X	X
	<i>Cryptopleura</i> spp./ <i>Hymenena</i> spp.	X	–	X	X
	<i>Cryptosiphonia woodii</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Dilsea californica</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Endocladia muricata</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Farlowia</i> spp./ <i>Pikea</i> spp.	–	–	–	X
	<i>Gelidium coulteri</i>	X	–	–	X
	<i>Gloiopeltis furcata</i>	X	–	X	–
	<i>Halymenia</i> / <i>Schizymenia</i> spp	X	X	X	X
	<i>Mastocarpus</i> spp.	X	X	X	X
	<i>Mazzaella cordata</i> / <i>splendens</i> / <i>oregona</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Mazzaella parksii</i>	–	X	X	–

Table 7 (continued). Presence or absence of all taxa found at Redwood National and State Parks sites during 2021 and 2022 biodiversity surveys. The “X” in the table below indicates that the species was present. The “–” in the table indicates that it was not seen.

Category	Taxa	First Point Only Survey		Full Point Survey	
		False Klamath Cove 2021	Enderts Beach 2022	False Klamath Cove 2021	Enderts Beach 2022
Red Algae (continued)	<i>Microcladia borealis</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Neoptilota</i> spp./ <i>Ptilota</i> spp.	X	X	X	X
	<i>Neorhodomela larix</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Neorhodomela oregona</i>	X	X	X	–
	<i>Odonthalia floccosa</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Osmundea spectabilis</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Plocamium pacificum</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Plocamium violaceum</i>	–	X	X	X
	<i>Polyneura latissima</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Polysiphonia</i> spp.	–	–	X	X
	<i>Prionitis lanceolata</i>	X	–	X	X
	<i>Prionitis linearis</i>	–	–	–	X
	<i>Prionitis sternbergii</i>	–	–	X	X
	<i>Pyropia</i> spp.	X	X	X	X
	<i>Savoiea bipinnata</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Symphyocladia dendroidea</i> / <i>Xiphosiphonia pennata</i>	–	–	X	X
Algal crusts	<i>Petrocelis</i>	X	X	X	X
	<i>Ralfsiaceae</i>	X	X	X	X
	Other red crust	X	X	X	X
Red Algae (red corallines)	<i>Bossiella</i> spp.	–	X	X	X
	<i>Calliarthron</i> spp.	–	–	–	X
	<i>Corallina</i> spp.	X	X	X	X
	<i>Crustose corallines</i>	X	X	X	X
Cyanobacteria	<i>Callothrix</i> ; blue green algae	–	–	X	–
Microalgae	Diatom	X	X	X	X
Substrate	Rock	X	X	X	X
	Sand	X	X	X	X
Total taxa	N/A	51	49	93	89

National Park Service
U.S. Department of the Interior



Science Report NPS/SR—2025/256
<https://doi.org/10.36967/2309092>

Natural Resource Stewardship and Science

1201 Oakridge Drive, Suite 150
Fort Collins, CO 80525