



# 2024 Socioeconomic Research of Fort Frederica National Monument

## *Report on 2024 Data Collection*



James Oglethorpe's 42<sup>nd</sup> March at Fort Frederica Festival, Fort Frederica National Monument, Georgia.

NPS

# **2024 Socioeconomic Research of Fort Frederica National Monument: Report on 2024 Data Collection**

Science Report NPS/SR—2026/401

Otak Inc.<sup>1</sup>, RRC Associates Inc.<sup>2</sup>, University of Montana<sup>3</sup>, GAIA Environmental Consulting LLC<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Otak, Inc.

Portland, Oregon

<sup>2</sup> RRC Associates, Inc.

Boulder, Colorado

<sup>3</sup> University of Montana

Institute for Tourism & Recreation Research

Missoula, Montana

<sup>4</sup> GAIA Environmental Consulting, LLC

Fort Collins, Colorado

Please cite this publication as:

Otak Inc., RRC Associates Inc., University of Montana, and GAIA Environmental Consulting LLC. 2026. 2024 Socioeconomic Research of Fort Frederica National Monument: Report on 2024 Data Collection. Science Report NPS/SR—2026/401. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

<https://doi.org/10.36967/2317444>

The National Park Service Science Report Series disseminates information, analysis, and results of scientific studies and related topics concerning resources and lands managed by the National Park Service. The series supports the advancement of science, informed decisions, and the achievement of the National Park Service mission.

All manuscripts in the series receive the appropriate level of peer review to ensure that the information is scientifically credible and technically accurate.

Views, statements, findings, conclusions, recommendations, and data in this report do not necessarily reflect views and policies of the National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the U.S. Government.

The Department of the Interior protects and manages the nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors its special responsibilities to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated Island Communities.

This report is available in digital format from the [National Park Service DataStore](#) and the [Natural Resource Publications Management website](#). If you have difficulty accessing information in this publication, particularly if using assistive technology, please email [irma@nps.gov](mailto:irma@nps.gov).

# Contents

|                                                        | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Figures.....                                           | v    |
| Tables.....                                            | vii  |
| Abstract.....                                          | viii |
| Introduction.....                                      | 1    |
| Methods.....                                           | 2    |
| Study Area.....                                        | 2    |
| Sampling Period and Procedure .....                    | 4    |
| Intercept Survey.....                                  | 4    |
| Post-Trip Survey.....                                  | 5    |
| Non-Response Bias Analysis .....                       | 6    |
| Results.....                                           | 8    |
| Intercept Survey Results.....                          | 8    |
| Questions 1–2: Visitor Residency .....                 | 8    |
| Question 3: Trip Purpose.....                          | 10   |
| Question 4: Length of Stay.....                        | 11   |
| Questions 5–6: Overnight Visitors .....                | 12   |
| Questions 7–9: Party Characteristics.....              | 14   |
| Questions 12–16: Park Use Characteristics.....         | 16   |
| Question 17: Transportation .....                      | 18   |
| Question 19: Previous Visits to Fort Frederica .....   | 20   |
| Question 20: Previous Visits to Other NPS Units .....  | 21   |
| Post-Trip Survey Results.....                          | 22   |
| Questions 1–2: Information About Fort Frederica .....  | 22   |
| Question 3: Transportation .....                       | 24   |
| Question 4: Travel Party Composition.....              | 25   |
| Questions 11–13: Trip Characteristics .....            | 26   |
| Questions 14–15: Reasons to Visit.....                 | 28   |
| Questions 16–18: Programs, Services, and Learning..... | 30   |

## Contents (continued)

|                                                | Page |
|------------------------------------------------|------|
| Questions 19–20: Use of Devices.....           | 32   |
| Question 21: Physical Difficulties.....        | 35   |
| Questions 24–27: Visitor Experience.....       | 36   |
| Questions 30–38: Background/Demographics ..... | 39   |
| Literature Cited .....                         | 42   |
| Appendix A: Accessible Tables.....             | 43   |

# Figures

|                                                                                                                                          | Page |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| <b>Figure 1.</b> Fort Frederica local area map. ....                                                                                     | 3    |
| <b>Figure 2.</b> Respondent residency status and geographic origin of domestic visitors surveyed at Fort Frederica. ....                 | 9    |
| <b>Figure 3.</b> Role of Fort Frederica in overall trip purpose. ....                                                                    | 10   |
| <b>Figure 4.</b> Time spent in the local area around Fort Frederica. ....                                                                | 11   |
| <b>Figure 5.</b> Accommodation types used by overnight visitors in the local area surrounding Fort Frederica. ....                       | 12   |
| <b>Figure 6.</b> Number of nights spent in the local area surrounding Fort Frederica, by accommodation type. ....                        | 13   |
| <b>Figure 7.</b> Number of adults and children in respondent’s personal group, and total group size, while visiting Fort Frederica. .... | 14   |
| <b>Figure 8.</b> Age distribution of all members of respondent’s personal group while visiting Fort Frederica. ....                      | 15   |
| <b>Figure 9.</b> Length of time spent within Fort Frederica on this trip. ....                                                           | 16   |
| <b>Figure 10.</b> Most recent entrance used to enter Fort Frederica. ....                                                                | 17   |
| <b>Figure 11.</b> Park locations visited during the most recent trip to Fort Frederica. ....                                             | 17   |
| <b>Figure 12.</b> Form of transportation used to enter Fort Frederica on the day of the survey. ....                                     | 18   |
| <b>Figure 13.</b> Number of people in or on respondent’s vehicle when entering Fort Frederica. ....                                      | 19   |
| <b>Figure 14.</b> Previous visits to Fort Frederica. ....                                                                                | 20   |
| <b>Figure 15.</b> Visits made to other NPS sites within the past year. ....                                                              | 21   |
| <b>Figure 16.</b> Sources used to obtain information about Fort Frederica prior to the trip. ....                                        | 22   |
| <b>Figure 17.</b> Forms of transportation used to travel from home to Fort Frederica on the recent trip. ....                            | 24   |
| <b>Figure 18.</b> Travel party composition during Fort Frederica visit. ....                                                             | 25   |
| <b>Figure 19.</b> NPS sites visited on trip away from home. ....                                                                         | 26   |
| <b>Figure 20.</b> Participation in activities at Fort Frederica. ....                                                                    | 27   |
| <b>Figure 21.</b> Reasons or motivations to visit Fort Frederica. ....                                                                   | 28   |
| <b>Figure 22.</b> Participation in programs at Fort Frederica. ....                                                                      | 30   |
| <b>Figure 23.</b> Learning outcomes while visiting Fort Frederica National Monument. ....                                                | 31   |

## Figures (continued)

|                                                                                                                                   | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| <b>Figure 24.</b> Use of personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica.....                                           | 32   |
| <b>Figure 25.</b> The importance of the use of personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica. ....                    | 33   |
| <b>Figure 26.</b> Quality of service for personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica. ....                          | 34   |
| <b>Figure 27.</b> Physical difficulties while visiting Fort Frederica. ....                                                       | 35   |
| <b>Figure 28.</b> Meeting Fort Frederica expectations. ....                                                                       | 36   |
| <b>Figure 29.</b> Rating Fort Frederica experience.....                                                                           | 36   |
| <b>Figure 30.</b> Rating the quality of specific Fort Frederica facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities..... | 37   |
| <b>Figure 31.</b> Rating overall quality of Fort Frederica facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities.....      | 38   |
| <b>Figure 32.</b> Respondent demographics: age and level of education. ....                                                       | 39   |
| <b>Figure 33.</b> Respondent demographics: race and ethnicity. ....                                                               | 40   |
| <b>Figure 34.</b> Household demographics: annual household income and household size. ....                                        | 40   |
| <b>Figure 35.</b> Respondent’s language preference. ....                                                                          | 41   |

# Tables

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| <b>Table 1.</b> Intercept surveys conducted within Fort Frederica. ....                                                                                                                                                                 | 3    |
| <b>Table 2.</b> Response outcomes—Fort Frederica intercept survey.....                                                                                                                                                                  | 5    |
| <b>Table 3.</b> Response outcomes—Fort Frederica post-trip survey. ....                                                                                                                                                                 | 5    |
| <b>Table 4.</b> Post-trip survey non-response bias question: “Are you a permanent or seasonal/second home resident of the local area around Fort Frederica?” .....                                                                      | 6    |
| <b>Table 5.</b> Post-trip survey non-response bias question: “Do you currently live in the United States?” .....                                                                                                                        | 6    |
| <b>Table 6.</b> Post-trip survey non-response bias question: “On this trip away from home, have you stayed, or will you stay overnight away from your permanent residence either in Fort Frederica and/or within the local area?” ..... | 7    |
| <b>Table 7.</b> Length of time spent within Fort Frederica on this trip. ....                                                                                                                                                           | 16   |
| <b>Table 8.</b> Data from Figure 6. ....                                                                                                                                                                                                | 43   |
| <b>Table 9.</b> Data from Figure 7. ....                                                                                                                                                                                                | 43   |
| <b>Table 10.</b> Data from Figure 13. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 43   |
| <b>Table 11.</b> Data from Figure 14. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 43   |
| <b>Table 12.</b> Data from Figure 15. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 44   |
| <b>Table 13.</b> Data from Figure 21. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 44   |
| <b>Table 14.</b> Data from Figure 24. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 44   |
| <b>Table 15.</b> Data from Figure 25. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 45   |
| <b>Table 16.</b> Data from Figure 26. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 45   |
| <b>Table 17.</b> Data from Figure 29. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 45   |
| <b>Table 18.</b> Data from Figure 30. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 46   |
| <b>Table 19.</b> Data from Figure 34. ....                                                                                                                                                                                              | 46   |

## Abstract

A strong mandate and need for socioeconomic monitoring have been expressed in the National Park Service (NPS) strategic goals for science, in statements by NPS leadership, and in various official reports. Since such monitoring began in 2022, the NPS has conducted visitor surveys at 24 parks per year across the United States and associated territories. Fort Frederica National Monument (Fort Frederica) was selected as one of these units for 2024 implementation. This socioeconomic monitoring at Fort Frederica uses a two-step survey methodology to capture a representative sample of park visitors during the peak season of each respective park unit. This process includes (1) an on-site intercept survey conducted via tablet, and (2) a post-trip survey (completed either by mail-back or online) for more in-depth trip details. The types of data collected include visitor demographics, trip characteristics/planning, and experience evaluations. Results are organized by these two survey instruments and are aimed at assisting park managers in understanding current utilization and perception of park resources, assessing operations and potential issues, and facilitating communication and decision-making processes within the park and between the park and its local partners and stakeholders. Results of this study and studies at the 23 other park units sampled for 2024 contribute to a technical report on national-level findings.

# Introduction

Social science research has become increasingly recognized as a crucial component of park planning, amplifying its significance for the National Park Service (NPS). With the ever-changing landscape of visitation and a desire to provide transformative visitor experiences, understanding the needs and expectations of the visiting public has become more important than ever. The agency seeks to provide the highest quality visitor experience while also protecting the important natural and cultural resources that make these sites special.

A pilot visitor study was conducted in 2015/2016 across selected park units throughout the United States. Building upon the insights gleaned from the pilot study, the Socioeconomic Monitoring Program (SEM) Visitor Survey has been carried out each year starting in 2022 at 24 NPS units across the country. Fort Frederica National Monument (Fort Frederica) was selected as one of these 24 units in 2024. The study aims to deepen understanding of visitor demographics and characteristics at Fort Frederica.

This report presents the data and findings collected from the SEM Visitor Survey in 2024. The study gathers data at a comprehensive agency level, addressing the informational needs of park managers throughout the National Park System. The data collected during the 2024 SEM Visitor Survey sampling period are intended to provide park managers with data-supported insights into their visitors while enabling data analysis at the agency level and beyond for future applications.

This study collects information about visitor use, aids in finding effective management solutions, and offers insights into the visitors who experience NPS sites. The findings empower NPS managers to better comprehend visitor behaviors, inform park operations, and identify areas for enhancing the visitor experience. Moreover, these results are intended to facilitate communication and foster informed decision-making processes, both within the NPS unit and in collaboration with local partners and stakeholders.

This report does not include spending data, despite it being collected as part of the study. For detailed information regarding visitor spending at Fort Frederica, please refer to the 2024 Visitor Spending Effects report.

The research was carried out by a team including Otak, Inc., RRC Associates, Inc., the Institute for Tourism and Recreation Research at the University of Montana, and GAIA Environmental Consulting.

## Methods

The SEM Visitor Survey methodology is designed to capture a representative sample of visitor information, characteristics, and behavior at each sampled park unit through:

1. An onsite intercept survey conducted via tablet, and
2. A post-trip follow-up survey (mail-back or online) for full trip details.

This comprehensive approach provides the necessary information to make on-the-ground decisions in park units using the intercept survey to capture visitor responses on higher priority information, while also capturing key in-depth information on visitor spending and trip characteristics for long-term planning from the post-trip survey. Additionally, the intercept survey is designed to be brief so as not to fundamentally affect visitors' experiences. The methods also permit a larger sample to be collected on key questions of interest by asking these high priority questions during the intercept survey.

### Study Area

The Fort Frederica local area map is shown in Figure 1. The local area around Fort Frederica consists of the following counties in the state of Georgia: Camden, Glynn, McIntosh, Liberty, Bryan, Bulloch, and Chatham. Within Fort Frederica, the study area was comprised of five locations. Table 1 lists those sites and the number of onsite intercept surveys completed at each. The distribution of surveyor effort across sites was determined based on historical visitor volumes and spatial distribution across the park's key areas. Where available, Visitor Use Statistics estimates for monthly visitation at each site were used to assign surveyors proportionally to reflect visitor use patterns. Park staff were consulted prior to the study launch to confirm that these metrics accurately captured visitor flows, ensuring that sampling efforts were focused on the most popular and representative locations.




**Figure 1.** Fort Frederica local area map.

*NPS*

**Table 1.** Intercept surveys conducted within Fort Frederica.

| Intercept Site                | Completed Intercept Surveys |                    |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|                               | Number of Surveys           | Percent of Surveys |
| Visitor Center/Abbot Monument | 182                         | 49.1%              |
| The Fort                      | 133                         | 35.8%              |
| Barracks/Tower                | 27                          | 7.3%               |
| Bloody Marsh                  | 17                          | 4.6%               |
| Archeological Site            | 12                          | 3.2%               |

## **Sampling Period and Procedure**

### ***Intercept Survey***

The onsite intercept survey is designed to rapidly collect visitor information as tablet-based responses, reaching the largest possible sample for the highest priority visitor information. The intercept sampling was conducted during the period of March 15<sup>th</sup> through 25<sup>th</sup>, 2024. A sample of visitors was intercepted while visiting Fort Frederica across the designated locations listed in Table 1, above. The majority of respondents were visitors on foot at these locations.

Surveyors approached potential respondent groups at the site to introduce the purpose of the study and ask them to participate in a short 5–7-minute survey about their experience in Fort Frederica (NPS 2024). Within the group, the adult with the next upcoming birthday was asked to participate. If the visitor was eligible (at least 18 years of age, not a Fort Frederica employee, and had not previously taken the survey) and agreed to participate in the study, the surveyor verbally administered the survey questions and recorded the responses on a handheld tablet device. If the visitor did not agree, surveyors asked if they would be willing to answer three non-response bias questions (see the Non-Response Bias Analysis section, below). Upon complete or partial refusal, the surveyor would thank the visitor for their time, log the non-response, and approach the next visitor group.

At the end of the intercept survey, respondents were invited to participate in a post-trip survey by mail-back or online response. Those who agreed to participate were given an envelope containing a printed copy of the post-trip survey with a postage paid envelope for mailing it back, as well as a hyperlink and instructions for accessing it online. As part of the intercept protocol, surveyors logged a unique identifier (four-digit code) from the envelope into the tablet for future connection to the post-trip survey.

Therefore, four potential outcomes were possible when intercepting visitors (see Table 2):

1. Complete refusal, refused to answer any questions, including non-response bias questions;
2. Partial refusal, answered non-response bias questions but nothing further;
3. Completed intercept, but refused to take post-trip survey; or
4. Completed intercept and took post-trip survey.

During the sampling period, three surveyors were stationed simultaneously at different sampling sites. Sampling was conducted from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm during each shift, to capture the highest periods of use across the sampling locations. Each surveyor worked nine seven-hour days (approximately six hours of data collection and a one-hour lunch break) and rotated among several sites. Each surveyor had two days off during the 11-day sampling window.

**Table 2.** Response outcomes—Fort Frederica intercept survey.

| Outcome                                                  | Total |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Eligible visitors contacted                              | 402   |
| Complete refusals                                        | 13    |
| Partial refusals (non-response bias questions completed) | 18    |
| Intercept surveys completed                              | 371   |
| Post-trip surveys distributed                            | 353   |
| Intercept response rate                                  | 92.3% |
| Post-trip acceptance rate                                | 95.1% |

### ***Post-Trip Survey***

The post-trip survey was distributed to participating respondents who completed the intercept survey and then agreed to take a follow-up survey after completing their trip to the NPS unit. This method extended data collection and asked participants to complete the survey after their visit, either through a provided postage-paid envelope, or online via a provided survey link. The post-trip survey covers visitor trip spending details, travel patterns, and perceptions of their recent visit (NPS 2024). Both the intercept survey and the post-trip survey were tagged with a unique identifier (four-digit code) for each respondent so their onsite intercept responses could be paired with their post-trip responses. Numbers were assigned at random to the individual. The use of this code allows the data to be joined, while keeping all surveys confidential and removing respondents' identifying information. Joining the two datasets provides a more complete picture of in-park experiences and post-visit perceptions.

At the end of the intercept survey, respondents were asked for their physical mailing address or email address. This allowed for a reminder postcard or email to be sent to all respondents who provided contact information about a week following the end of each onsite data collection period. This postcard or email thanked respondents for their original participation and encouraged them to complete the post-trip survey if they had not already done so.

Three weeks after postcard mailing, a second contact (with the same unique IDs) was delivered to all outstanding addresses/emails, noting that the individual's completed questionnaire had yet to be received. The mailed survey packets included replacement surveys and postage-paid, self-addressed return envelopes. A final contact was mailed/emailed to all remaining non-respondents four weeks after sending the second contact (i.e., first full replacement survey). Table 3 shows response rates for the post-trip survey.

**Table 3.** Response outcomes—Fort Frederica post-trip survey.

| Outcome                           | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Post-trip surveys distributed     | 353   |
| Valid post-trip surveys completed | 149   |
| Response rate                     | 42.2% |

## Non-Response Bias Analysis

To account for potential biases between respondents and non-respondents, three questions were asked of eligible visitors contacted who did not wish to participate in the survey. The responses to these three questions can then be compared to both the larger group of respondents who completed the intercept survey, and the smaller group who completed both the intercept and post-trip surveys.

The Office of Management and Budget recommends testing for non-response bias when a response rate is less than 80%. With an intercept survey response rate of 92.3%, concern over intercept non-response is muted. However, because the post-trip survey response rate (42.2%) did not meet the minimum threshold, bias testing was conducted to evaluate differences between those respondents who completed the full survey (both intercept and post-trip), and those who only completed the intercept portion.

A chi-square test for homogeneity was performed for each of the three questions to evaluate differences between the groups. A chi-square test for homogeneity is a statistical test used to determine whether there is a significant association between categorical variables. It compares observed data with expected frequencies to assess if any deviations are due to chance. A p-value of 0.05 is used as the indicated threshold of this significance; p-values of 0.05 or lower indicate significant difference between groups.

The p-values for all three questions are above the threshold of 0.05, indicating no significant differences between those who completed the intercept survey only, and those who completed both the intercept and follow-up surveys on these measures. Based on the variables measured, this section of the study does not have a bias due to non-response. Results of the bias testing are shown in Tables 4 through 6.

**Table 4.** Post-trip survey non-response bias question: “Are you a permanent or seasonal/second home resident of the local area around Fort Frederica?” Note: p-value = 0.20.

| Response | Full Survey Respondents |         | Intercept-Only Respondents |         |
|----------|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
|          | Frequency               | Percent | Frequency                  | Percent |
| Yes      | 24                      | 16.7%   | 50                         | 22.1%   |
| No       | 120                     | 83.3%   | 176                        | 77.9%   |

**Table 5.** Post-trip survey non-response bias question: “Do you currently live in the United States?” Note: p-value = 0.73.

| Response | Full Survey Respondents |         | Intercept-Only Respondents |         |
|----------|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
|          | Frequency               | Percent | Frequency                  | Percent |
| Yes      | 141                     | 97.9%   | 220                        | 97.3%   |
| No       | 3                       | 2.1%    | 6                          | 2.7%    |

**Table 6.** Post-trip survey non-response bias question: “On this trip away from home, have you stayed, or will you stay overnight away from your permanent residence either in Fort Frederica and/or within the local area?” Note: p-value = 0.68.

| Response | Full Survey Respondents |         | Intercept-Only Respondents |         |
|----------|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
|          | Frequency               | Percent | Frequency                  | Percent |
| Yes      | 105                     | 72.9%   | 161                        | 70.9%   |
| No       | 39                      | 27.1%   | 66                         | 29.1%   |

## Results

Results are presented separately for both the intercept and post-trip surveys throughout the following section. To preserve the data for additional analyses, outliers for open-ended numeric questions (e.g., nights spent, days in local area, etc.) have not been removed. Given these outliers, medians are shown instead of means throughout the report, in order to better represent the central tendency. Some questions were omitted because they were not applicable to this report.

### **Intercept Survey Results**

The following section provides results of the 371 visitor intercept surveys conducted in Fort Frederica from March 15<sup>th</sup> through 25<sup>th</sup>, 2024. All results are presented in this report, regardless of sample size, which varies by question. Caution is recommended in the interpretation of figures with sample size lower than  $n = 30$ . Categories on some questions may not sum to 100% due to rounding differences and/or the opportunity to select more than one response option.

#### ***Questions 1–2: Visitor Residency***

First, respondents were asked to indicate if they were permanent or seasonal residents of the local area around Fort Frederica. Permanent and seasonal residents were then asked for their ZIP code within the local area. Respondents who do not reside permanently or seasonally in the local area were asked if they currently live in the U.S., and if so, for their ZIP code. Figure 2 depicts responses to this group of questions.




**Figure 2.** Respondent residency status and geographic origin of domestic visitors surveyed at Fort Frederica.

NPS

- Less than a quarter of respondents (a combined 20%) stated that they were either permanent or seasonal residents of the local area around Fort Frederica.
- There was a large geographic concentration of respondents from Georgia (where Fort Frederica is located).
- Among the 98% of respondents currently residing in the U.S., 28% reside in Georgia, followed by 14% from Florida, and 5% from New York and North Carolina, respectively.

### Question 3: Trip Purpose

Respondents who are not permanent or seasonal residents of the local area were asked about the purpose for their overall trip away from home, while permanent or seasonal residents of the local area were asked about the purpose of their trip away from home “today,” the day they were intercepted in the park. Figure 3 depicts responses to this group of questions.




**Figure 3.** Role of Fort Frederica in overall trip purpose.

NPS

- Almost half (49%) of respondents who resided outside the local area (i.e., Fort Frederica National Monument local area, as depicted in Figure 1) stated that their visit to Fort Frederica was one of two or more equally important reasons that brought them to the area.
- For another 46% of non-local respondents, visiting Fort Frederica was an incidental or spontaneous stop while they were already in the area.
- The remaining small percentage of non-local respondents (5%) indicated their visit to Fort Frederica was the primary reason for their trip to the area.
- Among permanent or seasonal local resident respondents, the majority of respondents (55%) stated that their visit to Fort Frederica was the primary reason for their trip away from home. Over a quarter (27%) of permanent or seasonal respondents indicated that their visit to Fort Frederica was an incidental or spontaneous stop while they were already in the area, followed by 18% who said it was one of two or more equally important reasons for their trip away from home.

#### Question 4: Length of Stay

Respondents who are not permanent or seasonal residents of the local area around Fort Frederica were also asked how many days they planned to spend within that local area, including days spent in the park. All respondents, regardless of residency, were asked whether they would be staying overnight away from their permanent residence within the local area. Responses to both of these questions are depicted in Figure 4.




**Figure 4.** Time spent in the local area around Fort Frederica.

NPS

- The highest proportion of non-local respondents (18%) spent three days in the local area, while 15% spent one day, and 13% spent four days. The median length of stay was four days.
- Additionally, the majority of all respondents (72%; including permanent/seasonal residents) had stayed or planned to stay overnight away from their permanent residence in the park and/or in the local area.

### Questions 5–6: Overnight Visitors

If respondents indicated that they stayed overnight in the local area, they were asked about the type(s) of accommodation they used or planned to use while there (Figure 5).




**Figure 5.** Accommodation types used by overnight visitors in the local area surrounding Fort Frederica. NPS

- The majority of overnight respondents (83%) used lodging accommodations outside Fort Frederica National Monument (e.g., hotels, cabins, vacation rentals, glamping).
- This was followed in prevalence by those who used unpaid accommodations and camped outside Fort Frederica National Monument in the local area (9%, respectively).

Respondents were then asked to indicate the number of nights they spent or would spend in each accommodation type (Figure 6).




**Figure 6.** Number of nights spent in the local area surrounding Fort Frederica, by accommodation type. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 8](#).

NPS

- Among the 83% of overnight respondents who lodged outside of Fort Frederica in the local area (per Figure 5), median length of stay was four nights. Roughly one-quarter (24%) lodged two nights or fewer in the local area this trip; 40% spent three nights or fewer.
- Among the 9% of overnight respondents who stayed in unpaid accommodations in the local area (per Figure 5), median length of stay was five nights, with the highest proportion (25%) staying either three nights, or eight nights or more, respectively.
- Among the 9% of overnight respondents who camped outside of Fort Frederica (per Figure 5), median length of stay was four nights, though almost half (44%) stayed three nights or fewer.

### Questions 7–9: Party Characteristics

Respondents were asked to indicate how many adults (18 or older) and children (under 18) were in their personal group during their visit to Fort Frederica (Figure 7). A personal group was defined as the visitor and companions with whom they visited the park, such as a spouse, family, and/or friends, but respondents were informed not to account for the larger group with whom they may have traveled, such as a school, church, scout, or tour group.




**Figure 7.** Number of adults and children in respondent's personal group, and total group size, while visiting Fort Frederica. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 9](#).

NPS

- The median number of adults per group was two. Most groups (69%) included two adults.
- Most groups (84%) did not include any children.
- The median total number of people (adults and children) per group was two.

Respondents were then asked to provide the age of each member of their group (Figure 8). These data show the true age distribution of respondent groups sampled at Fort Frederica.




**Figure 8.** Age distribution of all members of respondent's personal group while visiting Fort Frederica.  
*NPS*

- The most common age of the adults and children in a personal group was 65 years or older (37%), followed by those 50–64 years old (27%), and 30–49 years old (19%).
- Children (i.e., visitors under 18 years old) accounted for a combined total of just over 11% of group members.

### Questions 12–16: Park Use Characteristics

Respondents were asked to indicate if they planned to visit Fort Frederica for more than one day, and then a series of follow-up questions about the length of time they might spend in the park (Figure 9 and Table 7).




**Figure 9.** Length of time spent within Fort Frederica on this trip.  
NPS

**Table 7.** Length of time spent within Fort Frederica on this trip.

| Question                                                                                                        | Sample Size (n) | Median |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| [If not planning to spend one hour or more] How many minutes do you plan to spend within Fort Frederica today?  | 74              | 30     |
| [If planning to spend one hour or more] How many hours do you plan to spend within Fort Frederica today?        | 296             | 1      |
| [If planning to visit more than one day] How many days do you plan to spend within Fort Frederica on this trip? | 21              | 2      |
| [If planning to visit more than one day] On how many different days do you expect to enter the park?            | 21              | 2      |

- The majority of respondents (80%) planned to spend one hour or more within Fort Frederica on the day they were surveyed. Among these visitors, median length of time spent in the park “today” was one hour.
- Among the 20% of respondents who planned to spend less than an hour within the park on the day they were surveyed, the median length of anticipated visit was 30 minutes.
- Additionally, nearly all respondents (94%) did not plan on spending more than a single day in Fort Frederica on their trip. Among the 6% of respondents who planned to visit for more than one day, the median length of visit was two days.
- Those respondents who spent more than one day in the park were also asked on how many different days they expected to enter the park; the median answer was two days.

Next, respondents were asked to indicate the most recent entrance they used to enter Fort Frederica (Figure 10).




**Figure 10.** Most recent entrance used to enter Fort Frederica.

NPS

- Among respondents, Main Entrance was the most commonly used entrance to Fort Frederica (94%).
- The second most common entrance was Bloody Marsh (5%).

Respondents were also presented with a list of locations within Fort Frederica and asked to indicate if they had been to, or planned to visit them, that day (Figure 11).




**Figure 11.** Park locations visited during the most recent trip to Fort Frederica.

NPS

- The most commonly visited location in Fort Frederica was the main portion of the park (95% of respondents had either been there or planned to visit it on their trip), followed by the Visitor Center (82%) and Abbott Monument (48%).
- Bloody Marsh was the least-visited location from the list provided, with only 18% of respondents reporting having visited or planning to visit.

### Question 17: Transportation

Respondents were asked about the form of transportation they used to enter Fort Frederica on the day they were surveyed (Figure 12).




**Figure 12.** Form of transportation used to enter Fort Frederica on the day of the survey.

NPS

- The majority of respondents (90%) reported that they used their car, truck, or SUV to enter Fort Frederica on the day of the survey.
- This was followed distantly by walk/hike (4%), use of a recreational vehicle or motorhome (2%), and motorcycles (2%).

If respondents entered the park using a multi-passenger private vehicle (i.e., car, truck or SUV, recreational vehicle or motorhome, or motorcycle), they were asked to indicate how many people were traveling in or on that vehicle (Figure 13).




**Figure 13.** Number of people in or on respondent's vehicle when entering Fort Frederica. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 10](#).

NPS

- Almost two-thirds of respondents traveling by car reported two people. The next common group size was four people (17%), followed by three people (11%).
- Three quarters of respondents entering Fort Frederica by RV/motorhome reported traveling in a group of two people (75%).
- Half of motorcyclists (50%) were traveling on their own, and half were travelling with a passenger.

### Question 19: Previous Visits to Fort Frederica

Respondents were asked if they were first-time visitors to Fort Frederica. Repeat visitors were then asked how many visits they have made to Fort Frederica in the last 12 months and the last five years (Figure 14).




**Figure 14.** Previous visits to Fort Frederica. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 11](#).

NPS

- Over two-thirds of respondents (70%) were on their first-ever trip to Fort Frederica.
- Among the 30% of respondents who were repeat visitors, less than half (43%) were on their first visit in the past 12 months (i.e., had last visited more than a year ago).
- However, the plurality of repeat visitors (26%) had visited three to five times in the past five years.
- The median number of visits made in the past five years was four, including the current trip; 27% of repeat visitors had made more than 10 visits in the past five years.

### Question 20: Previous Visits to Other NPS Units

Finally, respondents were asked how many visits they made to other NPS sites over the past 12 months (Figure 15).




**Figure 15.** Visits made to other NPS sites within the past year. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 12](#).

#### NPS

- Three quarters of respondents (75%) had made at least one visit to other NPS site(s) in the past year.
- The median number of visits made to other NPS site(s) in the past 12 months was two, though a combined 48% had made three or more visits in the past year.

## Post-Trip Survey Results

The following section provides results of the 149 post-trip visitor surveys received by mail-back or online following fieldwork conducted in Fort Frederica in spring 2024. Again, caution is recommended in the interpretation of figures with sample size lower than  $n = 30$ , and categories on some questions may not sum to 100% due to rounding differences and/or the opportunity to select more than one response option.

### Questions 1–2: Information About Fort Frederica

First, respondents were asked what sources they used to obtain information about Fort Frederica prior to their trip, and whether they had the information they needed on their trip (Figure 16).




**Figure 16.** Sources used to obtain information about Fort Frederica prior to the trip.

NPS

- The plurality of respondents (34%) did not obtain information about Fort Frederica prior to their visit. This was followed by almost a quarter of respondents (24%) who reported previous visits. The next most used resource was friends/relatives/word of mouth (17%), followed by the official Fort Frederica National Monument website ([nps.gov/FOFR](https://nps.gov/FOFR)) (16%), and maps/brochures (15%).
- In a follow-up question, 94% of respondents said that they had the information about Fort Frederica that they needed on their trip, while 6% indicated that there was information that they needed but did not have.
- In an open-ended follow-up question, those who did not have the information they needed on this trip were asked to elaborate.

### Question 3: Transportation

In the intercept survey, respondents indicated a mode of transportation they used to enter the park on the day of the intercept. In the post-trip survey, respondents were asked to select all forms of transportation they personally used to travel from their home to Fort Frederica on their trip (Figure 17).




**Figure 17.** Forms of transportation used to travel from home to Fort Frederica on the recent trip.  
NPS

- Nearly all respondents (96%) used a personal vehicle, such as a car, truck, or SUV, at some point during their trip from home to Fort Frederica.
- The second most common mode of travel was an airplane (11%), followed by walk/hike (6%).

#### Question 4: Travel Party Composition

Respondents were asked what type of group they were with during their visit to Fort Frederica on the day of the survey (Figure 18).




**Figure 18.** Travel party composition during Fort Frederica visit.

NPS

- Over half of respondents (58%) visited Fort Frederica with their significant other or spouse on the day of the survey.
- Just under a quarter of respondents (21%) explored Fort Frederica with their family, and another 9% visited the park alone.

### Questions 11–13: Trip Characteristics

Respondents were asked if they visited other National Park Service sites on their trip away from home (Figure 19).




**Figure 19.** NPS sites visited on trip away from home.

*NPS*

- A quarter (25%) of all respondents had visited other NPS sites on their trip, while three quarters (75%) identified Fort Frederica as the only NPS site they visited while away from home.
- In an open-ended follow-up question, those who had visited other NPS sites on their trip were asked to list which one(s).

Survey respondents were then asked to identify all activities that they participated in while visiting Fort Frederica, and which of those was their primary activity (Figure 20).




**Figure 20.** Participation in activities at Fort Frederica.

*NPS*

- Almost all respondents reported viewing scenery, natural features, etc. (98%), while 88% reported visiting a cultural or historic site.
- Non-motorized water travel, running/jogging, fishing, and motorized water travel were all uncommon activities (reported by 2% or fewer of respondents).
- Half of respondents (50%) reported visiting a cultural or historic site as their primary activity, followed by viewing scenery, natural features, etc. (26%).

### Questions 14–15: Reasons to Visit

Survey respondents were asked to rate the importance of various reasons to visit Fort Frederica on their trip and were then asked which of those was the most important reason for them to visit Fort Frederica (Figure 21).




**Figure 21.** Reasons or motivations to visit Fort Frederica. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 13](#).

NPS

- Almost half of respondents (46%) rated to learn more about American history and culture and one-third of respondents (30%) rated to spend time with family/friends as extremely important reasons for their trip to Fort Frederica.
- Other important reasons rated were to relax, to visit a National Park Service site, and to view wildlife or natural scenery (22%, 21% and 20% of respondents, respectively, rated them as extremely important).
- The least important reasons rated were to view dark sky/stars and to experience solitude (only 2% and 6% of respondents, respectively, reported them as extremely important, and 73% and 36% of respondents, respectively, rated them as not at all important).
- The most common response about the most important reason was to learn more about American history and culture (59%), followed by to visit a National Park Service site (13%), and to spend time with family/friends (7%).

### Questions 16–18: Programs, Services, and Learning

Respondents were asked to identify all programs and services in which they personally participated in Fort Frederica during their trip (Figure 22).




**Figure 22.** Participation in programs at Fort Frederica.

NPS

- The two most-used programs were viewing outdoor exhibits and going to a visitor center, with over two-thirds of respondents (95% and 72%, respectively) reporting participating in each of them.
- Two other programs were engaged in by over half of respondents: viewing indoor exhibits (66%) and talking informally with a ranger (66%).

Two follow-up questions asked if respondents learned anything from park staff, programs, and/or exhibits about American history, nature, and/or culture, and what specific subjects they would like to learn about in the future (Figure 23).




**Figure 23.** Learning outcomes while visiting Fort Frederica National Monument.

NPS

- Over three quarters of respondents (81%) reported that they learned something from park staff, programs, and/or exhibits about American history, nature, and/or culture.
- Over a quarter of respondents (28%) reported that if they were to visit Fort Frederica in the future, there are specific subjects they would like to learn about.
- Respondents who said yes to either of these two questions were asked to elaborate.

### Questions 19–20: Use of Devices

Two survey questions were focused on the use of electronic devices, their importance, and the quality of service provided. First, respondents were asked which personal electronic devices they used while in Fort Frederica (Figure 24).




**Figure 24.** Use of personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 14](#).

NPS

- A plurality of respondents (43%) reported sharing pics/videos/audio via social media, and over one-third of respondents (35%) reported sending/receiving a text message.
- Conversely, only 2% of respondents listened to or used an NPS podcast.

Then, respondents were asked to rate both the importance of each of these electronic device uses (Figure 25), and the quality of their service (Figure 26).




**Figure 25.** The importance of the use of personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 15](#).

#### NPS

- The most important device use was GPS/Navigation (7% of respondents rated it as extremely important, and 18% as important). Sharing pics/videos/audio via social media and searching the internet/email was rated as either important or extremely important (combined) by 17% of respondents, respectively.
- Listening to and using an NPS podcast was rated as not at all important by 72% of respondents.




**Figure 26.** Quality of service for personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 16](#).

#### NPS

- GPS/Navigation was rated as very good or good by 56% and 20% of respondents, respectively.
- Sharing pics/videos/audio via social media was rated as very good or good by 52% and 25% of respondents, respectively.
- A small percentage of respondents (12%) reported that they had no service to listen to/use an NPS podcast. However, over a third of respondents (35%) rated this service as very good.

### Question 21: Physical Difficulties

Respondents were asked if anyone in their personal group had a physical condition that made it difficult to access or participate in park activities or services during their visit to Fort Frederica. If such a condition was identified, respondents were asked to specify the type of difficulty (Figure 27).




**Figure 27.** Physical difficulties while visiting Fort Frederica.

NPS

- The majority of respondents (96%) reported that nobody in their personal group had a physical condition that made it difficult to access or participate in park activities or services during their visit to Fort Frederica.
- Among the 4% of respondents who identified at least one difficulty, 83% reported mobility issues in their group, and 17% reported hearing difficulties.

### Questions 24–27: Visitor Experience

Respondents were asked if their visit to Fort Frederica met their expectations (Figure 28).




**Figure 28.** Meeting Fort Frederica expectations.

NPS

- The majority of respondents (96%) reported that their visit to Fort Frederica met their expectations, while 4% of respondents said it did not meet their expectations entirely, and no respondents indicated that it did not meet their expectations at all.
- In an open-ended follow-up question, respondents were asked to elaborate on their responses.

Respondents were then given several statements about Fort Frederica and asked to indicate their level of agreement or disagreement with each given statement (Figure 29).

| To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?                       |     |                           |                           |                                |                           |                           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Rating Category                                                                                      | n=  | Percent Responding        |                           |                                |                           |                           |
|                                                                                                      |     | 1 - Strongly Disagree     | 2 - Somewhat Disagree     | 3 - Neither Agree nor Disagree | 4 - Somewhat Agree        | 5 - Strongly Agree        |
| Fort Frederica National Monument is a safe place to visit                                            | 140 | <div><div>1%</div></div>  | <div><div></div></div>    | <div><div>1%</div></div>       | <div><div>3%</div></div>  | <div><div>96%</div></div> |
| Vandalism and crime are not a problem at Fort Frederica National Monument                            | 110 | <div><div>8%</div></div>  | <div><div>1%</div></div>  | <div><div>7%</div></div>       | <div><div>5%</div></div>  | <div><div>78%</div></div> |
| Historical and cultural features in Fort Frederica National Monument are well maintained/preserved   | 144 | <div><div>1%</div></div>  | <div><div>3%</div></div>  | <div><div>5%</div></div>       | <div><div>24%</div></div> | <div><div>67%</div></div> |
| Natural resources in Fort Frederica National Monument are in pristine condition                      | 139 | <div><div></div></div>    | <div><div>4%</div></div>  | <div><div>11%</div></div>      | <div><div>27%</div></div> | <div><div>58%</div></div> |
| Development of adjacent areas detracts from visitors' experience at Fort Frederica National Monument | 128 | <div><div>54%</div></div> | <div><div>13%</div></div> | <div><div>23%</div></div>      | <div><div>5%</div></div>  | <div><div>5%</div></div>  |
| Fort Frederica National Monument is not accessible to a person with physical disabilities            | 88  | <div><div>36%</div></div> | <div><div>23%</div></div> | <div><div>27%</div></div>      | <div><div>11%</div></div> | <div><div>2%</div></div>  |
| Fort Frederica National Monument is too crowded                                                      | 143 | <div><div>74%</div></div> | <div><div>19%</div></div> | <div><div>5%</div></div>       | <div><div></div></div>    | <div><div>2%</div></div>  |

**Figure 29.** Rating Fort Frederica experience. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 17](#).

NPS

- From all the statements listed, “Fort Frederica National Monument is a safe place to visit” received the most consensus with a combined 99% of respondents saying they either strongly or somewhat agree with it. This was followed by “Historical and cultural features in Fort Frederica National Monument are well maintained/preserved” (67% and 24% of respondents, respectively, strongly or somewhat agreed with this statement).
- Conversely, “Fort Frederica National Monument is too crowded” and “Development of adjacent areas detracts from visitors’ experience at Fort Frederica National Monument” received the least support, with only a small percentage of respondents either somewhat or strongly agreeing with these statements, and over half of respondents strongly disagreeing with each of them.

Next, respondents were asked to rate the quality of park facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities in Fort Frederica (Figure 30).




**Figure 30.** Rating the quality of specific Fort Frederica facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 18](#).

NPS

- Over half of respondents (ranging from 50% to 80%) rated assistance from park employees, park maps or brochures, learning about American nature, history, or culture, the visitor center, ranger programs, restrooms, walkways, trails, and roads, exhibits (indoor and outdoor), outdoor recreation, and campgrounds and/or picnic areas as very good.
- Conversely, fewer than half of respondents (40%) rated commercial services in the park as very good.

Finally, respondents were asked to rate overall quality of the park facilities, visitor services, and recreation opportunities (Figure 31).




**Figure 31.** Rating overall quality of Fort Frederica facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities.

*NPS*

- In general, almost two-thirds of respondents (65%) rated the quality of the park facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities in Fort Frederica as very good, and another 27% rated them as good.
- Just 8% of respondents rated the overall quality as average.

### Questions 30–38: Background/Demographics

In the final section of the post-trip survey, respondents were asked various questions about their personal and household demographics. Figure 32 depicts descriptive statistics for respondent age and education.




**Figure 32.** Respondent demographics: age and level of education.

NPS

- The most common age categories were 65–74 years old (41%), 55–64 years old (20%), and 75 or older (14%). The least represented age group was those 18–24 years old (only 1% of the participants surveyed).
- Most survey respondents reported obtaining higher education, with a combined 84% having some college degree, and a plurality (38%) holding a bachelor's degree.

Respondents were also asked to identify their race(s) and if they were of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin (Figure 33).




**Figure 33.** Respondent demographics: race and ethnicity.

NPS

- Nearly all respondents (99%) identified as White, while 1% identified as American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, or some other race.
- Most respondents (97%) were not of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin.

At a household level, respondents were asked to report annual income and number of contributors, as well as how many people (adults and children) live in their household (Figure 34).




**Figure 34.** Household demographics: annual household income and household size. Tabular data shown in this figure are presented in [Appendix A, Table 19](#).

NPS

- The majority of respondents (73%) had two people in their household, followed by three to five people (another 17%).
- In addition to obtaining higher education, visitors surveyed at Fort Frederica also reported relatively high-income levels. Almost a quarter of respondents (22%) reported that their annual household income was between \$100,000 and \$149,999, and another 17% earned between \$150,000 and \$199,999.
- Most respondents (78%) came from a dual-income household.

Finally, respondents were asked about their language preference (Figure 35).




**Figure 35.** Respondent's language preference.

*NPS*

- While 3% of respondents were of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin, the overwhelming majority of respondents (99%) preferred to use English both for reading and speaking when visiting an area such as Fort Frederica.
- Only 1% of respondents preferred Spanish for either reading and/or speaking.

## Literature Cited

National Park Service (NPS). 2024. 2024 Socioeconomic Monitoring of Fort Frederica National Monument: Visitor intercept and post-trip surveys.  
<https://irma.nps.gov/DataStore/Reference/Profile/2317392>

## Appendix A: Accessible Tables

Tables 8 through 19 contain tabular data from figures found in the body of the report.

**Table 8.** Data from [Figure 6](#). Number of nights spent in the local area around Fort Frederica, by accommodation type.

| Accommodation Type             | Sample Size (n) | Median |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Camping outside Fort Frederica | 23              | 4      |
| Lodging outside Fort Frederica | 220             | 4      |
| Unpaid accommodations          | 24              | 5      |

**Table 9.** Data from [Figure 7](#). Number of adults and children in respondent's personal group, and total group size, while visiting Fort Frederica.

| Group Composition | Sample Size (n) | Median |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Adults            | 370             | 2      |
| Children          | 370             | 0      |
| Total group size  | 370             | 2      |

**Table 10.** Data from [Figure 13](#). Number of people in respondent's vehicle when entering Fort Frederica.

| Question                                                                                                                               | Sample Size (n) | Median |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| [If entered by car, truck, or SUV] Including yourself, how many people were traveling in your vehicle when you entered Fort Frederica? | 334             | 2      |
| [If entered by RV/motorhome] Including yourself, how many people were traveling in your RV when you entered Fort Frederica?            | 8               | 2      |
| [If entered by motorcycle] Including yourself, how many people were traveling on your motorcycle when you entered Fort Frederica?      | 6               | 2      |

**Table 11.** Data from [Figure 14](#). Previous visits to Fort Frederica.

| Question                                                                                                                    | Sample Size (n) | Median |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| [If not first-time visitor] Including this visit, how many visits have you made to Fort Frederica over the past 12 months?  | 113             | 2      |
| [If not first-time visitor] Including this visit, how many visits have you made to Fort Frederica over the past five years? | 113             | 4      |

**Table 12.** Data from [Figure 15](#). Visits made to other NPS sites within the past year.

| Question                                                                  | Sample Size (n) | Median |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| How many visits have you made to other NPS sites over the past 12 months? | 370             | 2      |

**Table 13.** Data from [Figure 21](#). Reasons or motivations to visit Fort Frederica.

| Rating Category                                  | Sample Size (n) |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| To visit a National Park Service site            | 141             |
| To experience solitude                           | 140             |
| To hear the sounds of nature/quiet               | 139             |
| To learn more about nature                       | 136             |
| To learn more about American history and culture | 143             |
| To spend time with family/friends                | 136             |
| To get physical exercise                         | 137             |
| To view wildlife or natural scenery              | 138             |
| To relax                                         | 136             |
| To view dark night sky/stars                     | 131             |
| Other (if specified)                             | 14              |

**Table 14.** Data from [Figure 24](#). Use of personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica.

| Device Use                               | Sample Size (n) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Make/receive a cell phone call           | 137             |
| Send/receive a text message              | 141             |
| Search the internet/email                | 135             |
| GPS/Navigation                           | 138             |
| Share pics/videos/audio via social media | 141             |
| Listen to/use an NPS podcast             | 131             |
| Other (if specified)                     | 44              |

**Table 15.** Data from [Figure 25](#). The importance of the use of personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica.

| Device Use                               | Sample Size (n) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Make/receive a cell phone call           | 133             |
| Send/receive a text message              | 133             |
| Search the internet/email                | 131             |
| GPS/Navigation                           | 132             |
| Share pics/videos/audio via social media | 132             |
| Listen to/use an NPS podcast             | 127             |
| Other (if specified)                     | 33              |

**Table 16.** Data from [Figure 26](#). Quality of service for personal electronic devices while visiting Fort Frederica.

| Device Use                               | Sample Size (n) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Make/receive a cell phone call           | 43              |
| Send/receive a text message              | 57              |
| Search the internet/email                | 50              |
| GPS/Navigation                           | 50              |
| Share pics/videos/audio via social media | 44              |
| Listen to/use an NPS podcast             | 17              |
| Other (if specified)                     | 9               |

**Table 17.** Data from [Figure 29](#). Rating Fort Frederica experience.

| Rating Category                                                                    | Sample Size (n) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Fort Frederica is a safe place to visit                                            | 140             |
| Fort Frederica is too crowded                                                      | 143             |
| Natural resources in Fort Frederica are in pristine condition                      | 139             |
| Vandalism and crime are not a problem at Fort Frederica                            | 110             |
| Fort Frederica is not accessible to a person with physical disabilities            | 88              |
| Historical and cultural features in Fort Frederica are well maintained/preserved   | 144             |
| Development of adjacent areas detracts from visitors' experience at Fort Frederica | 128             |

**Table 18.** Data from [Figure 30](#). Rating the quality of Fort Frederica facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities.

| Rating Category                                                             | Sample Size (n) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Visitor Center                                                              | 135             |
| Exhibits (indoor and outdoor)                                               | 143             |
| Restrooms                                                                   | 124             |
| Walkways, trails, and roads                                                 | 145             |
| Campgrounds and/or picnic areas                                             | 26              |
| Assistance from park employees                                              | 126             |
| Park map or brochure                                                        | 118             |
| Ranger programs                                                             | 44              |
| Commercial services in the park (food, lodging, gifts, rental, etc.)        | 30              |
| Other services (if specified)                                               | 2               |
| Learning about nature, history, or culture                                  | 139             |
| Outdoor recreation (sightseeing, camping, bicycling, boating, hiking, etc.) | 66              |

**Table 19.** Data from [Figure 34](#). Household demographics: annual household income and household size.

| Question                                                                        | Sample Size (n) | Median |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Including yourself, how many people are in your household?                      | 145             | 2      |
| Including yourself, how many people contribute to your annual household income? | 142             | 2      |

National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior



Science Report NPS/SR—2026/401  
<https://doi.org/10.36967/2317444>

---

**Natural Resource Stewardship and Science**

1201 Oakridge Drive, Suite 150  
Fort Collins, CO 80525